

CONNECTICUT LAW JOURNAL



Published in Accordance with
General Statutes Section 51-216a

VOL. LXXXIV No. 11 September 13, 2022 265 Pages

Table of Contents

CONNECTICUT REPORTS

Priore v. Haig, 344 C 636	2
<i>Defamation; certification from Appellate Court; whether public hearing on special permit application before town planning and zoning commission was quasi-judicial proceeding such that statements made during hearing were entitled to absolute immunity; factors that courts may consider in determining whether proceeding is quasi-judicial in nature, discussed; whether Appellate Court incorrectly determined that defendant's statements before planning and zoning commission were entitled to absolute immunity; whether Appellate Court improperly affirmed trial court's judgment dismissing plaintiff's action for lack of subject matter jurisdiction.</i>	
State v. Mekoshvili, 344 C 673	39
<i>Murder; certification from Appellate Court; whether Appellate Court correctly concluded that trial court properly declined request for specific unanimity instruction on self-defense; whether, in ordinary case, constitutional requirement that jury agree unanimously that state has proven each element of charged crime beyond reasonable doubt applied to defendant's claim of self-defense; whether jury was required to be unanimous as to each component of defendant's claim of self-defense; claim that uncomplicated criminal case, such as defendant's case, warranted specific unanimity instruction on ground that Connecticut's model criminal jury instruction on self-defense was so convoluted that jurors could not readily grasp and apply law of self-defense.</i>	
Volume 344 Cumulative Table of Cases	63

CONNECTICUT APPELLATE REPORTS

Coney v. Commissioner of Correction, 215 CA 99	41A
<i>Habeas corpus; dismissal of habeas petition as untimely pursuant to statute (§ 52-470 (d) and (e)); claim that habeas court erred in determining that petitioner failed to demonstrate good cause to overcome rebuttable presumption of unreasonable delay set forth in § 52-470 (d); factors set forth in Kelsey v. Commissioner of Correction (343 Conn. 424) for determining whether petitioner satisfied burden of demonstrating good cause, discussed.</i>	
Crenshaw v. Commissioner of Correction, 215 CA 207.	149A
<i>Habeas corpus; whether habeas court abused its discretion in denying petition for certification to appeal from judgment denying petition for writ of habeas corpus; whether petitioner's trial counsel rendered constitutionally deficient performance by failing to focus defense on theory that victim's death was caused by punches to her face; claim that trial counsel failed to prepare sufficiently, learn relevant forensic science and adequately cross-examine witnesses.</i>	
In re Lillyanne D., 215 CA 61.	3A
<i>Termination of parental rights; whether trial court committed harmful error when it admitted into evidence under residual exception to hearsay rule certain summary reports by Department of Children and Families' service provider; claim that trial court made erroneous evidentiary findings in terminating respondent father's parental rights; whether evidence was sufficient to support trial court's determination that, pursuant to statute (§ 17a-112 (j) (3) (B) (i)), father had failed to achieve such degree of personal rehabilitation as would encourage belief that,</i>	

(continued on next page)

	<i>within reasonable time, he could assume responsible position in child's life; whether trial court properly determined that it was in child's best interest to terminate father's parental rights.</i>	
Ocasio v. Verdura Construction, LLC, 215 CA 139	<i>Negligence; motion to set aside verdict; claim that trial court erred when it instructed jury and provided it with interrogatories to answer regarding ongoing storm doctrine; whether ongoing storm doctrine was relevant to plaintiff's claim that his injury was caused by defective railing; claim that trial court's alleged errors regarding jury instructions and interrogatories were harmful; claim that plaintiff failed to prove two essential elements of negligence claim; claim that plaintiff failed to submit necessary expert evidence in support of negligence claim.</i>	81A
Pennymac Corp. v. Tarzia, 215 CA 190	<i>Foreclosure; whether trial court correctly concluded that substitute plaintiff satisfied its burden of proof pursuant to statute (§ 8-265ee (a)) that original plaintiff sent proper notice of Emergency Mortgage Assistance Program to defendant; whether trial court improperly denied defendant's motion to open; whether trial court had subject matter jurisdiction over foreclosure action; whether trial court improperly weighed defendant's evidence in support of motion to open.</i>	132A
Smith v. Commissioner of Correction, 215 CA 167	<i>Habeas corpus; claim that petitioner's trial counsel provided ineffective assistance by failing to request jury instruction as to operability of firearm used during commission of robbery offense pursuant to sentence enhancement statute (§ 53-202k); claim that trial counsel rendered ineffective assistance by failing to inform petitioner of elements of charge of being persistent serious felony offender; claim that petitioner's plea of nolo contendere to charge of being persistent serious felony offender was not knowing, intelligent and voluntary.</i>	109A
Soto v. Commissioner of Correction, 215 CA 113	<i>Habeas corpus; whether habeas court erred in denying petition for writ of habeas corpus; whether petitioner's trial counsel rendered ineffective assistance during pretrial proceedings or by failing to investigate and present testimony of confidential informant at criminal trial; whether trial counsel's alleged errors prejudiced petitioner.</i>	55A
U.S. Bank National Assn. v. Trevino (Memorandum Decision), 215 CA 901		179A
Volume 215 Cumulative Table of Cases		157A

(continued on next page)

CONNECTICUT LAW JOURNAL

(ISSN 87500973)

Published by the State of Connecticut in accordance with the provisions of General Statutes § 51-216a.

Commission on Official Legal Publications
 Office of Production and Distribution
 111 Phoenix Avenue, Enfield, Connecticut 06082-4453
 Tel. (860) 741-3027, FAX (860) 745-2178
 www.jud.ct.gov

JOSEPH DIBENEDETTO, *Publications Deputy Director*Published Weekly – Available at <https://www.jud.ct.gov/lawjournal>

Syllabuses and Indices of court opinions by
 ERIC M. LEVINE, *Reporter of Judicial Decisions*
 Tel. (860) 757-2250

The deadline for material to be published in the Connecticut Law Journal is Wednesday at noon for publication on the Tuesday six days later. When a holiday falls within the six day period, the deadline will be noon on Tuesday.

SUPREME COURT PENDING CASES

Summaries 1B

MISCELLANEOUS

Notice of Merger and Continued Assignment of Cases from Superior Court Geographical
Area 1C
Notice of Application for Reinstatement 1C
