CONNECTICUT

LAW JOURNAL



Published in Accordance with General Statutes Section 51-216a

VOL. LXXXIV No. 6

August 9, 2022

240 Pages

Table of Contents

CONNECTICUT REPORTS

State v. Patterson, 344 C 281. Murder; whether trial court abused its discretion in admitting uncharged misconduct evidence; claim that testimony by state's firearms expert was irrelevant to issue of shooter's identity insofar as witness' methodology lacked scientific reliability; claim that prejudicial effect of uncharged misconduct evidence outweighed its probative value; claim that uncharged misconduct evidence was cumulative. State v. Samuolis, 344 C 200. Murder; assault first degree; attempt to commit assault first degree; claim that trial court improperty denied defendant's motion to suppress certain evidence seized by police officers as result of their warrantless entry into his home; whether officers' warrantless entry into defendant's home was justified under emergency exception to warrant requirement of fourth amendment to United States constitution; whether, under totality of circumstances, it was reasonably objective for officers to conclude that there was emergency justifying their initial entry into defendant's home; applicability of emergency exception in light of United States Supreme Court's decision in Caniglia v. Strom (141 S. Ct. 1596), discussed. State v. Smith, 344 C 299. Robbery first degree; conspiracy to commit robbery first degree; assault first degree; arson second degree; conspiracy to commit arson second degree; attempt to commit murder; conspiracy to commit maron second degree; attempt to commit murder; conspiracy to commit maron second degree; attempt to commit murder; conspiracy to commit murder; large third degree; interfering with officer; claim that trial court improperty denied defendant's motion to suppress evidence discovered during search of his cell phone and evidence obtained from his cell phone provider; whether warrants were sufficiently particular to comport with fourth amendment to United States constitution; whether any error in denial of defendant's motion to suppress was harmless beyond reasonable doubt. Volume 344 Cumulative Table of Cases CONNECTICUT APPE	State v. Bowden, 344 C 266	68
Murder; whether trial court abused its discretion in admitting uncharged misconduct evidence; claim that testimony by state's firearms expert was irrelevant to issue of shooter's identity insofar as witness' methodology lacked scientific reliability; claim that prejudicial effect of uncharged misconduct evidence outweighed its probative value; claim that uncharged misconduct evidence was cumulative. State v. Samuolis, 344 C 200	State v. Patterson, 344 C 281	83
Murder; assault first degree; attempt to commit assault first degree; claim that trial court improperly denied defendant's motion to suppress certain evidence seized by police officers as result of their warrantless entry into his home; whether officers' warrantless entry into defendant's home was justified under emergency exception to warrant requirement of fourth amendment to United States constitution; whether, under totality of circumstances, it was reasonably objective for officers to conclude that there was emergency justifying their initial entry into defendant's home, applicability of emergency exception in light of United States Supreme Court's decision in Caniglia v. Strom (141 S. Ct. 1596), discussed. State v. Smith, 344 C 229	Murder; whether trial court abused its discretion in admitting uncharged misconduct evidence; claim that testimony by state's firearms expert was irrelevant to issue of shooter's identity insofar as witness' methodology lacked scientific reliability; claim that prejudicial effect of uncharged misconduct evidence outweighed its	
court improperly denied defendant's motion to suppress certain evidence seized by police officers as result of their warrantless entry into his home; whether officers' warrantless entry into defendant's home was justified under emergency exception to warrant requirement of fourth amendment to United States constitution; whether, under totality of circumstances, it was reasonably objective for officers to conclude that there was emergency justifying their initial entry into defendant's home; applicability of emergency exception in light of United States Supreme Court's decision in Caniglia v. Strom (141 S. Ct. 1596), discussed. State v. Smith, 344 C 229. Robbery first degree; conspiracy to commit robbery first degree; assault first degree; arson second degree; conspiracy to commit arson second degree; attempt to commit murder; conspiracy to commit murder; larceny third degree; interfering with officer; claim that trial court improperly denied defendant's motion to suppress evidence discovered during search of his cell phone and evidence obtained from his cell phone provider; whether warrants authorizing searches were supported by probable cause; whether warrants were sufficiently particular to comport with fourth amendment to United States constitution; whether any error in denial of defendant's motion to suppress was harmless beyond reasonable doubt. Volume 344 Cumulative Table of Cases CONNECTICUT APPELLATE REPORTS Brass Mill Center, LLC v. Subway Real Estate Corp., 214 CA 379 Indemnification; summary judgment; claim that trial court erred in denying summary judgment for defendant and rendering summary judgment for plaintiff; whether defendant security company had duty to defend and indemnify plaintiff in wrongful death action involving pedestrian death on mall roadway pursuant to language of its contract with plaintiff to provide security services to mall property. CIT Bank, N.A. v. Francis, 214 CA 332 Foreclosure; discovery; protective order; whether trial court abused its discretion by granting prot	State v. Samuolis, 344 C 200	2
Robbery first degree; conspiracy to commit robbery first degree; assault first degree; arson second degree; conspiracy to commit arson second degree; attempt to commit murder; conspiracy to commit murder; larceny third degree; interfering with officer; claim that trial court improperly denied defendant's motion to suppress evidence discovered during search of his cell phone and evidence obtained from his cell phone provider; whether warrants authorizing searches were supported by probable cause; whether warrants were sufficiently particular to comport with fourth amendment to United States constitution; whether any error in denial of defendant's motion to suppress was harmless beyond reasonable doubt. Volume 344 Cumulative Table of Cases	court improperly denied defendant's motion to suppress certain evidence seized by police officers as result of their warrantless entry into his home; whether officers' warrantless entry into defendant's home was justified under emergency exception to warrant requirement of fourth amendment to United States constitution; whether, under totality of circumstances, it was reasonably objective for officers to conclude that there was emergency justifying their initial entry into defendant's home; applicability of emergency exception in light of United States Supreme Court's decision in Caniglia v. Strom (141 S. Ct. 1596), discussed.	
CONNECTICUT APPELLATE REPORTS Brass Mill Center, LLC v. Subway Real Estate Corp., 214 CA 379	Robbery first degree; conspiracy to commit robbery first degree; assault first degree; arson second degree; conspiracy to commit arson second degree; attempt to commit murder; conspiracy to commit murder; larceny third degree; interfering with officer; claim that trial court improperly denied defendant's motion to suppress evidence discovered during search of his cell phone and evidence obtained from his cell phone provider; whether warrants authorizing searches were supported by probable cause; whether warrants were sufficiently particular to comport with fourth amendment to United States constitution; whether any error in denial of defendant's motion to suppress was harmless beyond reasonable doubt.	
Brass Mill Center, LLC v. Subway Real Estate Corp., 214 CA 379	Volume 344 Cumulative Table of Cases	105
Indemnification; summary judgment; claim that trial court erred in denying summary judgment for defendant and rendering summary judgment for plaintiff; whether defendant security company had duty to defend and indemnify plaintiff in wrongful death action involving pedestrian death on mall roadway pursuant to language of its contract with plaintiff to provide security services to mall property. CIT Bank, N.A. v. Francis, 214 CA 332	CONNECTICUT APPELLATE REPORTS	
Foreclosure; discovery; protective order; whether trial court abused its discretion by granting protective order that precluded defendant heir from engaging in discovery	Indemnification; summary judgment; claim that trial court erred in denying summary judgment for defendant and rendering summary judgment for plaintiff; whether defendant security company had duty to defend and indemnify plaintiff in wrongful death action involving pedestrian death on mall roadway pursuant to language of its contract with plaintiff to provide security services to mall property.	
	Foreclosure; discovery; protective order; whether trial court abused its discretion by	ZA 、

