

CONNECTICUT LAW JOURNAL



Published in Accordance with
General Statutes Section 51-216a

VOL. LXXXVI No. 8

August 20, 2024

271 Pages

Table of Contents

CONNECTICUT APPELLATE REPORTS

Benchmark Municipal Tax Services, Ltd. v. 899 ETG Associates, LLC, 227 CA 474	130
<i>Foreclosure; motion for summary judgment; standing; whether appeal should be dismissed as to defendant guarantors for lack of standing; whether trial court properly granted plaintiff's motion for summary judgment as to liability; whether affidavit submitted in opposition to plaintiff's motion for summary judgment sufficiently raised genuine issue of material fact as to defendants' special defense of unclean hands.</i>	
Briggs v. Briggs, 227 CA 531	187
<i>Dissolution of marriage; claim that trial court erred in awarding defendant entirety of his interest in limited partnership; claim that trial court abused its discretion in establishing parenting schedule that differed from those proposed by parties and guardian ad litem; claim that trial court erred in issuing orders concerning expenses and final decision-making authority related to extracurricular activities of parties' children.</i>	
Chelsea Groton Bank v. Gates Realty Holdings, LLC, 227 CA 583	239
<i>Writ of error; foreclosure by sale; claim that trial court improperly granted defendant in error's motion to forfeit plaintiff in error's deposit without affording nonparty plaintiff in error notice thereof and opportunity to be heard; whether second writ of error claiming that trial court improperly denied plaintiff in error's motion to intervene as of right was moot.</i>	
Dorfman v. Liberty Mutual Fire Ins. Co., 227 CA 347	3
<i>Vexatious litigation; Connecticut Unfair Trade Practices Act (CUTPA) (§ 42-110a et seq.); Connecticut Unfair Insurance Practices Act (CUIPA) (§ 38a-815 et seq.); summary judgment; litigation privilege; claim that defendant insurance company's litigation conduct in prior action involving parties gave rise to common-law and statutory (§ 52-568 (1) and (2)) causes of action for vexatious litigation; claim that remedy for untrue or unfounded allegations in complaint alleging vexatious litigation was limited to sanctions under applicable statute (§ 52-99) and rule of practice (§ 10-5); claim that phrase, "asserts a defense," in § 52-568 should not be applied to defendant's answer, denial or plea; claim that trial court improperly rendered summary judgment as to vexatious litigation counts of complaint when court applied improper legal standard in making probable cause determination; claim that trial court, in relying on prior probable cause determination, improperly rendered summary judgment on CUTPA and CUIPA counts of complaint; § 674 of Restatement (Second) of Torts and continuation theory of common-law and statutory vexatious litigation actions, discussed.</i>	
LaSalle v. Commissioner of Correction, 227 CA 520	176
<i>Habeas corpus; claim that habeas court abused its discretion in denying petition for certification to appeal; whether habeas court properly exercised its discretion in determining that petitioner had failed to establish good cause to excuse untimely filing of second state habeas petition sufficient to overcome statutory (§ 52-470 (d) and (e)) presumption of unreasonable delay.</i>	
Moore v. Commissioner of Correction, 227 CA 487	143
<i>Habeas corpus; claim that habeas court erroneously rejected petitioner's claim that state violated his right to due process by failing to disclose alleged cooperation agreement with witness and knowingly soliciting witness' allegedly false and</i>	

(continued on next page)

misleading testimony and allowing that testimony to stand uncorrected; request by petitioner that this court revisit prior ruling on motion for review or, alternatively, take judicial notice of certain evidence that was not before habeas court.

Prescott v. Gilshteyn, 227 CA 553 209

Application for prejudgment remedy; assault; battery; intentional infliction of emotional distress; intimidation based on bigotry or bias; whether trial court erred in awarding plaintiff prejudgment remedy for emotional distress damages; whether trial court abused its discretion in admitting testimony of witness who was expert in issues related to racism and social justice; whether there was sufficient evidence before trial court to support its determination that there was probable cause to believe that defendant's actions and/or statements were motivated in whole or substantial part by plaintiff's race; claim that it was plain error for trial court to grant application for prejudgment remedy in case involving freedom of speech and first amendment principles.

Volume 227 Cumulative Table of Cases 251

NOTICE OF CONNECTICUT STATE AGENCIES

DOH: Certificate of Affordable Housing Project Completion 1A

CT Paid Family & Medical Leave Insurance Authority: Notice of Intent to Adopt Revisions to Consolidated Policies 2A

CT Paid Family & Medical Leave Insurance Authority: Notice of Intent to Adopt Revisions to Finance and Accounting Policy 3A

MISCELLANEOUS

Office of State Ethics: Advisory Opinion N. 2024-3 1B

CONNECTICUT LAW JOURNAL
(ISSN 87500973)

Published by the State of Connecticut in accordance with the provisions of General Statutes § 51-216a.

Commission on Official Legal Publications
Office of Production and Distribution
111 Phoenix Avenue, Enfield, Connecticut 06082-4453
Tel. (860) 741-3027, FAX (860) 745-2178
www.jud.ct.gov

JOSEPH DIBENEDETTO, *Publications Deputy Director*

Published Weekly – Available at <https://www.jud.ct.gov/lawjournal>

Syllabuses and Indices of court opinions by
ERIC M. LEVINE, *Reporter of Judicial Decisions*
Tel. (860) 757-2250

The deadline for material to be published in the Connecticut Law Journal is Wednesday at noon for publication on the Tuesday six days later. When a holiday falls within the six day period, the deadline will be noon on Tuesday.