

# CONNECTICUT LAW JOURNAL



Published in Accordance with  
General Statutes Section 51-216a

---

VOL. LXXXIII No. 32                      February 8, 2022                      479 Pages

---

## Table of Contents

### CONNECTICUT REPORTS

Benjamin v. Corasaniti, 341 C 463 . . . . .	277
<i>Probate appeal; charitable trusts; whether trial court properly upheld decision of Probate Court, which concluded that donee had validly exercised his nongeneral testamentary powers of appointment under certain trusts established for his benefit by directing in his will that proceeds of sale of certain stock be distributed to charitable trust established by donee; whether unfunded charitable trust is permissible appointee of exercise of nongeneral testamentary power of appointment.</i>	
Benjamin v. Island Management, LLC, 341 C 189 . . . . .	3
<i>Request for information pursuant to provision (§ 34-255i (b) (2)) of Connecticut Uniform Limited Liability Company Act; alleged violation of defendant limited liability company's operating agreement; claim that, in order for investigation of mismanagement to be proper purpose, as required by § 34-255i (b) (2) (A), member of limited liability company must assert facts evidencing credible basis to infer that mismanagement may have occurred; claim that, in absence of credible proof requirement, there would be no basis to limit inspection to information directly connected to stated purpose of inspection, as is required by § 34-255i (b) (2) (C); claim that trial court was required to determine, pursuant to § 34-255i (b) (2) (C), that there was direct connection between each of the categories of information at issue and one of two specific purposes asserted in written demands for inspection of defendant's books and records but that it failed to engage in such analysis; claim that certain information sought at trial was not requested with reasonable particularity, as required by § 34-255i (b) (2) (B).</i>	
Grabe v. Hokin, 341 C 360 . . . . .	174
<i>Dissolution of marriage; prenuptial agreement; claim that trial court incorrectly determined that enforcement of prenuptial agreement was not unconscionable in light of all relevant facts and circumstances, despite occurrence of unforeseen events during marriage; whether it was inconsistent for trial court to conclude that it would be unconscionable to enforce attorney's fees provision of agreement while also finding remainder of agreement enforceable in light of severability clause in agreement.</i>	
Jordan v. Commissioner of Correction, 341 C 279 . . . . .	93
<i>Habeas corpus; ineffective assistance of counsel; claim that criminal trial counsel's performance was constitutionally deficient because she had failed to adequately investigate and to call six eyewitnesses whose testimony purportedly would have supported petitioner's self-defense claim; claim that trial counsel's performance was constitutionally deficient on ground that counsel had unreasonably failed to raise third-party culpability defense as result of her inadequate investigation and decision not to call certain witnesses; framework for inquiry into trial counsel's allegedly defective performance when trial counsel is unavailable to testify at habeas trial, discussed.</i>	
L. H.-S. v. N. B., 341 C 483 . . . . .	297
<i>Application for civil protection order pursuant to statute (§ 46b-16a); alleged stalking; appeal involving matter of substantial public interest pursuant to statute (§ 52-265a); claim that § 46b-16a was ambiguous with respect to whether to apply subjective-objective standard for determining whether applicant for civil protection order fears for his or her physical safety; claim that legislative history of statute supports objective-only standard; whether trial court improperly interpreted § 46b-16a as creating subjective-objective standard; whether trial court's findings relat-</i>	

(continued on next page)

*ing to whether plaintiff, in fact, feared for her physical safety were clearly erroneous; claim that trial court abused its discretion in excluding testimony that defendant had requested that plaintiff provide him with nude photographs and testimony regarding whether defendant ever had had suicidal thoughts or had taken medication for his mental health; unpreserved claim that § 46b-16a violated equal protection clause of Connecticut constitution; whether record was inadequate to review plaintiff's state constitutional claim.*

Larmel v. Metro North Commuter Railroad Co., 341 C 332 . . . . . 146  
*Personal injury action; civil arbitration; certification from Appellate Court; whether plaintiff's action filed after arbitration could be saved by accidental failure of suite statute (§ 52-592 (a)); whether plaintiff's prior action was "tried on its merits" within meaning of § 52-592 (a).*

