

ORDERS

CONNECTICUT REPORTS

VOL. 343

NOTE: This page (343 Conn. 916) is in replacement of the same numbered page that appears in the Connecticut Law Journal of March 31, 2022.

916

ORDERS

343 Conn.

STATE OF CONNECTICUT *v.* JAMAL SUMLER*

On consideration of the defendant's petition for certification to appeal from the Appellate Court, 199 Conn. App. 187 (AC 43024), it is ordered as follows:

"The petition is granted as to the defendant's claim that the testimony of the defendant's former probation officer identifying the defendant in a still photograph and video surveillance footage constituted impermissible opinion testimony on the ultimate issue and is denied as to all other claims presented for review. It is further ordered that the case is remanded to the Appellate Court with direction to consider the defendant's claim regarding the allegedly improper opinion testimony in light of this court's decisions in *State v. Bruny*, 342 Conn. 169, 269 A.3d 38 (2022), and *State v. Gore*, 342 Conn. 129, 269 A.3d 1 (2022)."

Naomi T. Fetterman, assigned counsel, in support of the petition.

Laurie N. Feldman, deputy assistant state's attorney, in opposition.

Decided May 17, 2022

HOSPITAL MEDIA NETWORK, LLC *v.*
JAMES G. HENDERSON ET AL.

The named defendant's petition for certification to appeal from the Appellate Court, 209 Conn. App. 395 (AC 43986), is denied.

McDONALD, J., did not participate in the consideration of or decision on this petition.

James G. Henderson, self-represented, in support of the petition.

Liam S. Burke and *Gary S. Klein*, in opposition.

Decided May 17, 2022

* Superseded. See *State v. Sumler*, 345 Conn. 961, A.3d (2022).