

CONNECTICUT LAW JOURNAL



Published in Accordance with
General Statutes Section 51-216a

VOL. LXXXIV No. 41

April 18, 2023

181 Pages

Table of Contents

CONNECTICUT REPORTS

Bayview Loan Servicing, LLC v. Gallant (Order), 346 C 922	28
Britto v. Bimbo Foods, Inc. (Order), 346 C 921	27
Dobie v. New Haven, 346 C 487	3
<i>Highway defect; negligence; motion to dismiss for lack of subject matter jurisdiction; certification from Appellate Court; whether Appellate Court correctly concluded that trial court improperly had denied defendant's posttrial motion to dismiss for lack of subject matter jurisdiction; whether concession by defendant city's counsel before Appellate Court that trial court had properly denied pretrial motion to dismiss equated to concession that trial court also had properly denied posttrial motion to dismiss, when facts alleged in complaint differed from facts established at trial; whether Appellate Court correctly concluded that highway defect statute (§ 13a-149) was plaintiff's exclusive remedy for injuries that he sustained when he drove vehicle over uncovered manhole on municipal roadway maintained by defendant city.</i>	
Federal National Mortgage Assn. v. Trojan (Order), 346 C 922	28
In re Eric M. (Order), 346 C 921	27
Russo v. Thornton (Order), 346 C 921	27
Santander Bank, N.A. v. Clark (Order), 346 C 922	28
Stanley v. Commissioner of Correction (Order), 346 C 919	25
State v. Charles L. (Order), 346 C 920	26
State v. Foster (Order), 346 C 920	26
Tracey v. Miami Beach Assn. (Order), 346 C 919	25
Volume 346 Cumulative Table of Cases	31

CONNECTICUT APPELLATE REPORTS

Bank of New York Mellon v. Gaetano (Memorandum Decision), 218 CA 907	133A
C. D. v. C. D., 218 CA 818	90A
<i>Dissolution of marriage; claim that trial court's judgment dissolving parties' marriage was inconsistent with court's subsequent articulations of that decision; claim that defendant was deprived of notice and meaningful opportunity to be heard when trial court articulated that it relied on its pretrial observations of parties when rendering judgment; claim that trial court incorrectly relied on plaintiff's receipt of pretrial payments from defendant in entering alimony orders; whether trial court's factual findings concerning incident between parties that resulted in defendant's arrest were clearly erroneous; claim that trial court improperly limited defendant's visitation with parties' minor children; whether trial court improperly delegated its judicial authority to nonjudicial entities in violation of statute (§ 46b-56 (g)) when it authorized children's therapeutic counselors to determine whether to afford defendant access to children's private therapy records; whether trial court abused its discretion in ordering defendant to pay child support to plaintiff without first determining presumptive support amount as required by regulations (§ 46b-215a-1 et seq.) governing child support.</i>	
Cortes v. Cortes (Memorandum Decision), 218 CA 904	130A
Day v. Commissioner of Correction (Memorandum Decision), 218 CA 907	133A

(continued on next page)

Fitzgerald v. Bridgeport, 218 CA 771	43A
<i>Declaratory judgment; mootness; vacatur; whether trial court erred in concluding that defendants did not follow proper civil service procedures pursuant to city charter in promoting defendant police captain to assistant police chief position; whether defendant's retirement from position of assistant police chief rendered appeal moot as there was no practical relief available to plaintiffs; whether vacatur of trial court's judgment was warranted under circumstances of case.</i>	
In re Amanda C., 218 CA 731	3A
<i>Termination of parental rights; Interstate Compact on Placement of Children (§ 17a-175); whether trial court properly determined that § 17a-175 did not apply when guardianship of minor children was transferred to out-of-state father and paternal aunt was appointed as coguardian.</i>	
In re Kharm A., 218 CA 750	22A
<i>Termination of parental rights; mootness; claim that trial court erroneously concluded that Department of Children and Families had made reasonable efforts to reunify respondent mother with minor child pursuant to statute (§ 17a-112 (j) (1)); whether, because mother failed to challenge on appeal one of two separate and independent bases for upholding trial court's determination that § 17a-112 (j) (1) had been satisfied, mother's claim was moot.</i>	
Montaz v. Chowdhury (Memorandum Decision), 218 CA 907	133A
Norwich v. Brenton Family Trust (Memorandum Decision), 218 CA 905	131A
Smith v. Commissioner of Correction (Memorandum Decision), 218 CA 904	130A
Stanley v. Commissioner of Correction (Memorandum Decision), 218 CA 905	131A
Stanley v. Leclerc (Memorandum Decision), 218 CA 906	132A
Stanley v. Macchiarulo (Memorandum Decision), 218 CA 905	131A
Stanley v. Quiros (Memorandum Decision), 218 CA 906	132A
Torres v. State, 218 CA 854	126A
<i>Petition for new trial; motion to dismiss; whether habeas court improperly dismissed petitioner's amended petition for new trial.</i>	
Trejo v. Yale New Haven Hospital, Inc., 218 CA 781	53A
<i>Employment discrimination; motion for summary judgment; whether trial court erred in granting defendant's motion for summary judgment; claim that trial court erred in concluding that there were no genuine issues of material fact regarding plaintiff's claims of gender and sexual orientation discrimination and retaliation; whether defendant's legitimate, nondiscriminatory justification for plaintiff's discharge was pretext for unlawful discrimination; whether there was any evidence that plaintiff engaged in protected activity giving rise to claim of retaliation prior to termination of employment; adoption of trial court's memorandum of decision as proper statement of facts and analysis of applicable law on issues.</i>	
Wells Fargo Bank, National Assn. v. Rattet (Memorandum Decision), 218 CA 906	132A
Woermer v. Prudential Ins. Co. of America (Memorandum Decision), 218 CA 904	130A
Wolfel v. Wolfel, 218 CA 760	32A
<i>Probate appeal; claim that Superior Court exceeded its authority by addressing issues that plaintiffs did not raise in appeal from decree of Probate Court; whether Superior Court erred in finding that plaintiffs failed to prove that payments made</i>	

CONNECTICUT LAW JOURNAL

(ISSN 87500973)

Published by the State of Connecticut in accordance with the provisions of General Statutes § 51-216a.

Commission on Official Legal Publications
Office of Production and Distribution
111 Phoenix Avenue, Enfield, Connecticut 06082-4453
Tel. (860) 741-3027, FAX (860) 745-2178
www.jud.ct.gov

JOSEPH DIBENEDETTO, *Publications Deputy Director*Published Weekly – Available at <https://www.jud.ct.gov/lawjournal>

Syllabuses and Indices of court opinions by
ERIC M. LEVINE, *Reporter of Judicial Decisions*
Tel. (860) 757-2250

The deadline for material to be published in the Connecticut Law Journal is Wednesday at noon for publication on the Tuesday six days later. When a holiday falls within the six day period, the deadline will be noon on Tuesday.

into trust account were sufficient to cure self-dealing distributions made to certain plaintiff from trust.
Volume 218 Cumulative Table of Cases 135A

MISCELLANEOUS

Notice of Application for Reinstatement to the Bar 1B