(continued on next page)

to develop and pursue special defenses; claim that state and federal law prohibited plaintiff from complying with discovery requests; claim that heir could have amended stricken special defenses or obtained discovery from sources other than plaintiff; claim that heir was required to file affidavit pursuant to applicable rule of practice (§ 17-47) in response to plaintiff's motion for summary judgment as to special defenses. Gianetti v. Neigher, 214 CA 394	64A 28A
delay in filing third habeas petition; whether habeas court abused its discretion in denying motion for disqualification of habeas judge.	1054
Volume 214 Cumulative Table of Cases	125A
MISCELLANEOUS	
Judicial Review Council—Public Cenusre - Memorandum of Decision	1B

CONNECTICUT LAW JOURNAL

(ISSN 87500973)

Published by the State of Connecticut in accordance with the provisions of General Statutes \S 51-216a.

Commission on Official Legal Publications Office of Production and Distribution 111 Phoenix Avenue, Enfield, Connecticut 06082-4453 Tel. (860) 741-3027, FAX (860) 745-2178 www.jud.ct.gov

 $Published\ Weekly-Available\ at\ \underline{\text{https://www.jud.ct.gov/lawjournal}}$

Syllabuses and Indices of court opinions by Eric M. Levine, *Reporter of Judicial Decisions* Tel. (860) 757-2250

The deadline for material to be published in the Connecticut Law Journal is Wednesday at noon for publication on the Tuesday six days later. When a holiday falls within the six day period, the deadline will be noon on Tuesday.