

# CONNECTICUT LAW JOURNAL



Published in Accordance with  
General Statutes Section 51-216a

VOL. LXXXIV No. 7

August 16, 2022

163 Pages

## Table of Contents

### CONNECTICUT REPORTS

<p>Diaz v. Commissioner of Correction, 344 C 365 . . . . .</p> <p><i>Habeas corpus; denial of habeas petition; denial of petition for certification to appeal from habeas court's judgment; certification from Appellate Court; circumstances under which criminal conviction may be reversed on basis of attorney's conflicted loyalties, discussed; claim that it is per se conflict of interest for individual to simultaneously serve as Connecticut police officer and represent criminal defendant, even if alleged crimes were committed, investigated, and prosecuted outside of city or town in which officer serves; whether statute (§ 54-1f (b)) requiring police officers to arrest all suspected felons under all circumstances, regardless of when or where suspected crime was committed, created per se conflict of interest for police officer representing criminal defendant; whether habeas court abused its discretion in denying certification to appeal; claim that defense attorney's undisclosed status as police officer became actual conflict of interest during petitioner's criminal trial insofar as it led attorney to hold back when cross-examining other police officers.</i></p> <p>State v. Qayyum, 344 C 302 . . . . .</p> <p><i>Conspiracy to sell narcotics; possession of narcotics with intent to sell; certification from Appellate Court; reviewability of defendant's claim that Appellate Court incorrectly concluded that trial court had not abused its discretion in permitting expert testimony from police detective on issue of whether defendant intended to sell narcotics; whether trial court's admission of testimony regarding defendant's lack of reportable wages, even if improper, was harmful.</i></p> <p>State v. Rogers, 344 C 343 . . . . .</p> <p><i>Murder; conspiracy to commit murder; assault first degree; certification from Appellate Court; whether this court should exercise its supervisory authority over administration of justice to reverse defendant's conviction despite defendant's failure to preserve objection to state's untimely disclosure of expert witness when defendant's codefendant successfully had his conviction reversed in light of that untimely disclosure; whether defendant and codefendant were similarly situated or similarly harmed by state's untimely disclosure of expert witness; claim that this court should review merits of defendant's unpreserved claim that trial court improperly had failed to conduct hearing pursuant to State v. Porter (241 Conn. 57) prior to allowing witness to testify regarding certain cell site location information; whether defendant was relieved of obligation to preserve his claim regarding Porter hearing in light of this court's decision in State v. Edwards (325 Conn. 97), which was released after defendant's trial but during pendency of his appeal, that Porter hearing is required prior to admission of evidence concerning cell site location information and in light of this court's subsequent determination that rule announced in Edwards applied retroactively.</i></p> <p>Vogue v. Administrator, Unemployment Compensation Act, 344 C 321 . . . . .</p> <p><i>Unemployment compensation; certification from Appellate Court; appeal from trial court's judgment dismissing appeal from decision of Employment Security Board of Review; whether Appellate Court correctly concluded that plaintiff was liable for unpaid unemployment compensation contributions under Unemployment Compensation Act (§ 31-222 et seq.) on ground that tattoo artist who worked on plaintiff's premises was employee rather than independent contractor; whether tattoo artist was plaintiff's employee under part B of ABC test under § 31-222 (a)</i></p>	<p>65</p> <p>2</p> <p>43</p> <p>21</p>
---	--

(continued on next page)

(1) (B) (ii) (II) insofar as record contained substantial evidence that provision of tattoo services was within plaintiff's usual course of business. . . . . 105  
 Volume 344 Cumulative Table of Cases . . . . . 105

**CONNECTICUT APPELLATE REPORTS**

Maye v. Canady, 214 CA 455 . . . . . 3A  
*Landlord-tenant; action to recover possession of certain premises and personal property following allegedly unlawful entry and detainer by defendants in violation of statute (§ 47a-43); reviewability of claim that trial court improperly found that plaintiff and defendant had landlord-tenant relationship; claim that trial court erred in its calculation of damages award; whether trial court's award for certain of plaintiff's moving expenses was clearly erroneous.*  
 Volume 214 Cumulative Table of Cases . . . . . 17A

**MISCELLANEOUS**

Notice of Suspension of Attorney . . . . . 1B

**ASSIGNMENT OF JUDGES**

Assignment of Judges 22-23 . . . . . 1C

**CONNECTICUT LAW JOURNAL**

(ISSN 87500973)

Published by the State of Connecticut in accordance with the provisions of General Statutes § 51-216a.

Commission on Official Legal Publications  
 Office of Production and Distribution  
 111 Phoenix Avenue, Enfield, Connecticut 06082-4453  
 Tel. (860) 741-3027, FAX (860) 745-2178  
 www.jud.ct.gov

*Published Weekly – Available at <https://www.jud.ct.gov/lawjournal>*

Syllabuses and Indices of court opinions by  
 ERIC M. LEVINE, *Reporter of Judicial Decisions*  
 Tel. (860) 757-2250

=====  
 The deadline for material to be published in the Connecticut Law Journal is Wednesday at noon for publication on the Tuesday six days later. When a holiday falls within the six day period, the deadline will be noon on Tuesday.