


CONNECTICUT LAW JOURNAL



Published in Accordance with
General Statutes Section 51-216a

VOL. LXXXIII No. 45

May 10, 2022

373 Pages

Table of Contents

CONNECTICUT REPORTS

AGW Sono Partners, LLC v. Downtown Soho, LLC, 343 C 309	103
<i>Breach of commercial lease agreement; unjust enrichment; damages; claim that trial court incorrectly concluded that defendants had failed to establish, by preponderance of evidence, that their breach of contract was excused by doctrines of impossibility and frustration of purpose, in light of certain executive orders issued by governor that limited various commercial activities at bar and restaurants throughout the state during public health and civil preparedness emergencies that governor declared in response to COVID-19 pandemic; whether executive orders restricting operations of defendants' restaurant rendered performance of lease agreement impossible as matter of law; whether shutdown and restrictions compelled by executive orders frustrated purpose of lease agreement; claim that trial court improperly assigned plaintiff lessor, as nonbreaching party, burden of proving that it had mitigated its damages in attempting to lease premises to new lessee; whether lessor or lessee bears burden of proving that lessor failed to undertake commercially reasonable efforts to mitigate its damages when lessee breached lease agreement.</i>	
In re Christian C. (Order) (See In re Lucia C.), 343 C 912	144
In re Lucia C. (Order), 343 C 912	144
State v. Morel-Vargas, 343 C 247.	41
<i>Sexual assault first degree; unpreserved claim that defendant's conviction must be reversed on ground that defendant did not personally inform trial court that he was waiving his right to testify; whether trial court was constitutionally required to obtain on-the-record waiver of right to testify from defendant, himself; whether right to testify is personal constitutional right that can be waived only by defendant himself and not by defense counsel acting on behalf of defendant; whether right to testify is among personal constitutional rights that require affirmative waiver on record by criminal defendant, himself; exercise of supervisory authority over administration of justice to require, prospectively, that trial court either canvass defendant or, in certain circumstances, inquire of defense counsel whether counsel adequately advised defendant regarding waiver of right to testify; reviewability of claim that prosecutor committed improprieties during her direct examination of victim by virtue of prosecutor's allegedly excessive use of leading questions, in violation of defendant's right to fair trial.</i>	
State v. Police, 343 C 274.	68
<i>Robbery first degree; assault first degree; conditional plea of nolo contendere; claim that trial court improperly denied defendant's motion to dismiss information; whether John Doe arrest warrant that identified suspect on basis of general physical description and mixed partial DNA profiles violated particularity requirement of fourth amendment to United States constitution; whether John Doe arrest warrant served to toll applicable statute of limitations; whether record was adequate for review of defendant's unpreserved claim that John Doe arrest warrant identifying suspect through mixed partial DNA profiles violated particularity requirement of fourth amendment; whether trial court properly relied on subsequent DNA reports in determining that prior DNA report, which police had relied on to establish probable cause for John Doe arrest warrant, identified suspect with particularity.</i>	
State v. Torres, 343 C 208	2
<i>Murder; carrying pistol without permit; claim that trial court improperly excluded evidence that state's witness was assaulted before defendant's first trial, in viola-</i>	

(continued on next page)

tion of defendant's sixth amendment rights to present defense and to confront witnesses against him; whether defendant met his burden of proving that trial court's improper exclusion of evidence relating to assault of state's witness was harmful; claim that trial court violated defendant's sixth amendment right to confrontation and rules of evidence by preventing defendant from impeaching state's witness with evidence of her prior criminal convictions.

