


CONNECTICUT LAW JOURNAL



Published in Accordance with
General Statutes Section 51-216a

VOL. LXXXIII No. 31 February 1, 2022 271 Pages

Table of Contents

CONNECTICUT REPORTS

State v. A. B., 341 C 47	3
<i>Possession of child pornography first degree; motion to dismiss information; whether trial court properly granted defendant's motion to dismiss; claim that delay in executing arrest warrant was unreasonable under State v. Crawford (202 Conn. 443); whether trial court incorrectly concluded that applicable statute of limitations ((Rev. to 2009) § 54-193 (b)) was not tolled by tolling provision of § 54-193 (c).</i>	
State v. Bradley, 341 C 72	28
<i>Sale of controlled substance; violation of probation; motions to dismiss; standing; certification from Appellate Court; claim that defendant had standing, in his individual capacity, to raise constitutional challenge to his conviction of sale of controlled substance in violation of statute ((Rev. to 2017) § 21a-277 (b)) on ground that statute violated equal protection clause of federal constitution insofar as legislature enacted it for purpose of discriminating against African Americans and Mexican Americans; claim that defendant had established classical aggravement under State v. Long (268 Conn. 508) insofar as he was charged, prosecuted, and convicted under unconstitutional statute; whether defendant lacked standing to claim that § 21a-277 (b) violated equal protection rights of other racial and ethnic groups.</i>	
State v. Coltherst, 341 C 97	53
<i>Capital felony; murder; felony murder; kidnapping first degree; robbery first degree; robbery second degree; larceny first degree; conspiracy to commit kidnapping first degree; larceny fourth degree; motion to correct illegal sentence; certification from Appellate Court; claim that Appellate Court incorrectly concluded that trial court followed statutory (§ 54-91g) requirements in resentencing defendant; whether and when defendant, who was serving two distinct total effective sentences of eighty-five years of imprisonment and eighty years of imprisonment, to run consecutively, will be eligible for parole under applicable statutes (§§ 53a-38 (b) (2) and 54-125a (f) (1)); claim that § 54-91g applied to defendant; whether defendant met conditions under § 54-91g that restrict its application to child whose case has been transferred from juvenile docket to regular criminal docket and who has been convicted of class A or B felony pursuant to such transfer; whether § 54-91g applied retroactively to defendant.</i>	
State v. Streit, 341 C 170	126
<i>Manslaughter first degree; claim that trial court abused its discretion by denying motion seeking to introduce evidence, in support of defendant's self-defense claim, that victim had searched retail website for weapons in days preceding stabbing; whether trial court incorrectly concluded that evidence of victim's Internet searches was inadmissible evidence of victim's violent character; whether victim's online search history was admissible as prior act of misconduct.</i>	
State v. Ward, 341 C 142	98
<i>Manslaughter first degree; assault first degree; whether Appellate Court correctly concluded that trial court lacked subject matter jurisdiction over defendant's motion to correct illegal sentence on ground that he failed to allege colorable challenge, within scope of applicable rule of practice (§ 43-22), to sentencing procedure rather than underlying conviction.</i>	
Tillman v. Planning & Zoning Commission, 341 C 117	73
<i>Zoning; planned development districts; claim that zoning authority conferred by statute (§ 8-2) did not support creation of planned development district; claim</i>	

(continued on next page)

that this court's decision in *Campion v. Board of Aldermen* (278 Conn. 500), did not permit municipalities that derive their zoning authority from § 8-2 to create planned development districts; claim that planned development district proposed by defendant violated uniformity requirement of § 8-2; whether defendant planning and zoning commission's decision resulted in unlawful subdivision.

Volume 341 Cumulative Table of Cases 147

CONNECTICUT APPELLATE REPORTS

Avon v. Freedom of Information Commission, 210 CA 225 97A
Administrative appeal; subject matter jurisdiction; claim that trial court abused its discretion by holding that agency may require requestors to sign acknowledgement form as condition precedent to inspection of original public records; whether defendant was aggrieved by trial court's judgment when only public records sought were copies.

Baltas v. Commissioner of Correction, 210 CA 167 39A
Habeas corpus; ineffective assistance of counsel; whether habeas court abused its discretion in denying petition for certification to appeal; claim that petitioner's rights to autonomy and effective assistance of counsel were violated when his trial counsel conceded his guilt during closing arguments.

