

CONNECTICUT LAW JOURNAL



Published in Accordance with
General Statutes Section 51-216a

VOL. LXXXIV No. 43

May 2, 2023

113 Pages

Table of Contents

CONNECTICUT REPORTS

| | |
|--|----|
| Inkel v. Superior Court (Order), 346 C 928 | 10 |
| In re Isabella Q. (Order), 346 C 927. | 9 |
| State v. Alvarez, 346 C 530 | 2 |
| <i>Sexual assault fourth degree; risk of injury to child; certification from Appellate Court; whether Appellate Court correctly determined that state had failed to establish that trial court's improper withholding of certain sealed medical records was harmless beyond reasonable doubt; whether Appellate Court correctly determined that trial court had abused its discretion in allowing state to introduce evidence of certain uncharged sexual misconduct; adoption of Appellate Court's thorough and well reasoned opinion as proper statement of issues and applicable law concerning those issues.</i> | |
| State v. Eric L. (Order), 346 C 927 | 9 |
| Volume 346 Cumulative Table of Cases | 11 |

CONNECTICUT APPELLATE REPORTS

| | |
|---|-----|
| Francis v. CIT Bank, N.A., 219 CA 139 | 71A |
| <i>Entry and detainer; motion to open judgment of nonsuit; whether trial court abused its discretion in denying plaintiff's motion to open judgment after granting defendants' motion for nonsuit.</i> | |
| Napolitano v. Ace American Ins. Co., 219 CA 110. | 42A |
| <i>Workers' compensation; declaratory judgment; breach of contract; motion for summary judgment; motion to strike; whether trial court erred in granting plaintiff employer's motion for summary judgment on grounds that court improperly determined that defendant insurer's notice of cancellation of workers' compensation insurance policy was ineffective and that defendant breached its duty to defend or indemnify plaintiff under policy; claim that defendant's notice of cancellation of workers' compensation insurance policy pursuant to statute (§ 31-348) was effective because it was certain and unequivocal as required by § 31-348 and Dengler v. Special Attention Health Services, Inc. (62 Conn. App. 440); whether trial court erred in granting defendant's motion to strike claim asserting bad faith.</i> | |
| Stanziale v. Hunt, 219 CA 71 | 3A |
| <i>Negligence; contributory negligence; general verdict rule; whether general verdict rule barred this court from reviewing plaintiff motorcyclist's claims on appeal regarding contested evidence of speed at which motorcycle was traveling and length of its skid mark at time of accident; whether contested evidence was relevant to both grounds on which jury could have based its general verdict for defendants, defendants' denial of plaintiff's claim of negligence and defendants' special defense</i> | |

CONNECTICUT PRACTICE BOOK

Notice of Public Hearing on Practice Book Revisions being considered by the Rules Committee of the Superior Court appears beginning on Page 1PB. The proposed revisions were published in the Law Journal of April 25, 2022, and are posted on the Judicial Branch website at: www.jud.ct.gov/pb.htm.

(continued on next page)

of comparative negligence; claim that trial court improperly denied plaintiff's motion in limine to redact from his medical records all references to speed at which motorcycle had been traveling at time of accident; claim that defendants had burden of establishing that statements in plaintiff's medical records about speed at which motorcycle had been traveling were admissible under applicable exception to rule against hearsay; claim that trial court improperly permitted defendant husband of motor vehicle operator to testify about length of skid mark where husband had measured skid mark three hours after accident occurred.

State v. DeCosta, 219 CA 137 69A

Interfering with officer; claim that trial court improperly failed to advise defendant during its plea canvass that, by pleading guilty, defendant was waiving right to jury trial; whether defendant's payment of fine imposed by trial court during sentencing required dismissal of appeal pursuant to statute (§ 54-96a).

Volume 219 Cumulative Table of Cases 83A

CONNECTICUT PRACTICE BOOK

Notice of Public Hearing for Practice Book Revision Being Considered by the Rules Committee 1PB

Notice of Public Hearing for Practice Book Revisions to the Rules of Appellate Procedure being considered by the Rules Committee 3PB

CONNECTICUT CODE OF EVIDENCE

Adoption of Revisions to the Connecticut Code of Evidence 5PB

MISCELLANEOUS

Bar Examining Committee 1B

CONNECTICUT LAW JOURNAL

(ISSN 87500973)

Published by the State of Connecticut in accordance with the provisions of General Statutes § 51-216a.

Commission on Official Legal Publications
Office of Production and Distribution
111 Phoenix Avenue, Enfield, Connecticut 06082-4453
Tel. (860) 741-3027, FAX (860) 745-2178
www.jud.ct.gov

JOSEPH DIBENEDETTO, *Publications Deputy Director*

Published Weekly – Available at <https://www.jud.ct.gov/lawjournal>

Syllabuses and Indices of court opinions by
ERIC M. LEVINE, *Reporter of Judicial Decisions*
Tel. (860) 757-2250

The deadline for material to be published in the Connecticut Law Journal is Wednesday at noon for publication on the Tuesday six days later. When a holiday falls within the six day period, the deadline will be noon on Tuesday.