


CONNECTICUT LAW JOURNAL



Published in Accordance with
General Statutes Section 51-216a

VOL. LXXXIV No. 10

September 6, 2022

247 Pages

Table of Contents

CONNECTICUT REPORTS

Board of Education v. Commission on Human Rights & Opportunities, 344 C 603.	41
<i>Disability discrimination; whether trial court properly dismissed appeal by plaintiff board of education from decision of human rights referee of defendant Commission on Human Rights and Opportunities; claim that board discriminated against student on basis of mental or intellectual disability, in violation of statute (§ 46a-64); claim of violation of general antidiscrimination statute (§ 46a-58 (a)) and violation of Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990 (42 U.S.C. § 12101 et seq.), as predicate for claim under § 46a-58 (a); whether trial court correctly determined that commission had subject matter jurisdiction to adjudicate claim pursuant to § 46a-58 (a) that board violated Americans with Disabilities Act; whether student's father was required to exhaust administrative remedies under Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (20 U.S.C. § 1400 et seq.) or state statute (§ 10-76h) prior to filing complaint seeking relief from denial of free and appropriate public education; whether complaint sought relief from denial of free and appropriate public education; reviewability of claim that human rights referee incorrectly determined that public school is place of public accommodation.</i>	
State v. Patrick M., 344 C 565	3
<i>Murder; criminal possession of firearm; claim that evidence was insufficient to support defendant's conviction; claim that prosecutor improperly commented on defendant's invocation of his right to remain silent following advisement of his rights pursuant to Miranda v. Arizona (384 U.S. 436), in violation of his due process right to fair trial; standard applicable to ambiguous prosecutorial remarks that reasonably could be interpreted to refer either to defendant's pre-Miranda or post-Miranda silence, discussed; whether trial court abused its discretion in admitting certain evidence of defendant's uncharged misconduct.</i>	
Volume 344 Cumulative Table of Cases	75

CONNECTICUT APPELLATE REPORTS

Harrigan v. Fidelity National Title Ins. Co., 214 CA 787	3A
<i>Connecticut Unfair Trade Practices Act (CUTPA) (§ 42-110a et seq.); Connecticut Unfair Insurance Practices Act (CUIPA) (§ 38a-815 et seq.); whether evidence presented at trial established that defendant engaged in unfair claims settlement practices with such frequency to establish general business practice, as required to show violation of CUIPA; whether trial court's determination that plaintiff failed to set forth valid CUIPA claim was fatal to defendant's CUTPA claim.</i>	
In re Police Case Numbers: Meriden PD 20-003903, 20-005055 & Berlin PD 2020-11662, 214 CA 847	63A
<i>Motions to quash search and seizure warrant; subject matter jurisdiction; mootness; claim that trial court erred in dismissing motions to quash on ground that it lacked subject matter jurisdiction because there was no pending criminal action against individual named in warrant; whether appeal was moot following individual's arrest stemming from same investigation that prompted search warrant.</i>	
K. D. v. D. D., 214 CA 821	37A
<i>Application for civil restraining order; claim that trial court erred in failing to apply objective standard to its determination when it issued civil restraining order against defendant based on pattern of threatening provision of statute (§ 46b-</i>	

(continued on next page)

15 (a)); whether § 46b-15 contains any statutory language requiring subjective-objective analysis. 70A

Rek v. Pettit, 214 CA 854 70A
Motion for review of order determining that no automatic appellate stay was in effect; motion for review of order denying motion for discretionary stay; claim that trial court abused its discretion in ordering parties to comply with orders regarding therapy and visitation of minor child pending appeal; claim that trial court abused its discretion in denying appellate stay of orders as not in best interest of minor child; whether trial court abused its discretion in suspending therapy between minor child and therapist pending appeal.

Velasco v. Commissioner of Correction, 214 CA 831 47A
Habeas corpus; whether habeas court erred in dismissing petition for writ of habeas corpus; whether release provision in settlement agreement between petitioner and state was unconscionable; whether settlement agreement was enforceable with respect to habeas petition.

Volume 214 Cumulative Table of Cases 87A

Pollard v. Geico General Ins. Co., 215 CA 11 105A
Underinsured motorist benefits; breach of contract; motion for summary judgment; whether plaintiff's written notice to defendant insurer of automobile accident satisfied tolling provision of underinsured motorist insurance policy.

Scott v. Scott, 215 CA 24 118A
Dissolution of marriage; postdissolution motion for contempt; award of attorney's fees pursuant to statute (§ 46b-87); whether trial court erred in denying motion for contempt on ground that date in parties' separation agreement for commencement of financial obligations was ambiguous; claim that trial court modified separation agreement's child support order such that plaintiff was not required to pay for certain of children's expenses; claim that trial court abused its discretion by not requiring plaintiff to reimburse defendant for certain expenses defendant unilaterally incurred on behalf of parties' minor children; whether trial court erred in determining that defendant was not entitled to full reimbursement from plaintiff for cost of children's dental procedures; whether trial court abused its discretion in awarding plaintiff attorney's fees under § 46b-87, which permits award of fees to prevailing party in contempt proceeding.

Williams v. Mansfield, 215 CA 1 95A
Petition to reopen parking violation assessment; subject matter jurisdiction; mootness; whether trial court improperly dismissed plaintiff's appeal of assessment issued by defendant town's hearing officer as moot; whether trial court improperly denied motion for order of mandamus to compel taxation of costs on ground that plaintiff was not prevailing party.

Volume 215 Cumulative Table of Cases 157A

(continued on next page)

CONNECTICUT LAW JOURNAL
 (ISSN 87500973)

Published by the State of Connecticut in accordance with the provisions of General Statutes § 51-216a.

Commission on Official Legal Publications
 Office of Production and Distribution
 111 Phoenix Avenue, Enfield, Connecticut 06082-4453
 Tel. (860) 741-3027, FAX (860) 745-2178
 www.jud.ct.gov

JOSEPH DIBENEDETTO, *Publications Deputy Director*
 Published Weekly – Available at <https://www.jud.ct.gov/lawjournal>

Syllabuses and Indices of court opinions by
 ERIC M. LEVINE, *Reporter of Judicial Decisions*
 Tel. (860) 757-2250

The deadline for material to be published in the Connecticut Law Journal is Wednesday at noon for publication on the Tuesday six days later. When a holiday falls within the six day period, the deadline will be noon on Tuesday.

SUPREME COURT PENDING CASES

Summaries 1B

MISCELLANEOUS

Notice of Certification as Authorized House Counsel 1C
Notice of Reprimand of Attorney 1C