Volume 343 Cumulative Table of Cases 145

CONNECTICUT APPELLATE REPORTS

Byrne v. Avery Center for Obstetrics & Gynecology, P.C., 212 CA 339. 51A
Negligent infliction of emotional distress; breach of contract; breach of duty of patient confidentiality; claim that trial court improperly limited testimony of defendant's expert witness; claim that trial court improperly admitted medical report into evidence; claim that trial court improperly charged jury concerning future noneconomic damages; claim that trial court improperly denied defendant's request for jury interrogatory that differentiated between past and future damages; claim that trial court improperly granted plaintiff's motion for offer of judgment interest pursuant to applicable statute (§ 52-192a).
Campbell v. Porter, 212 CA 377 89A
False arrest in violation of federal law (42 U.S.C. § 1983); civil conspiracy in violation of federal law (42 U.S.C. § 1985 (3)); intentional infliction of emotional distress; whether evidence was sufficient to support jury's verdict for defendant city and city police officer on false arrest claim; whether trial court improperly granted motion to strike civil conspiracy claim; claim that jury erred in failing to award punitive damages for intentional infliction of emotional distress despite returning verdict for plaintiff on that claim.
Cavanagh v. Richichi, 212 CA 402. 114A
Partition of real property; whether trial court abused its discretion in its calculation of its award of just compensation to plaintiff; claim that trial court abused its discretion in failing to award plaintiff compensation for defendants' use and occupancy of property.
Fiorillo v. Hartford, 212 CA 291 3A
Breach of contract; motion for contempt; claim that defendant diminished health insurance benefits to which plaintiffs were entitled in violation of settlement agreement; whether defendant violated settlement agreement by changing third-party administrator of plaintiffs' health-care benefits without plaintiffs' written consent; whether settlement agreement was clear and unambiguous.
Middlebury v. Fraternal Order of Police, Middlebury Lodge No. 34, 212 CA 455 167A
Labor law; administrative appeal; whether trial court properly dismissed plaintiff's administrative appeal from decision of defendant State Board of Labor Relations finding that plaintiff violated Municipal Employees Relations Act (§ 7-467 et seq.); claim that board improperly determined that it had jurisdiction over defendant union's prohibited practice complaint; claim that decision of board violated plain-

(continued on next page)

CONNECTICUT LAW JOURNAL

(ISSN 87500973)

Published by the State of Connecticut in accordance with the provisions of General Statutes § 51-216a.

Commission on Official Legal Publications
Office of Production and Distribution
111 Phoenix Avenue, Enfield, Connecticut 06082-4453
Tel. (860) 741-3027, FAX (860) 745-2178
www.jud.ct.gov

RICHARD J. HEMENWAY, Publications Director

Published Weekly - Available at https://www.jud.ct.gov/lawjournal

Syllabuses and Indices of court opinions by
ERIC M. LEVINE, Reporter of Judicial Decisions
Tel. (860) 757-2250

The deadline for material to be published in the Connecticut Law Journal is Wednesday at noon for publication on the Tuesday six days later. When a holiday falls within the six day period, the deadline will be noon on Tuesday.

tiff's rights under Home Rule Act (§ 7-188); claim that board should have applied contract coverage standard as adopted by National Labor Relations Board in MV Transportation, Inc. (368 N.L.R.B. No. 66).

Robbins Eye Center, P.C. v. Commerce Park Associates, LLC, 212 CA 487 199A
Negligence; order to compel; claim that trial court erred in granting plaintiff's motion to compel defendant to deliver to plaintiff escrowed funds and certain other payments to satisfy judgment award granted in plaintiff's favor; claim that trial court improperly concluded that lease provision limiting remedies of tenant did not apply to plaintiff or to plaintiff's negligence claim against defendant.

State v. Avoletta, 212 CA 309 21A
Declaratory judgment; sovereign immunity; claim that trial court improperly concluded that certain special legislation (Special Acts 2017, No. 17-4) authorizing defendants' claim to proceed before Claims Commissioner constituted unconstitutional public emolument, in violation of article first, § 1, of Connecticut constitution; claim that General Assembly did not automatically waive state's sovereign immunity as to defendants' claim by remanding their claim to Claims Commissioner; claim that trial court erred in determining defendants' counterclaim was barred by doctrine of sovereign immunity.

VanDeusen v. Commissioner of Correction, 212 CA 427 139A
Habeas corpus; claim that petitioner's trial counsel provided ineffective assistance by neglecting to request jury instruction regarding elements of sentence enhancement statute (§ 53-202k) and statutory (§ 53a-3 (19)) definition of firearm, or by failing to object to instruction trial court gave; unpreserved claim that petitioner was prejudiced by trial counsel's failure to request that jury be instructed as to definition of firearm in § 53a-3 (19) because sentence enhancement under § 53-202k would not have applied if weapon used was assault weapon.

Volume 212 Cumulative Table of Cases 215A

CONNECTICUT PRACTICE BOOK

Notice of Public Hearing for Practice Book Revisions to the Rules of Appellate Procedure being considered by the Rules Committee 1PB

MISCELLANEOUS

Notice of Reprimand of Attorneys 1B