

CONNECTICUT LAW JOURNAL



Published in Accordance with
General Statutes Section 51-216a

VOL. LXXXIII No. 46

May 17, 2022

95 Pages

Table of Contents

CONNECTICUT REPORTS

Barlow v. Commissioner of Correction, 343 C 347	3
<i>Habeas corpus; ineffective assistance of counsel; whether habeas court correctly determined that petitioner had met his burden of establishing prejudice; whether, but for trial counsel's deficient performance during pretrial plea negotiations, there was a reasonable probability that petitioner would have accepted trial court's plea offer; claim that habeas court improperly relied on earlier decision by Appellate Court that trial court's performance was deficient; whether there was sufficient contemporaneous evidence from time of underlying plea negotiations to substantiate petitioner's after-the-fact testimony that he would have accepted plea offer if he had been adequately advised.</i>	
Volume 343 Cumulative Table of Cases	25

CONNECTICUT APPELLATE REPORTS

Idlibi v. State Dental Commission, 212 CA 501	3A
<i>Administrative appeal; appeal from decision of defendant State Dental Commission, which found that plaintiff failed to meet applicable standard of care; claim that trial court lacked subject matter jurisdiction to hear plaintiff's administrative appeal for lack of service pursuant to statute (§ 4-183); whether trial court properly determined that commission properly relied on own expertise in assessing evidence; whether trial court erred in concluding that commission properly permitted expert testimony from dentist who was not board certified and similar health care provider as defined pursuant to statute (§ 52-184c); claim that trial court improperly dismissed challenges to commission's findings that plaintiff failed to obtain informed consent for placing more than one steel crown on minor patient's teeth; claim that commission acted in excess of its statutory (§ 20-114 (a) (2)) authority by ordering disciplinary sanctions as remedy for alleged violation of standard of care; claim that commission improperly found that plaintiff failed to adequately chart caries and decalcifications; claim that there were unresolved inconsistencies in commission's decision; unpreserved claim that trial court's decision to dismiss appeal violated right to fundamental fairness.</i>	
Nardozzi v. Perez, 212 CA 546	48A
<i>Fraudulent misrepresentation; computer crime; absolute immunity; litigation privilege; whether trial court erred in denying defendant's motion to dismiss on basis of absolute immunity.</i>	
W. K. v. M. S., 212 CA 532	34A
<i>Application for civil protection order; whether trial court erred when it, sua sponte, took judicial notice of contents of summary process complaint filed against defendant without giving him notice and opportunity to be heard; claim that trial court erred by finding defendant less credible because he did not appear at hearing; whether trial court's errors harmed defendant.</i>	
Volume 212 Cumulative Table of Cases	59A

CONNECTICUT PRACTICE BOOK

Notice of Public Hearing for Practice Book Revisions to the Rules of Appellate Procedure being considered by the Rules Committee	1PB
--	-----

MISCELLANEOUS

Notice of Suspension of Attorney 1B

CONNECTICUT LAW JOURNAL

(ISSN 87500973)

Published by the State of Connecticut in accordance with the provisions of General Statutes § 51-216a.

Commission on Official Legal Publications
Office of Production and Distribution
111 Phoenix Avenue, Enfield, Connecticut 06082-4453
Tel. (860) 741-3027, FAX (860) 745-2178
www.jud.ct.gov

RICHARD J. HEMENWAY, *Publications Director*

Published Weekly – Available at <https://www.jud.ct.gov/lawjournal>

Syllabuses and Indices of court opinions by
ERIC M. LEVINE, *Reporter of Judicial Decisions*
Tel. (860) 757-2250

=====
The deadline for material to be published in the Connecticut Law Journal is Wednesday at noon for publication on the Tuesday six days later. When a holiday falls within the six day period, the deadline will be noon on Tuesday.