

CONNECTICUT LAW JOURNAL



Published in Accordance with
General Statutes Section 51-216a

VOL. LXXXV No. 40

April 2, 2024

171 Pages

Table of Contents

CONNECTICUT REPORTS

Canner v. Governors Ridge Assn., Inc., 348 C 726	2
<i>Breach of contract; negligence; alleged violations of Connecticut Common Interest Ownership Act (CIOA) (§ 47-200 et seq.); certification from Appellate Court; claim that defendant condominium association breached condominium association's declaration and bylaws by failing to repair common elements of common interest community; whether Appellate Court improperly affirmed trial court's judgments in favor of defendant on ground that statute of limitations (§ 52-577) generally applicable to tort actions barred plaintiffs' CIOA claims seeking recovery for alleged negligence during construction process; whether Appellate Court incorrectly concluded that contractual CIOA claims were untimely under statute of limitations (§ 52-576) applicable to contract actions.</i>	
Puteri v. Governors Ridge Assn., Inc. (see Canner v. Governors Ridge Assn., Inc.), 348 C 726	2
Volume 348 Cumulative Table of Cases	27

CONNECTICUT APPELLATE REPORTS

Glory Chapel International Cathedral v. Philadelphia Indemnity Ins. Co., 224 CA 501	75A
<i>Insurance; motion to strike; offer of compromise; misjoinder; claim that trial court erred in striking certain counts from plaintiff's original complaint on basis of misjoinder; claim that, even if claims in original complaint were properly stricken, trial court erred by sustaining defendant's objection to substitute complaint filed pursuant to rule of practice (§ 10-44); whether trial court improperly sustained defendant's objection to offer of compromise filed after trial court rendered judgment for defendant and while appeal was pending with this court.</i>	
Jay R. v. Dept. of Children & Families (Memorandum Decision), 224 CA 904	126A
Mashantucket Pequot Tribal Nation v. Factory Mutual Ins. Co., 224 CA 429	3A
<i>Insurance; declaratory judgment; breach of contract; motion to strike; action seeking judgment declaring that defendant insurer was required to provide coverage under commercial insurance policy issued to plaintiff for losses plaintiff sustained as result of COVID-19 pandemic; whether trial court improperly granted in part motion to strike; claim that trial court improperly concluded that contamination exclusion in policy applied to defeat plaintiff's claims for coverage under property damage and business loss interruption provisions; whether plaintiff's allegations in its operative complaint were sufficient to establish physical damage or loss; whether plaintiff alleged facts showing manner in which COVID-19 caused physical, tangible alteration to or resulted in deprivation of property that rendered it physically unusable or inaccessible; claim that actual presence of communicable disease such as COVID-19 constituted physical loss or damage under policy's communicable disease response provision; claim that issue of whether COVID-19 physically altered property could not be determined at motion to strike phase of litigation.</i>	
State v. Roberts, 224 CA 471	45A
<i>Carrying pistol without permit; motion to dismiss and/or set aside conviction; claim that defendant's conviction under applicable statute ((Rev. to 2017) § 29-35 (a)) should have been vacated in light of United States Supreme Court's decision in New York Rifle & Pistol Assn., Inc. v. Bruen (597 U.S. 1); claim that § 29-35 (a)</i>	

(continued on next page)

violated defendant's right to bear arms under second amendment to United States constitution and subjected defendant to disparate treatment as nonresident of state in violation of privileges and immunities clause of United States constitution; whether defendant was considered Connecticut resident or nonresident for pistol permitting purposes under applicable statute ((Rev. to 2017) § 29-28 (b) and (f)).
 Westchester Modular Homes of Fairfield County, Inc. v. Arbella Protection Ins. Co., 224 CA 526 100A
Insurance; breach of contract; motion for summary judgment; claim that trial court improperly rendered summary judgment for defendant insurance company on basis of its conclusion that, pursuant to commercial general liability policy, defendant had no duty to defend plaintiff insured against counterclaim filed by third party in action arising out of contract for construction of home; whether notification to defendant of mere presence of water was sufficient to trigger duty to defend under terms of insurance policy.
 Volume 224 Cumulative Table of Cases 127A

SUPREME COURT PENDING CASES

Summaries 1B

MISCELLANEOUS

CT Supreme Ct. Policies for the Establishment & Maintenance of a System of Law Libraries 1C

CONNECTICUT LAW JOURNAL
 (ISSN 87500973)

Published by the State of Connecticut in accordance with the provisions of General Statutes § 51-216a.

Commission on Official Legal Publications
 Office of Production and Distribution
 111 Phoenix Avenue, Enfield, Connecticut 06082-4453
 Tel. (860) 741-3027, FAX (860) 745-2178
 www.jud.ct.gov

JOSEPH DIBENEDETTO, *Publications Deputy Director*
 Published Weekly – Available at <https://www.jud.ct.gov/lawjournal>

Syllabuses and Indices of court opinions by
 ERIC M. LEVINE, *Reporter of Judicial Decisions*
 Tel. (860) 757-2250

The deadline for material to be published in the Connecticut Law Journal is Wednesday at noon for publication on the Tuesday six days later. When a holiday falls within the six day period, the deadline will be noon on Tuesday.