State v. Bermudez, 341 C 233 . . . . . 47  
*Felony murder; certification from Appellate Court; whether Appellate Court correctly concluded that trial court properly admitted evidence of gang affiliations of defendant, among others, and evidence of key state witness' relocation by state after witness provided written statement to police that implicated defendant in victim's death; whether gang affiliation evidence was probative to explain why witness feared defendant and defendant's brothers, who had participated in the charged crime, and why she waited twelve years before providing statement to police; whether trial court's limiting instruction minimized prejudicial impact of evidence; whether trial court abused its discretion in determining that prejudicial effect of three salacious letters that witness had written to defendant outweighed their probative value; failure of defendant to establish that his constitutional rights to present defense and to confront witnesses against him were violated by trial court's decision to preclude admission of letters into evidence; whether Appellate Court correctly concluded that defendant's claim that trial court had violated his constitutional rights by precluding defense counsel from questioning witness about circumstances surrounding termination of her employment and her birth control practices was not constitutional in nature and that trial court did not abuse its discretion in precluding those lines of inquiry.*

State v. Hughes, 341 C 387 . . . . . 201  
*Manslaughter first degree with firearm; criminal possession of firearm; self-defense; motion for new trial; claim that state presented insufficient evidence to satisfy its burden of disproving defendant's claim of self-defense beyond reasonable doubt; claim that trial court improperly denied defendant's motion for new trial on ground that juror consulted dictionary for definition of "manslaughter"; applicability of presumption of prejudice articulated in Remmer v. United States (347 U.S. 227); whether defendant established his entitlement to presumption of prejudice; whether state satisfied its burden of proving that juror misconduct was harmless; whether juror misconduct caused actual prejudice to defendant.*

Toro Credit Co. v. Zeytoonjian, 341 C 316 . . . . . 130  
*Foreclosure; order of foreclosure by sale; whether trial court abused its discretion in ordering foreclosure by sale of two parcels encumbered by one mortgage; whether*

(continued on next page)

**CONNECTICUT LAW JOURNAL**  
 (ISSN 87500973)

Published by the State of Connecticut in accordance with the provisions of General Statutes § 51-216a.

Commission on Official Legal Publications  
 Office of Production and Distribution  
 111 Phoenix Avenue, Enfield, Connecticut 06082-4453  
 Tel. (860) 741-3027, FAX (860) 745-2178  
 www.jud.ct.gov

RICHARD J. HEMENWAY, *Publications Director*

Published Weekly – Available at <https://www.jud.ct.gov/lawjournal>

Syllabuses and Indices of court opinions by  
 ERIC M. LEVINE, *Reporter of Judicial Decisions*  
 Tel. (860) 757-2250

---

The deadline for material to be published in the Connecticut Law Journal is Wednesday at noon for publication on the Tuesday six days later. When a holiday falls within the six day period, the deadline will be noon on Tuesday.

*defendants appealed from final judgment for purposes of appellate jurisdiction when trial court had determined method of foreclosure and amount of debt; whether trial court properly considered remedies provision in mortgage agreement as one factor in determining whether to order foreclosure by sale.*

Wells Fargo Bank, N.A. v. Lorson, 341 C 430 . . . . . 244  
*Foreclosure; mortgages; judgment of strict foreclosure; certification from Appellate Court; whether compliance with applicable regulations of federal Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) is condition precedent to accelerating payment of debt and foreclosing on mortgage that is guaranteed or insured by Federal Housing Administration; whether compliance with applicable HUD regulations must be pleaded and ultimately proven by plaintiff lender; claim that plaintiff's compliance with applicable HUD regulations was condition subsequent rather than condition precedent to foreclosure; claim that, even if compliance with applicable HUD regulations is condition precedent to foreclosure, defendant borrower still should shoulder burden of pleading and proving noncompliance as special defense; adoption of burden shifting procedure to be followed in cases in which plaintiff lender is required to comply with HUD regulations before seeking acceleration of debt and foreclosure; whether Appellate Court correctly concluded that, even if plaintiff had burden to plead and prove compliance with applicable HUD regulations, evidence in record supported conclusion that plaintiff had met its burden.*

Woods v. Commissioner of Correction, 341 C 506 . . . . . 320  
*Habeas corpus; murder; whether Appellate Court improperly dismissed petitioner's appeal from habeas court's dismissal of his petition for writ of habeas corpus; claim that Appellate Court improperly construed allegations in petition, which petitioner filed as self-represented party, in concluding that he failed to raise claim regarding ineffective assistance of prior habeas counsel; appeal dismissed on ground that certification was improvidently granted.*

Volume 341 Cumulative Table of Cases . . . . . 323

**CONNECTICUT APPELLATE REPORTS**

Bank of New York Mellon v. Horsey (Memorandum Decision), 210 CA 904 . . . . . 138A

Carlson v. Carlson, 210 CA 501 . . . . . 53A  
*Dissolution of partnership; partition of assets; claim that trial court erred when it denied defendant's motions to inspect and copy corporate and partnership tax returns; claim that trial court erred when it failed to address dispute in presettlement notice between parties before settlement was reached; claim that trial court erred when it ordered plaintiffs to release all claims during 2015 settlement negotiations; claim that trial court erred when it authorized application for subdivision of property owned by partnership; claim that trial court erred when it appointed certain individual as receiver.*

