

CONNECTICUT LAW JOURNAL



Published in Accordance with
General Statutes Section 51-216a

VOL. LXXXIII No. 50

June 14, 2022

281 Pages

Table of Contents

CONNECTICUT REPORTS

Bernblum v. Grove Collaborative, LLC (Order), 343 C 925	81
Digital 60 & 80 Merritt, LLC v. Board of Assessment Appeals (Order), 343 C 926	82
Dolan v. Dolan (Order), 343 C 924	80
Kling v. Hartford Casualty Ins. Co. (Order), 343 C 926	82
Lewis v. Commissioner of Correction (Order), 343 C 924	80
O'Reagan v. Commissioner of Correction (Order), 343 C 926	82
Patterson v. Commissioner of Correction (Order), 343 C 925	81
Roach v. Transwaste, Inc. (Order), 343 C 924	80
State v. Goode (Order), 343 C 925	81
State v. Hargett, 343 C 604	40
<i>Murder; certification from Appellate Court; whether Appellate Court correctly concluded that trial court had not abused its discretion in excluding certain testimony as irrelevant and inadmissible hearsay and in excluding from evidence certain toxicology report indicating that murder victim had drugs in his system at time of his death; claim that Appellate Court incorrectly concluded that trial court had not violated defendant's right to due process by declining to give jury instruction on self-defense; whether Appellate Court incorrectly concluded that trial court had not abused its discretion in declining to dismiss case or to exclude alleged murder weapon from evidence as sanction for state's late disclosure of that evidence.</i>	
State v. Ortiz, 343 C 566	2
<i>Murder; prosecutorial impropriety; claim that defendant was deprived of his due process right to fair trial as result of prosecutorial impropriety during prosecutor's rebuttal argument; claim that trial court improperly precluded defense counsel from impeaching state's witnesses with evidence of certain prior felony convictions and improperly required defense counsel to refer to state witness' prior convictions only as unnamed felonies punishable by more than one year of imprisonment; claim that trial court improperly declined to give requested instruction; whether trial court improperly omitted word "conclusively" in its instruction on use of evidence of defendant's unchanged misconduct.</i>	
Volume 343 Cumulative Table of Cases	85

CONNECTICUT APPELLATE REPORTS

Adams v. Deal (Memorandum Decision), 213 CA 901	147A
In re Madison C., 213 CA 164	2A
<i>Termination of parental rights; petition for new trial; whether trial court properly granted motion to strike petition for new trial filed by Commissioner of Children and Families; whether respondent mother's allegations concerning events that occurred after trial constituted newly discovered evidence within meaning of statute (§ 52-270).</i>	
Jefferson Solar, LLC v. FuelCell Energy, Inc., 213 CA 288	126A
<i>Connecticut Unfair Trade Practices Act (CUTPA) (§ 42-110a et seq.); motion to dismiss; whether trial court properly granted motion to dismiss; claim that trial court improperly concluded that plaintiff lacked standing to maintain action under CUTPA; whether trial court properly concluded that plaintiff's claims were remote and indirect.</i>	

(continued on next page)

Meriden v. AFSCME, Local 1016, 213 CA 184	22A
<i>Arbitration; whether trial court properly denied defendant's application to vacate arbitration award; claim that trial court applied incorrect legal standard on basis of claim that arbitration award was procured by corruption, fraud or undue means pursuant to applicable statute (§ 52-418 (a) (1)); claim that trial court erred in determining that arbitration procedure was fair and impartial; claim that trial court erred by overlooking arbitration panel's reliance on investigation that was not fair and impartial.</i>	
One Elmcroft Stamford, LLC v. Zoning Board of Appeals, 213 CA 200.	38A
<i>Zoning; claim that defective legal notice of public hearing deprived defendant zoning board of appeals of jurisdiction to consider application for approval of location for operation of automotive repair business; claim that board violated plaintiff's right to fundamental fairness because legal notice of public hearing did not sufficiently describe intended use of property; whether board applied incorrect legal standard in ruling on location approval application; whether board mistakenly believed it could not deny location approval application when proposed use was permitted in zone at issue; whether board committed error under "impotent to reverse" rule by failing to distinguish denial by different municipal entity seven years earlier of location approval application of different entity to operate used car dealership on property at issue.</i>	
State v. Norris, 213 CA 253.	91A
<i>Risk of injury to child; assault in third degree; breach of peace in second degree; interfering with officer; motion for joinder; claim that trial court improperly failed to conduct adequate inquiry into defendant's competency to stand trial and to order competency hearing at start of trial; whether trial court abused its discretion in denying motion for competency evaluation; whether trial court abused its discretion in granting state's motion to join two informations for trial; whether defendant demonstrated that joinder resulted in substantial prejudice; whether trial court's explicit instructions to jury to consider each charge separately in reaching verdict cured risk of substantial prejudice to defendant.</i>	
Wine v. Mulligan, 213 CA 298	136A
<i>Alleged deprivation of plaintiff inmate's constitutional rights; motion to strike; motion for judgment; claim that trial court erred in granting defendants' motion to strike; claim that plaintiff's complaint adequately stated claim that defendants violated his constitutional right of access to courts.</i>	
Volume 213 Cumulative Table of Cases	149A

MISCELLANEOUS

Notice of Administrative Suspension of Attorneys	1B
Notice of Suspensions	30B
Notice of Reprimand of Attorneys	31B
Notice of Disbarment of Attorney.	31B

(continued on next page)

CONNECTICUT LAW JOURNAL

(ISSN 87500973)

Published by the State of Connecticut in accordance with the provisions of General Statutes § 51-216a.

Commission on Official Legal Publications
Office of Production and Distribution
111 Phoenix Avenue, Enfield, Connecticut 06082-4453
Tel. (860) 741-3027, FAX (860) 745-2178
www.jud.ct.gov

RICHARD J. HEMENWAY, *Publications Director*

Published Weekly – Available at <https://www.jud.ct.gov/lawjournal>

Syllabuses and Indices of court opinions by
ERIC M. LEVINE, *Reporter of Judicial Decisions*
Tel. (860) 757-2250

The deadline for material to be published in the Connecticut Law Journal is Wednesday at noon for publication on the Tuesday six days later. When a holiday falls within the six day period, the deadline will be noon on Tuesday.

Notice of Pendency of Reinstatement Application	32B
Appointment of Trustee	32B
Notice of Certification as Authorized House Counsel	33B
Docket and Case Assignments for Supreme and Appellate courts for the 2022—2023 Court Year.	35B
