

CONNECTICUT LAW JOURNAL



Published in Accordance with
General Statutes Section 51-216a

VOL. LXXXIII No. 52

June 28, 2022

183 Pages

Table of Contents

CONNECTICUT REPORTS

Birkhold v. Birkhold, 343 C 786	16
<i>Postdissolution motion for modification of alimony; postdissolution motion for contempt; claim that trial court incorrectly interpreted parties' separation agreement and found that money plaintiff received from work as commercial real estate broker in form of draws on future commissions constituted income that was subject to plaintiff's alimony obligation; whether money plaintiff earned from real estate company that he worked for and from other consulting work, which ultimately was deposited into bank account in name of limited liability company created by plaintiff, constituted plaintiff's personal income that was subject to his alimony obligation; whether trial court abused its discretion in modifying plaintiff's alimony obligation; whether trial court abused its discretion in finding plaintiff in contempt for breaching his alimony obligation to defendant.</i>	
Desmond v. Yale-New Haven Hospital, Inc. (Order), 343 C 931	49
In re Ryder M. (Order), 343 C 931.	49
State v. Avoletta (Order), 343 C 931	49
Winakor v. Savalle, 343 C 773	3
<i>Breach of contract; claim that defendant violated Home Improvement Act (§ 20-418 et seq.); certification from Appellate Court; whether Appellate Court correctly concluded that Home Improvement Act did not apply to defendant's work because that work fell within new home exception (§ 20-419 (4) (A)) set forth in Home Improvement Act.</i>	
Volume 343 Cumulative Table of Cases	51

CONNECTICUT APPELLATE REPORTS

Inglis v. Commissioner of Correction, 213 CA 496	54A
<i>Habeas corpus; whether habeas court abused its discretion in denying petitioner certification to appeal from judgment denying habeas petition; claim that habeas court improperly determined that petitioner was not prejudiced by trial counsel's failure to cite certain evidence in request for jury instruction on third-party culpability; claim that trial counsel rendered ineffective assistance in failing to present testimony of certain eyewitnesses in support of identification defense; claim that trial counsel rendered ineffective assistance by declining to pursue motion for expenses to retain and, ultimately, not to call, eyewitness identification expert to testify; claim that trial counsel rendered ineffective assistance by failing to move to suppress eyewitness identifications of petitioner; claim that trial counsel performed deficiently by not presenting evidence that eyewitness to shooting had chosen photograph other than that of petitioner from array of photographs prepared by police; whether habeas court properly determined that petitioner did not establish cause and prejudice for failure to claim at criminal trial and on direct appeal that admission into evidence of eyewitness identifications of him violated right to due process (article first, §§ 8 and 9) under state constitution.</i>	
Lavette v. Stanley Black & Decker, Inc., 213 CA 463	21A
<i>Intentional tort; motion to strike; whether plaintiff employee's pleading was legally sufficient to bring claim within intentional tort exception to exclusivity provision (§ 31-284) of Workers' Compensation Act (§ 31-275 et seq.); whether plaintiff's allegations were legally sufficient to establish that supervisory employee acted as defendant employer's alter ego; claim that Appellate Court should reconsider its</i>	

(continued on next page)

jurisprudence regarding alter ego exception to workers' compensation exclusivity provision, particularly with respect to large corporations; reviewability of plaintiff's claim that alleged intentional tort had been directly committed or authorized by defendant, providing alternative means to bypass workers' compensation exclusivity provision.

Pointe Residential Builders BH, LLC v. TMP Construction Group, LLC, 213 CA 445. . . . 3A
Connecticut Unfair Trade Practices Act (CUTPA) (§ 42-110a et seq.); claim that there was insufficient evidence of intentional, reckless, unethical or unscrupulous conduct to establish violation of CUTPA; whether trial court's finding of ascertainable loss was clearly erroneous; whether trial court abused its discretion in awarding plaintiff punitive damages; whether trial court abused its discretion in awarding attorney's fees.

U.S. Bank Trust, N.A. v. Dallas, 213 CA 483 41A
Foreclosure; motion for summary judgment; claim that trial court improperly granted plaintiff's motion for summary judgment as to liability; whether trial court erred in determining that there were no genuine issues of material fact as to defendant's special defenses of residential mortgage fraud and fraud in inducement; adoption of trial court's memorandum of decision as proper statement of facts and applicable law on issues.

Volume 213 Cumulative Table of Cases 101A

NOTICE OF CONNECTICUT STATE AGENCIES

SPA 22-0022: July HCPCS Updates and Other Changes 1B
 SPA 22-W: Dental Services – Rate Increases for Adult Dental and Endodontic Services . 3B
 SPA 22-Y: Clinic Reimbursement Update – Medical Clinics, Rehabilitation Clinics, and Family Planning Clinics 4B
 SPA 22-Z: Nursing Facility Reimbursement – Transition to Acuity-Based Reimbursement System 6B
 SPA 22-AA: Chronic Disease Hospitals - Rate Increase for Ventilation Beds 7B
 SPA 22-AB: Community First Choice – Reimbursement Updates to Implement Collective Bargaining Agreement – Personal Care Attendant Per Diem Rate Increase. 8B
 SPA 22-AG: Private Intermediate Care Facilities for Individuals with Intellectual Disabilities (ICF/IID) Reimbursement 9B
 SPA 22-AJ: Section 1915(i) Portion of the Connecticut Home Care Program for Elders – Rate Increases Related to Increase in State Minimum Wage 11B
 SPA 22-AK: Community First Choice – Reimbursement Updates to Implement Personal Care Attendant Collective Bargaining Agreement – Paid Time Off, Stipend for Portion of Attendants' Health Insurance Premium Expenses, and One-Time Lump Sum Payment 12B

(continued on next page)

CONNECTICUT LAW JOURNAL
 (ISSN 87500973)

Published by the State of Connecticut in accordance with the provisions of General Statutes § 51-216a.

Commission on Official Legal Publications
 Office of Production and Distribution
 111 Phoenix Avenue, Enfield, Connecticut 06082-4453
 Tel. (860) 741-3027, FAX (860) 745-2178
 www.jud.ct.gov

RICHARD J. HEMENWAY, *Publications Director*
 Published Weekly – Available at <https://www.jud.ct.gov/lawjournal>

Syllabuses and Indices of court opinions by
 ERIC M. LEVINE, *Reporter of Judicial Decisions*
 Tel. (860) 757-2250

The deadline for material to be published in the Connecticut Law Journal is Wednesday at noon for publication on the Tuesday six days later. When a holiday falls within the six day period, the deadline will be noon on Tuesday.

MISCELLANEOUS

DOCJ—Personnel Notice, State’s Attorney, State of CT	1C
Notice of Certification as Authorized House Counsel	2C
Notice of Inactive Status of Attorney	2C