Chase v. Commissioner of Correction, 210 CA 492 . . . . . 44A  
*Habeas corpus; ineffective assistance of counsel; claim that habeas court incorrectly concluded that petitioner failed to prove that his trial counsel's performance was deficient; whether habeas court reasonably concluded that petitioner did not overcome presumption that trial counsel had familiarized himself with topics germane to child sexual assault cases; whether petitioner failed to overcome presumption that trial counsel's examination and cross-examination of experts represented sound trial strategy.*

Cockayne v. Bristol Hospital, Inc., 210 CA 450 . . . . . 2A  
*Medical malpractice; motion for judgment notwithstanding verdict; motion to set aside verdict; applicable standard of review; whether use of differential diagnosis was proper and sufficient to establish theory of causation; claim that trial court improperly denied defendant's motions for judgment notwithstanding verdict and to set aside verdict; whether plaintiffs presented sufficient evidence in support of their claim of medical malpractice by employees of defendant.*

Glanz v. Commissioner of Motor Vehicles, 210 CA 515 . . . . . 67A  
*Administrative appeal; suspension of motor vehicle operator's license by defendant Commissioner of Motor Vehicles pursuant to statute (§ 14-227b); whether presumption in § 14-227b (g) that results of blood alcohol tests commenced within two hours of operation were sufficient to indicate plaintiff's blood alcohol content at time of operation violated plaintiff's right to due process under United States constitution; whether trial court erred in concluding that exception for rising*

(continued on next page)

	<i>blood alcohol content contained in criminal statute (§ 14-227a (b)) did not apply to administrative license suspension hearing.</i>	
Lucky 13 Industries, LLC v. Commissioner of Motor Vehicles, 210 CA 558 . . . . .		110A
	<i>Administrative appeal; motor vehicles; claim that federal law preempted any state regulation that purported to prohibit fee that plaintiff charged for release of motor vehicle subject to nonconsensual tow; claim that trial court improperly concluded that tow at issue was nonconsensual and that fee charged for release of vehicle was illegal; whether contract for expedited service in releasing vehicle, executed by plaintiff and tow driver who retrieved vehicle, transformed nonconsensual tow into consensual tow; claim that trial court improperly concluded that contract for expedited release of vehicle was void as against public policy.</i>	
Margarum v. Donut Delight, Inc., 210 CA 576 . . . . .		128A
	<i>Negligence; premises liability; business invitee; whether record was adequate pursuant to rules of practice (§§ 61-10 (a) and 63-8 (a)) to review plaintiff's claim that trial court erred in denying plaintiff's motion to set aside verdict; reviewability of inadequately briefed claim that trial court erred in denying plaintiff's motion to submit supplemental or amended jury interrogatories.</i>	
Rogalis, LLC v. Vazquez, 210 CA 548 . . . . .		100A
	<i>Summary process; claim that trial court erred by holding that plaintiff did not acquire from its predecessor in title, pursuant to quitclaim deed, right to evict defendant; claim that trial court erred by dismissing summary process action on ground that plaintiff's sole member did not have bona fide intention to use dwelling as principal residence; claim that trial court erred by dismissing summary process action on basis of court's posttrial consideration of extra-record evidence, namely, prior summary process action brought by plaintiff's predecessor in title against defendant.</i>	
Shelton v. State Board of Labor Relations, 210 CA 529 . . . . .		81A
	<i>Labor law; administrative appeal; whether trial court properly concluded that decision of defendant State Board of Labor Relations was erroneous as matter of law and predicated on factual findings that were not supported by record; adoption of trial court's memorandum of decision as proper statement of facts and applicable law on issues.</i>	
Trahan v. Cochran (Memorandum Decision), 210 CA 904 . . . . .		138A
U.S. Bank Trust, N.A. v. Black (Memorandum Decision), 210 CA 903 . . . . .		137A
Volume 210 Cumulative Table of Cases . . . . .		139A

---

### SUPREME COURT PENDING CASE

Summaries . . . . .		1B
---------------------	--	----

### NOTICES OF CONNECTICUT STATE AGENCIES

DSS—Notice of Proposed Covered Connecticut Program Demonstration Waiver Application . . . . .		1C
OHE—Notice of Intent to Adopt Electronic Filing System . . . . .		3C
CAA—Notice of Intent to Adopt Policy and Procedures . . . . .		4C

---

### MISCELLANEOUS

Notice of Reprimand of Attorney . . . . .		1D
---	--	----