

# CONNECTICUT LAW JOURNAL



Published in Accordance with  
General Statutes Section 51-216a

---

VOL. LXXXV No. 51                      June 18, 2024                      482 Pages

---

## Table of Contents

### CONNECTICUT REPORTS

|  |     |
|--|-----|
| Bank of America, National Assn. v. Sorrentino (Order), 349 C 915 . . . . .   | 157 |
| Deutsche Bank National Trust Co. v. Heidel (Order), 349 C 914 . . . . .  | 156 |
| Green Tree Servicing, LLC v. Clark (Order), 349 C 913 . . . . .  | 155 |
| M. T. v. C. T. (Order), 349 C 915 . . . . .  | 157 |
| Nationstar Mortgage, LLC v. Zanett (Order), 349 C 913 . . . . .  | 155 |
| 9 Pettipaug, LLC v. Planning & Zoning Commission, 349 C 268. . . . .   | 2   |
| <i>Zoning; appeal from decision of defendant planning and zoning commission amending its zoning regulations; motion to dismiss; summary judgment; certification from Appellate Court; whether trial court correctly determined that defendant's publication of legal notice of its decision to amend certain zoning regulations did not comply with statute (§ 8-3 (d)) requiring that such notice be published "in a newspaper having a substantial circulation in the municipality"; meaning of terms "substantial circulation" and "general circulation," discussed; test for determining whether newspaper is one of general or substantial circulation, discussed.</i>  |     |
| State v. Andres C., 349 C 300 . . . . .  | 34  |
| <i>Sexual assault third degree; risk of injury to child; certification from Appellate Court; claim that defendant was entitled to disclosure of contents of complainant's handwritten journals, existence of which first came to light during trial, because they constituted "statement" under relevant rules of practice (§§ 40-13A and 40-15 (1)); whether complainant adopted or approved her journals as her statement for purposes of rules of practice; claim that defendant's rights under Brady v. Maryland (373 U.S. 83) were violated insofar as prosecutors delegated review of complainant's journals for exculpatory and impeachment material to nonlawyer investigator employed by state's attorney's office; request that this court adopt prophylactic rule under federal constitution requiring prosecutor to personally review for impeachment or exculpatory information any purportedly exculpatory or impeachment material that first comes to light during trial.</i> |     |
| State v. Webber (Order), 349 C 915 . . . . .   | 157 |
| Vega v. Commissioner of Correction (Order), 349 C 914. . . . .   | 156 |
| Volume 349 Cumulative Table of Cases . . . . .   | 159 |

### CONNECTICUT APPELLATE REPORTS

|   |      |
|---|------|
| Czunas v. Mancini, 226 CA 256 . . . . .   | 48A  |
| <i>Dissolution of marriage; postjudgment proceedings; motion to modify child support; whether trial court abused its discretion in denying defendant's motion to modify child support on ground that there had been no change in parties' circumstances since date of previous child support order; whether trial court abused its discretion in ordering defendant to pay plaintiff \$10,000 to defend against his appeal.</i> |      |
| Demarco v. Charter Oak Temple Restoration Assn., Inc., 226 CA 335 . . . . .   | 127A |
| <i>Employment discrimination; motion to strike; claim that trial court improperly concluded that provision (§ 46a-60 (b) (1)) of Connecticut Fair Employment Practices Act (§ 46a-51 et seq.) did not apply to claims of discrimination arising from employee's association with individual with physical disability.</i>   |      |

(continued on next page)

Finocchio Brothers, Inc. v. 587 CTA, LLC, 226 CA 351. . . . . 143A  
*Breach of contract; claim that trial court's finding that defendant properly cancelled contract within time frame required by parties' contract was clearly erroneous.*

L. K. v. K. K., 226 CA 279 . . . . . 71A  
*Dissolution of marriage; postjudgment proceedings; motion for modification of unallocated alimony and child support; claim that trial court abused its discretion by failing to address claim that reduction in child support component of defendant's unallocated alimony and child support obligation was warranted because one of parties' three children had reached age of majority; whether trial court abused its discretion in denying defendant's motion to modify by declining to consider certain financial evidence that had been submitted by defendant.*

State v. Nichols, 226 CA 359 . . . . . 151A  
*Sexual assault fourth degree; risk of injury to child; claim that trial court abused its discretion in denying motion for mistrial after victim's outburst in courtroom during defendant's testimony; claim that evidence was insufficient to support conviction of fourth degree sexual assault.*

State v. Richey, 226 CA 234 . . . . . 26A  
*Threatening second degree; whether evidence was sufficient to support defendant's conviction; claim that defendant's statements did not constitute true threats; whether trial court properly denied defendant's request to provide jury with instruction on defense of premises.*

Torrington Tax Collector, LLC v. Riley, 226 CA 211. . . . . 3A  
*Claim for exemption from bank execution pursuant to statute ((Supp. 2022) § 52-376b); claim that trial court improperly determined that plaintiff's opposition to claim of exemption was barred by doctrine of collateral estoppel or res judicata; claim that trial court improperly failed to hold evidentiary hearing before granting defendant's claim for exemption from execution.*

Townsend v. Commissioner of Correction, 226 CA 313 . . . . . 105A  
*Habeas corpus; subject matter jurisdiction; ripeness; unpreserved claim that petitioner should not be required to register as deadly weapon offender pursuant to statute (§ 54-280a) upon his release into community because § 54-280a, enacted in 2013, was inapplicable to him in connection with 2002 conviction; whether phrase "on or after January 1, 2014," in § 54-280a (a) (1) applied to petitioner's date of conviction or only to petitioner's date of release; claim that petitioner's appeal was not ripe because he would not likely have to register and he has not yet been released.*

Wylie v. APT Foundation, Inc., 226 CA 267. . . . . 59A  
*Public nuisance; motion to strike; claim that trial court improperly concluded that plaintiff failed to allege sufficient facts to support public nuisance claim.*

Volume 226 Cumulative Table of Cases . . . . . 171A

**CONNECTICUT PRACTICE BOOK**

Adopted Amendments to the Superior Court Rules. . . . . 1PB

(continued on next page)

**CONNECTICUT LAW JOURNAL**  
 (ISSN 87500973)

Published by the State of Connecticut in accordance with the provisions of General Statutes § 51-216a.

Commission on Official Legal Publications  
 Office of Production and Distribution  
 111 Phoenix Avenue, Enfield, Connecticut 06082-4453  
 Tel. (860) 741-3027, FAX (860) 745-2178  
 www.jud.ct.gov

JOSEPH DIBENEDETTO, *Publications Deputy Director*  
 Published Weekly – Available at <https://www.jud.ct.gov/lawjournal>

Syllabuses and Indices of court opinions by  
 ERIC M. LEVINE, *Reporter of Judicial Decisions*  
 Tel. (860) 757-2250

---

The deadline for material to be published in the Connecticut Law Journal is Wednesday at noon for publication on the Tuesday six days later. When a holiday falls within the six day period, the deadline will be noon on Tuesday.

---

**NOTICE OF CONNECTICUT STATE AGENCIES**

Notice of Intent to Amend CHESLA Loan Program Manual . . . . . 1B  
Notice of Intent to Adopt a Policy for the Annual Review of the Paid Leave Contribution  
Rate. . . . . 2B

---

**MISCELLANEOUS**

Notice of Adoption of Revisions to the Probate Rules of Procedure . . . . . 1C  
Docket & Case Assignments for Supreme & Appellate Courts for the 2024-2025 Court Year 7C  
Notice of Certification as Authorized House Counsel . . . . . 8C  
Notice of Reprimand of Attorney . . . . . 2C

---