

CONNECTICUT LAW JOURNAL



Published in Accordance with
General Statutes Section 51-216a

VOL. LXXXV No. 38 March 19, 2024 165 Pages

Table of Contents

CONNECTICUT REPORTS

| | |
|---|----|
| Bonds v. Commissioner of Correction (Order), 348 C 956 | 28 |
| DXR Finance Parent, LLC v. Theraplant, LLC (Order), 348 C 957 | 29 |
| High Watch Recovery Center, Inc. v. Planning & Zoning Commission (Order), 348 C 956 | 28 |
| OneWest Bank, FSB v. Pellechia (Order), 348 C 955 | 27 |
| State v. Dayvid J. (Order), 348 C 957 | 29 |
| State v. Henderson, 348 C 648 | 2 |
| <i>Home invasion; burglary first degree; burglary third degree as lesser included offense of burglary first degree; claim that home invasion conviction should be vacated or that new trial should be granted because jury's verdict of guilty of home invasion and verdict of not guilty of lesser included offense of third degree burglary was legally inconsistent; whether State v. Arroyo (292 Conn. 558), should be overruled or modified insofar as it held that consistency in verdicts is immaterial and that legally inconsistent verdicts are not reviewable on appeal; claim that trial court committed plain error by accepting legally inconsistent verdicts; claim that trial court abused its discretion in denying defense counsel's motion for mistrial when jury deliberations were delayed for twenty-five days because defendant was exposed to and eventually contracted COVID-19.</i> | |
| Volume 348 Cumulative Table of Cases | 31 |

CONNECTICUT APPELLATE REPORTS

| | |
|---|------|
| Advani v. Park Mead Condominium Assn. (Memorandum Decision), 224 CA 901 | 113A |
| Ascentium Capital, LLC v. Aero-Precision MFG, LLC (Memorandum Decision), 224 CA 902 | 114A |
| Bartolotta v. Human Resources Agency of New Britain, Inc., 224 CA 248 | 14A |
| <i>Employment discrimination; summary judgment; whether trial court properly granted defendant employer's motion for summary judgment on plaintiff's claims of violation of Palliative Use of Marijuana Act (§ 21a-408 et seq.), discrimination on basis of disability and failure to accommodate disability in violation of provision (§ 46a-60 (b) (1)) of Connecticut Fair Employment Practices Act (§ 46a-51 et seq.), and violation of drug testing statute (§ 31-51x); claim that trial court improperly determined that no genuine issue of material fact existed as to whether defendant improperly terminated plaintiff's employment due to her status as person qualified to use medical marijuana; claim that trial court employed improper legal standard in evaluating plaintiff's disability discrimination claim; claim that trial court improperly determined that no genuine issue of material fact existed as to whether defendant's stated reason for terminating plaintiff's employment was pretextual; whether plaintiff's claim that defendant improperly denied her request for accommodation was time barred by statute ((Rev. to 2017) § 46a-82 (f)); claim that trial court improperly determined that no genuine issue of material fact existed as to whether plaintiff made request for medical marijuana accommodation and whether defendant violated § 46a-60 (b) (1) by denying such request; claim that trial court improperly determined that no genuine issue of material fact existed as to whether defendant violated § 31-51x by requiring plaintiff to take drug test without reasonable suspicion.</i> | |
| Bernier v. Travelers Property Casualty Ins. Co. (Memorandum Decision), 224 CA 901 | 113A |
| Brewer v. Commissioner of Correction (Memorandum Decision), 224 CA 902 | 114A |

(continued on next page)

Delgado v. Commissioner of Correction, 224 CA 283 49A
Habeas corpus; claim that habeas counsel in petitioner’s second habeas action rendered ineffective assistance by failing to raise claims that petitioner’s criminal trial counsel and counsel on direct appeal from conviction rendered ineffective assistance; whether criminal trial counsel’s decision not to request self-defense instruction constituted deficient performance; claim that petitioner was prejudiced by trial counsel’s failure to object to jury instruction on intent element of murder; claim that petitioner’s counsel on direct appeal from conviction rendered ineffective assistance by improperly failing to raise issue of incorrect instruction on intent element of murder.

Priti, LLC v. Shakespeare (Memorandum Decision), 224 CA 902 114A
Rapp v. Commissioner of Correction, 224 CA 336 102A
Habeas corpus; claim that habeas court failed to apply correct legal standard under statute (§ 52-470 (c) and (e)) in deciding that petitioner had not demonstrated good cause for late filing of habeas petition when prior habeas counsel allegedly failed to advise petitioner of time limits imposed by § 52-470 (c) and (e); import of decision in Rose v. Commissioner of Correction (348 Conn. 333), holding that ineffective assistance of counsel is objective factor external to petitioner that may constitute good cause to excuse late filing of habeas petition under § 52-470 (c) and (e), discussed.

Rodriguez v. Hartford, 224 CA 314. 80A
Negligence; motion for summary judgment; governmental immunity; claim that trial court improperly denied plaintiff’s requests to amend her complaint; whether trial court erred in addressing sua sponte whether proposed new claims were barred by applicable statutes of limitations (§§ 52-577 and 52-584); claim that trial court erred in concluding that plaintiff’s complaint failed to set forth claim of public nuisance; claim that trial court improperly granted defendants’ motion for summary judgment on basis of its conclusion that negligence claims against defendant city and defendant city forester were barred by governmental immunity.

Sachem Capital Corp. v. Yoney (Memorandum Decision), 224 CA 901. 113A
Stanley v. Grant (Memorandum Decision), 224 CA 903 115A
Torrington v. Council 4, AFSCME, AFL-CIO, Local 442, 224 CA 237 3A
Arbitration; appeal from trial court’s judgment vacating arbitration award and remanding matter for new arbitration hearing; motion to dismiss appeal; claim that this court lacked subject matter jurisdiction over defendants’ appeal because appeal was not taken from final judgment; whether statutes (§§ 52-407cc and 52-423) governing arbitration proceedings in context of municipal employee contract grievances provided right of appeal from judgment vacating arbitration award.

Volume 224 Cumulative Table of Cases 117A

(continued on next page)

CONNECTICUT LAW JOURNAL
(ISSN 87500973)

Published by the State of Connecticut in accordance with the provisions of General Statutes § 51-216a.

Commission on Official Legal Publications
Office of Production and Distribution
111 Phoenix Avenue, Enfield, Connecticut 06082-4453
Tel. (860) 741-3027, FAX (860) 745-2178
www.jud.ct.gov

JOSEPH DIBENEDETTO, *Publications Deputy Director*
Published Weekly – Available at <https://www.jud.ct.gov/lawjournal>

Syllabuses and Indices of court opinions by
ERIC M. LEVINE, *Reporter of Judicial Decisions*
Tel. (860) 757-2250

The deadline for material to be published in the Connecticut Law Journal is Wednesday at noon for publication on the Tuesday six days later. When a holiday falls within the six day period, the deadline will be noon on Tuesday.

NOTICE OF CONNECTICUT STATE AGENCIES

DSS—SPA 24-J Children’s Mental Health Urgent Crisis Centers 1B

MISCELLANEOUS

Notice of Suspension of Attorney 1C
Notice of Application for Reinstatement to the Bar 1C