Davis v. Davis (Memorandum Decision), 210 CA 902. 108A

DiTullio v. LM General Ins. Co., 210 CA 347. 3A
Arbitration; underinsured motorist coverage; claim that trial court lacked statutory and common-law authority to modify arbitration award; whether trial court properly made deduction when confirming arbitration award; whether trial court properly calculated amount of judgment.

Karen v. Loftus, 210 CA 289 161A
Dissolution of marriage; motion to open; whether trial court applied correct legal standard with respect to plaintiff's motion to open.

Freidburg v. Kurtz, 210 CA 420 76A
Landlord-tenant; breach of lease agreement; negligence; claim that trial court erred in rendering judgment against defendants for damages to leased property without determining age and condition of property at commencement of tenancy and relative wear and tear of property at termination of tenancy; claim that trial court erred in failing to render judgment for defendants on their counterclaim relating to security deposit paid to plaintiff; whether trial court's finding regarding amount of security deposit paid pursuant to lease was clearly erroneous; whether trial court's determination that plaintiff properly provided defendants with written accounting of deductions made from security deposit, as required by applicable statute (§ 47a-21 (d) (2)), was clearly erroneous; whether plaintiff's alleged failure to retain security deposit in separate escrow account violated § 47a-21 (h) or Connecticut Unfair Trade Practices Act (CUTPA) (§ 42-110a et seq.).

Ill v. Manzo-III, 210 CA 364. 20A
Dissolution of marriage; postjudgment proceedings; motion for contempt; claim that trial court improperly limited plaintiff's defense at contempt hearing in

(continued on next page)

CONNECTICUT LAW JOURNAL

(ISSN 87500973)

Published by the State of Connecticut in accordance with the provisions of General Statutes § 51-216a.

Commission on Official Legal Publications
Office of Production and Distribution
111 Phoenix Avenue, Enfield, Connecticut 06082-4453
Tel. (860) 741-3027, FAX (860) 745-2178
www.jud.ct.gov

RICHARD J. HEMENWAY, *Publications Director*

Published Weekly – Available at <https://www.jud.ct.gov/lawjournal>

Syllabuses and Indices of court opinions by
ERIC M. LEVINE, *Reporter of Judicial Decisions*
Tel. (860) 757-2250

The deadline for material to be published in the Connecticut Law Journal is Wednesday at noon for publication on the Tuesday six days later. When a holiday falls within the six day period, the deadline will be noon on Tuesday.

deprivation of his due process rights; whether court's scheduling order reflected abuse of its discretion; whether plaintiff was afforded fair opportunity to present evidence at contempt hearing on contested issues.

Kiyak v. Dept. of Agriculture, 210 CA 311 183A
Appeal of animal control officer's disposal orders pursuant to statute (§ 22-358); claim that § 22-358 (c) was unconstitutionally vague as applied because it permitted animal control officers to issue disposal orders as they deem necessary, thereby authorizing arbitrary enforcement; whether plaintiff's right to procedural due process was violated because hearing officer used inadequate procedures in upholding animal control officer's issuance of disposal orders; whether hearing officer abused his discretion by admitting and considering animal control officer's expert testimony.

Nutmeg State Crematorium, LLC v. Dept. of Energy & Environmental Protection, 210 CA 384 40A
Administrative appeal; claim that trial court improperly dismissed administrative appeal from decision of Commissioner of Energy and Environmental Protection denying plaintiffs' application for two new source air permits; whether regulation (§ 22a-174-29 (b) (2)) required calculation of maximum allowable stack concentration for emissions of mercury at discharge point or property line; whether trial court properly interpreted and applied § 22a-174-29 (b) (2) to facts of present case; whether trial court improperly adjudicated issues not raised in administrative appeal; whether trial court erred by violating binding legal precedent and applicable statute (§ 4-183 (j)).

O'Brien v. Commissioner of Correction (Memorandum Decision), 210 CA 901 223A
 Ostapowicz v. Wisniewski, 210 CA 401 57A
Dissolution of marriage; whether trial court had subject matter jurisdiction over parties' premarital agreement; whether trial court abused its discretion in assigning defendant's separate property interests pursuant to parties' premarital agreement; claim that trial court erred in not placing total value on defendant's business interests pursuant to statute (§ 46b-81 (c)); whether trial court abused its discretion in assigning outstanding debt on parties' line of credit to plaintiff.

R. S. v. E. S., 210 CA 327 199A
Dissolution of marriage; mootness; subject matter jurisdiction; whether trial court erred when it entered pendente lite order related to travel restrictions; whether trial court erred when it entered certain orders.

