

# CONNECTICUT LAW JOURNAL



Published in Accordance with  
General Statutes Section 51-216a

---

VOL. LXXXIII No. 28                      January 11, 2022                      423 Pages

---

## Table of Contents

### CONNECTICUT REPORTS

<p>Meribear Productions, Inc. v. Frank, 340 C 711 . . . . .</p> <p><i>Action to enforce foreign default judgment; breach of contract; quantum meruit; personal jurisdiction; claim that trial court improperly enforced California judgment against defendant husband; whether defendant husband consented to jurisdiction of California court by virtue of forum selection clause in home staging services agreement that was signed solely by defendant wife, when defendant husband negotiated agreement with plaintiff and signed addendum to agreement; claim that agreement was unenforceable against defendant wife because plaintiff had failed to comply with provisions of Home Solicitation Sales Act (§ 42-134a et seq.); whether home staging services agreement, intended to make defendants' residence more attractive for sale, was exempt from provisions of Home Solicitation Sales Act; whether agreement pertained to sale of real property; claim that trial court improperly awarded double damages to plaintiff; whether court's award of contractual damages was improper insofar as it included damages for conversion of plaintiff's inventory.</i></p> <p>State v. Correa, 340 C 619 . . . . .</p> <p><i>Conspiracy to possess controlled substance with intent to sell; conspiracy to possess narcotics with intent to sell by person who is not drug-dependent; conspiracy to operate drug factory; whether canine sniff of exterior door to defendant's motel room was search for purposes of article first, § 7, of Connecticut constitution; claim that, even if canine sniff of motel room door was search, such search could be conducted without warrant, as long as search was based on reasonable and articulable suspicion that there were illicit drugs in room; claim that, even if canine sniff violated defendant's rights under state constitution, evidence seized from defendant's motel room was admissible under independent source or inevitable discovery doctrine; whether Appellate Court and trial court correctly determined that visual sweep of defendant's motel room was justified by exigent circumstances; claim that any impropriety with respect to visual sweep was obviated by independent source doctrine.</i></p> <p>Volume 340 Cumulative Table of Cases . . . . .</p>	<p>95</p> <p>3</p> <p>147</p>
--	-------------------------------

### CONNECTICUT APPELLATE REPORTS

<p>Aldin Associates Ltd. Partnership v. State, 209 CA 741. . . . .</p> <p><i>Writ of mandamus; sovereign immunity; underground storage tank petroleum clean-up program; claim that trial court improperly granted defendants' motion to dismiss action seeking adjudication of applications submitted by plaintiff seeking reimbursement for costs incurred in remediating certain of its properties; whether sovereign immunity barred plaintiff's claim for mandamus relief; whether state, either expressly or by force of necessary implication, waived sovereign immunity under applicable statute (§ 22a-449g); whether plaintiff alleged property interest protected under takings clause of state constitution.</i></p> <p>Baker v. Argueta, 209 CA 843 . . . . .</p> <p><i>Dissolution of marriage; whether defendant's claims related to trial court's finding of defendant's presumptive child support amount in court's original decision were rendered moot following issuance of court's corrected decision.</i></p>	<p>113A</p> <p>215A</p>
--	-------------------------

(continued on next page)

Cokic v. Fiore Powersports, LLC, 209 CA 853 . . . . . 225A  
*Motion for attorney’s fees; subject matter jurisdiction; whether trial court erred in awarding attorney’s fees to defendant upon finding that plaintiff’s claim against defendant was without merit and brought in bad faith; whether appeal should be dismissed as to portion brought by attorney who was not party to underlying action; whether trial court erred in awarding attorney’s fees to defendant without scheduling hearing.*

LendingHome Marketplace, LLC v. Traditions Oil Group, LLC, 209 CA 862 . . . . . 234A  
*Foreclosure; whether trial court abused its discretion in denying defendant’s motion to open judgment of strict foreclosure; whether trial court abused its discretion in denying defendant’s motion to reargue/reconsider its ruling on defendant’s motion to open judgment.*

