

# CONNECTICUT LAW JOURNAL



Published in Accordance with  
General Statutes Section 51-216a

---

VOL. LXXXIV No. 2                      July 12, 2022                      200 Pages

---

## Table of Contents

### CONNECTICUT REPORTS

Bennetta v. Derby (Order), 344 C 903 . . . . .	21
In re Madison C. (Order), 344 C 903 . . . . .	21
Middlebury v. Fraternal Order of Police, Middlebury Lodge No. 34 (Order), 344 C 901 . .	19
Pistello-Jones v. Jones (Order), 344 C 901 . . . . .	19
Sakon v. Sakonchick (Order), 344 C 901 . . . . .	19
State v. Herman K. (Order), 344 C 902 . . . . .	20
State v. Juan F., 344 C 33. . . . .	3
<i>Sexual assault first degree; risk of injury to child; whether trial court improperly denied defendant's pretrial motion to dismiss for failure to prosecute within five year limitation period set forth in applicable statute of limitations ((Rev. to 2001) § 54-193a); whether trial court's finding that defendant was not available for arrest between issuance and execution of arrest warrant was not clearly erroneous.</i>	
Willis W. v. Office of Adult Probation (Order), 344 C 902 . . . . .	20
Volume 344 Cumulative Table of Cases . . . . .	23

### CONNECTICUT APPELLATE REPORTS

Fieldhouse v. Regency Coachworks, Inc., 213 CA 662 . . . . .	2A
<i>Workers' compensation; whether Compensation Review Board properly reversed decision of Workers' Compensation Commissioner denying and dismissing plaintiff's workers' compensation claim for lack of subject matter jurisdiction; whether commissioner misapplied totality of circumstances standard in determining whether plaintiff failed to satisfy notice requirement set forth in statute (§ 31-294c (a)); whether plaintiff substantially complied with notice provision of § 31-294c (a) such that defendant was provided with constructive notice of workers' compensation claim; whether plaintiff's claim for workers' compensation benefits failed to satisfy exception to notice requirement as set forth in § 31-294c (c).</i>	
GMAT Legal Title Trust 2014-1, U.S. Bank, National Assn. v. Catale, 213 CA 674 . . . . .	14A
<i>Foreclosure; application for prejudgment remedy; claim that trial court improperly granted plaintiff's application for prejudgment remedy; whether affidavits provided by plaintiff were sufficient to satisfy statutory (§ 52-278e) requirement for prejudgment remedy to be issued without hearing; whether trial court lacked jurisdiction over application for prejudgment remedy; whether trial court deprived defendants of due process by granting application for prejudgment remedy without providing them with postattachment hearing.</i>	
Volume 213 Cumulative Table of Cases . . . . .	37A

### CONNECTICUT PRACTICE BOOK

Adopted Amendments to the Superior Court Rules. . . . .	1PB
---	-----

### SUPREME COURT PENDING CASES

Summaries . . . . .	1B
---------------------	----

(continued on next page)

**MISCELLANEOUS**

Judge Trial Referee Designees, Arbitration Proceedings—Trial De Novo . . . . .	1C
Notice of Certification as Authorized House Counsel . . . . .	1C

**CONNECTICUT LAW JOURNAL**

(ISSN 87500973)

Published by the State of Connecticut in accordance with the provisions of General Statutes § 51-216a.

Commission on Official Legal Publications  
Office of Production and Distribution  
111 Phoenix Avenue, Enfield, Connecticut 06082-4453  
Tel. (860) 741-3027, FAX (860) 745-2178  
www.jud.ct.gov

*Published Weekly – Available at <https://www.jud.ct.gov/lawjournal>*

Syllabuses and Indices of court opinions by  
ERIC M. LEVINE, *Reporter of Judicial Decisions*  
Tel. (860) 757-2250

---

The deadline for material to be published in the Connecticut Law Journal is Wednesday at noon for publication on the Tuesday six days later. When a holiday falls within the six day period, the deadline will be noon on Tuesday.