Rider v. Rider, 210 CA 278 150A
Probate appeal; whether Superior Court correctly determined that it lacked subject matter jurisdiction over appeal from Probate Court decree approving final account on basis that appeal was untimely.

Sakon v. Sakonchick (Memorandum Decision), 210 CA 903 109A
 Salamone v. Wesleyan University, 210 CA 435 91A
Negligence; summary judgment; whether trial court properly rendered summary judgment for defendant; whether trial court correctly determined that plaintiffs failed to demonstrate existence of genuine issue of material fact as to whether alleged sexual assaults were reasonably foreseeable.

Stanley v. Barone, 210 CA 239 111A
Alleged deprivation of plaintiff inmate's federal constitutional rights; motion to dismiss; whether defendants were entitled to statutory (§ 4-165 (a)) immunity; whether trial court properly dismissed plaintiff's claims brought pursuant to federal statute (42 U.S.C. § 1983) on basis of doctrine of qualified immunity.

State v. Cusson, 210 CA 130 2A
Cruelty to persons; disorderly conduct; competency; whether trial court violated defendant's sixth amendment right to present defense by failing to take adequate procedural measures before ruling that victim was incompetent to testify at defendant's trial; whether trial court abused its discretion when it declined to contemporaneously observe victim before ruling on his competency to testify at trial; whether trial court abused its discretion when it denied defendant's motion to have victim examined by independent expert witness before ruling on victim's competency to testify; whether trial court abused its discretion when it denied defendant's motion to sanction prosecution for intimidating potential defense witnesses from testifying at trial; whether defendant's due process right to fair trial was violated as result of prosecutorial impropriety.

State v. Jones, 210 CA 249 121A
Possession of narcotics with intent to sell; criminal possession of pistol; carrying pistol without permit; claim that there was insufficient evidence to support defendant's conviction of criminal possession of pistol and carrying pistol without

(continued on next page)

<i>permit; claim that trial court committed plain error with respect to its jury instructions concerning criminal possession of pistol by omitting from its charge that state was required to prove that defendant intended to exercise control over handgun; claim that trial court erred by allowing impermissible opinion testimony regarding defendant's intent to sell narcotics.</i>	
State v. Prudhomme, 210 CA 176	48A
<i>Assault in first degree; cruelty to persons; tampering with physical evidence; whether reasonable possibility existed that trial court's instruction on adequacy of police investigation misled jury by failing to inform jury of defendant's right to have it consider inadequacy of police investigation in evaluating whether state proved his guilt beyond reasonable doubt; whether instructional error prejudiced defendant and was harmless beyond reasonable doubt; whether trial court violated defendant's rights to confront witnesses against him when it admitted into evidence police disciplinary report; whether police disciplinary report was admissible under business records exception (§ 52-180) to rule against hearsay.</i>	
Suliman v. Horowitz (Memorandum Decision), 210 CA 903	109A
Taber v. Taber, 210 CA 331	203A
<i>Dissolution of marriage; child custody and visitation; subject matter jurisdiction; whether appeal from order modifying custody was moot; whether trial court abused its discretion in ordering the defendant to pay arrearage of guardian ad litem fees.</i>	
Taylor v. Pollner, 210 CA 340	212A
<i>Adverse possession; quiet title; motion for order; attorney's fees; whether trial court abused its discretion in awarding monetary sanctions to compensate defendant for attorney's fees; whether award of attorney's fees were excessive, unreasonable, and clearly erroneous.</i>	
Village Mortgage Co. v. Garbus (Memorandum Decision), 210 CA 902.	224A
Wells Fargo Bank, N.A. v. Uznanska (Memorandum Decision), 210 CA 902.	224A
Wooden v. Perez, 210 CA 303	175A
<i>Adverse possession; standing; subject matter jurisdiction; motion to dismiss; whether administrator of decedent's estate had standing to pursue adverse possession claim with respect to certain real property owned by decedent at time of his death; whether trial court correctly determined that administrator of estate lacked standing because decedent's will devised property to trust.</i>	
Volume 210 Cumulative Table of Cases	111A

NOTICES OF CONNECTICUT STATE AGENCIES

Notice of Intent to Amend Connecticut Green Bank C-PACE Program Guidelines.	1B
Notice of Intent to Amend CHESLA Loan Program Manual	1B
Notice of Intent to Adopt the Alliance Teacher Refi – Loan Program	2B

MISCELLANEOUS

Notice of Application for Reinstatement	1C
---	----