Parker v. Zoning Commission, 209 CA 631. . . . . 3A  
*Administrative appeal; claim that Superior Court improperly concluded that zoning commission’s approval of application to modify special permit did not constitute impermissible expansion of nonconforming structure; whether Superior Court improperly concluded that application to modify special permit did not constitute impermissible expansion of nonconforming use; claim that certain accessory uses in application to modify special permit were not permitted accessory uses under zoning regulations; whether Superior Court failed to require compliance with special permit standards in zoning regulations.*

Purnell v. Inland Wetlands & Watercourses Commission, 209 CA 688 . . . . . 60A  
*Appeal from decision by defendant inland wetlands and watercourses commission granting permit to conduct regulated activities pursuant to Inland Wetlands and Watercourses Act (§§ 22a-36 through 22a-45); whether commission’s posthearing receipt and consideration of letter that referenced certain data, and conditioning of commission’s approval of permit application on submission of additional material, violated plaintiffs’ right to fundamental fairness; claim that commission improperly failed to conduct de novo review of every aspect of permit application; claim that Superior Court improperly concluded that substantial evidence supported commission’s decision to approve permit application; claim that Superior Court improperly upheld approval of permit application in absence of finding by commission of feasible and prudent alternatives.*

State v. Reed, 209 CA 873 . . . . . 245A  
*Larceny in first degree; attempt to commit larceny in first degree; larceny in second degree; conspiracy to commit larceny in first degree; claim that trial court failed to instruct jury that specific intent requirement for any taking or appropriation of property in charge of larceny must also apply to wrongfulness element of offense; whether jury charge adequately conveyed appropriate levels of intent for both taking and retaining property in accordance with State v. Saez (115 Conn. App. 295); claim that trial court improperly instructed jury regarding victim’s possible mental incapacity and his ability to consent to transfer of property to defendant.*

State v. Wilson, 209 CA 779 . . . . . 151A  
*Murder; carrying pistol without permit; whether trial court erred when it instructed*

(continued on next page)

**CONNECTICUT LAW JOURNAL**  
 (ISSN 87500973)

Published by the State of Connecticut in accordance with the provisions of General Statutes § 51-216a.

Commission on Official Legal Publications  
 Office of Production and Distribution  
 111 Phoenix Avenue, Enfield, Connecticut 06082-4453  
 Tel. (860) 741-3027, FAX (860) 745-2178  
 www.jud.ct.gov

RICHARD J. HEMENWAY, *Publications Director*

*Published Weekly – Available at <https://www.jud.ct.gov/lawjournal>*

Syllabuses and Indices of court opinions by  
 ERIC M. LEVINE, *Reporter of Judicial Decisions*  
 Tel. (860) 757-2250

---

The deadline for material to be published in the Connecticut Law Journal is Wednesday at noon for publication on the Tuesday six days later. When a holiday falls within the six day period, the deadline will be noon on Tuesday.

*jury regarding adequacy of police investigation; whether defendant's instructional claim on appeal was substantially different from claim he raised at trial and, thus, was unpreserved; claim that defendant waived request for jury instruction on inadequacy of police investigation; whether trial court's use of model police investigative inadequacy instruction was harmful; whether trial court abused its discretion by admitting uncharged misconduct evidence.*

Zubrowski v. Commissioner of Correction, 209 CA 828 . . . . . 200A

*Habeas corpus; whether habeas court properly denied petition for writ of habeas corpus; claim that trial counsel rendered ineffective assistance by failing to consult with and present testimony from crime scene reconstruction expert; claim that trial counsel rendered ineffective assistance by failing to consult with and present testimony of forensic toxicologist; claim that trial counsel rendered ineffective assistance by failing to adequately object to or otherwise seek to preclude prior misconduct testimony.*

Volume 209 Cumulative Table of Cases . . . . . 259A

---

**SUPREME COURT PENDING CASE**

Summaries . . . . . 1B

---

**MISCELLANEOUS**

Notice of Attorney Resignation . . . . . 1C

---