

CONNECTICUT LAW JOURNAL



Published in Accordance with
General Statutes Section 51-216a

VOL. LXXXV No. 52

June 25, 2024

186 Pages

Table of Contents

CONNECTICUT REPORTS

Cooke v. Williams, 349 C 451	37
<i>Legal malpractice; fraud; certification from Appellate Court; whether Appellate Court improperly upheld trial court's dismissal of plaintiff's legal malpractice claim against defendant attorney and defendant law firm for lack of subject matter jurisdiction; whether appellate or postconviction relief from underlying conviction was necessary element of claim of legal malpractice filed by criminally convicted plaintiff against his former habeas counsel; whether plaintiff's legal malpractice claim challenged validity of his underlying conviction; whether Appellate Court properly reversed trial court's judgment with respect to trial court's dismissal of plaintiff's fraud claim against defendants.</i>	
In re Wendy G.-R. (Order), 349 C 916.	102
In re Zayden J. (Order), 349 C 916	102
Kuselias v. Zingaro & Cretella, LLC (Order), 349 C 916	102
Smith v. Gerace (Order), 349 C 917.	103
State v. Bember, 349 C 417	3
<i>Felony murder; attempt to commit robbery first degree; carrying pistol or revolver without permit; claim that trial court abused its discretion in permitting state to question certain witnesses about specific terms of their cooperation agreements with state during direct examination; claim that prosecutor impermissibly vouched for cooperating witnesses' credibility by introducing truthfulness provisions of their cooperation agreements, eliciting testimony from them that their attorneys were present in courtroom during their testimony, and referencing their previous testimony in other cases on behalf of state; claim that trial court abused its discretion in concluding that cooperating witnesses' testimony was sufficiently reliable to be admissible at trial pursuant to statute (§ 54-86p) governing reliability and admissibility of jailhouse informant testimony; whether trial court abused its discretion in opening reliability hearing to allow state to introduce certain evidence; harmlessness of trial court's improper consideration of its own assessment of cooperating witnesses' testimony in another case in determining that their proposed testimony was sufficiently reliable to be admitted at trial in present case; claim that trial court's denial of defendant's motion to suppress recording of jailhouse phone call and .22 caliber revolver seized by police as result of information acquired from recording violated defendant's rights under fourth amendment to the United States constitution.</i>	
Wahba v. JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A., 349 C 483	69
<i>Foreclosure; certification from Appellate Court; claim, as alternative ground for affirming Appellate Court's judgment, that doctrine of res judicata barred trial court from entertaining plaintiff's request that trial court modify judgment of strict foreclosure and order foreclosure by sale; whether Appellate Court incorrectly concluded that trial court lacked authority to entertain plaintiff's request that trial court modify judgment of strict foreclosure and order foreclosure by sale; whether Appellate Court incorrectly concluded that, even if trial court had authority on remand to order foreclosure by sale, plaintiff was required to file motion to open judgment of strict foreclosure and to present evidence that value of subject property had substantially increased since date of original judgment before trial court could exercise that authority; to extent that Appellate Court held in Connecticut National Bank v. Zuckerman (31 Conn. App. 440) that reviewing court's order affirming judgment of strict foreclosure and remanding case to trial court for</i>	

(continued on next page)

setting of new law days precludes trial court from opening judgment and ordering foreclosure by sale, overruled.
 Volume 349 Cumulative Table of Cases 105

CONNECTICUT APPELLATE REPORTS

In re P. M., 226 CA 378. 2A
Neglect petition; mootness; whether respondent's claim was reviewable under collateral consequences exception to mootness doctrine; claim that there was insufficient evidence to support trial court's determination that minor child was neglected.
 Martin v. Olson, 226 CA 392 16A
Breach of contract; whether trial court's jury instruction regarding defendant's statute of limitations defense was harmful to plaintiff; whether trial court abused its discretion in admitting certain testimony into evidence; whether trial court abused its discretion in allowing defendant to present surrebuttal evidence during his case-in-chief.
 Speer v. Skaats, 226 CA 416 40A
Abuse of process; motion to dismiss; claim that trial court erred in granting defendant's motion to dismiss; claim that trial court erred in concluding that it lacked subject matter jurisdiction; whether plaintiff had standing to bring action.
 T. A. v. M. L. (Memorandum Decision), 226 CA 901 57A
 T. A. v. M. L. (Memorandum Decision), 226 CA 901 57A
 Volume 226 Cumulative Table of Cases 171A

NOTICE OF CONNECTICUT STATE AGENCIES

DOH: Notice Under the Affordable Housing Appeals Procedure Receipt of a Completed 2024 Application for a Moratorium in the Town of New Canaan. 1B
 DSS: Notice of Intent to Amend and Renew the Acquired Brain Injury II (ABI II) Waiver and to Amend the Acquired Brain Injury (ABI I) Waiver 1B
 DSS: SPA 24-Q: July 2024 Quarterly HIPAA Compliant Update - Physician Office and Outpatient Fee Schedule/ Updates to the Reimbursement Rates of Select Manually Priced Procedure Codes 3B
 DSS: SPA 24-U: Community First Choice - Reimbursement Updates to Implement Personal Care Attendant Collective Bargaining Agreement 4B
 DSS: SPA 24-W: Chronic Disease Hospitals – Supplemental Payment 5B
 DSS: SPA 24-X: Reimbursement Update for Private Intermediate Care Facilities for Individuals with Intellectual Disabilities (ICF/IID), Chemical Maintenance Clinics (Methadone Clinics), and Ambulance Services 6B
 DSS: SPA 24-Y: Reimbursement Rate Increase for Select Behavioral Health Services for Children 8B

(continued on next page)

CONNECTICUT LAW JOURNAL
 (ISSN 87500973)

Published by the State of Connecticut in accordance with the provisions of General Statutes § 51-216a.

Commission on Official Legal Publications
 Office of Production and Distribution
 111 Phoenix Avenue, Enfield, Connecticut 06082-4453
 Tel. (860) 741-3027, FAX (860) 745-2178
 www.jud.ct.gov

JOSEPH DIBENEDETTO, *Publications Deputy Director*
 Published Weekly – Available at <https://www.jud.ct.gov/lawjournal>

Syllabuses and Indices of court opinions by
 ERIC M. LEVINE, *Reporter of Judicial Decisions*
 Tel. (860) 757-2250

The deadline for material to be published in the Connecticut Law Journal is Wednesday at noon for publication on the Tuesday six days later. When a holiday falls within the six day period, the deadline will be noon on Tuesday.

MISCELLANEOUS

Docket & Case Assignments for Supreme & Appellate Courts for the 2024-2025 Court Year	1C
Connecticut Bar Foundation	2C
