

CONNECTICUT LAW JOURNAL



Published in Accordance with
General Statutes Section 51-216a

VOL. LXXXIII No. 27

January 4, 2022

194 Pages

Table of Contents

CONNECTICUT REPORTS

State v. LeRoya M., 340 C 590	60
<i>Murder; affirmative defense of mental disease or defect; whether trial court reasonably rejected defendant's defense of mental disease or defect and opinions of defendant's expert relating to that defense.</i>	
State v. Tomlinson, 340 C 533	3
<i>Murder; carrying pistol without permit; whether trial court improperly admitted expert testimony from police officer about gangs; reviewability of evidentiary claim that police officer's testimony about gangs was irrelevant when there was no direct evidence that defendant was in gang or that shooting of victim was gang related; unpreserved claim that police officer's expert testimony violated defendant's right to confrontation because it was conduit for inadmissible, testimonial hearsay from community contacts and informants who were not subject to cross-examination; claim that admission into evidence of rap music video featuring defendant and two other gang members handling firearm deprived defendant of his constitutional right to fair trial; whether trial court abused its discretion in admitting photographs of writing found on mirror in bedroom in defendant's residence under hearsay exception for statements of party opponent; whether evidence was sufficient for jury to reasonably infer that defendant had authored writing on mirror; whether trial court abused its discretion in admitting portions of witness' recorded phone conversation, in which she identified defendant as shooter, under spontaneous utterance exception to hearsay rule.</i>	
Volume 340 Cumulative Table of Cases	91

CONNECTICUT APPELLATE REPORTS

Housing Authority v. Stevens AP209.82, 209 CA 569	21A
<i>Summary process; whether trial court had subject matter jurisdiction over summary process action; whether defendant's conduct constituted serious nuisance pursuant to applicable statute (§ 47a-15 (C)); whether trial court made clearly erroneous factual findings that were result of implicit bias.</i>	
Lasso v. Valley Tree & Landscaping, LLC AP209.85, 209 CA 584	36A
<i>Negligence; motion for summary judgment; whether trial court's determination that provisions of contract between defendant construction manager and town did not give rise to duty owed by construction manager to defendant landscaping company hired by town and to its employees was legally and logically correct and supported by language of contract; whether plaintiffs, in opposition to motion for summary judgment, submitted any admissible evidence demonstrating that construction manager's responsibilities under contract extended to tree removal work; whether construction manager, through its actions, assumed voluntary duty of care to landscaping company's employee, giving rise to common-law duty to ensure safe workplace practices; whether plaintiffs presented any evidence of conduct on part of construction manager demonstrating that it was in charge of project to remove trees or in any way directed activities of employees of landscaping company; viability of loss of consortium claim that was derivative of negligence claim.</i>	
State v. Rosario AP209.81, 209 CA 550	2A
<i>Larceny in second degree; motion for extension of time to begin making restitution payments; claim that trial court committed plain error when it required defendant, as special condition of probation, to pay restitution; claim that trial court did not</i>	

(continued on next page)

consider factors enumerated in applicable statute (§ 53a-28 (c)) before requiring defendant to pay restitution as special condition of probation; whether trial court abused its discretion by denying defendant's motion for extension of time within which to begin making restitution payments; claim that trial court violated defendant's due process right to fair trial when it questioned him and two of state's witnesses.

Walzer v. Walzer AP209.86, 209 CA 604 56A

Dissolution of marriage; postjudgment motion for contempt; whether trial court abused its discretion in granting motion for contempt; whether trial court properly found that defendant's violation of court order was wilful; claim that trial court lacked jurisdiction to enter order regarding sale of certain real property on basis that it constituted improper modification of final property division; claim that trial court abused its discretion in issuing orders relating to sale of certain real property; claim that trial court's order setting terms of sale of certain real property violated defendant's right to due process.

Zakko v. Kasir AP209.93, 209 CA 619 71A

Dissolution of marriage; attorney's fees; claim that trial court abused its discretion in awarding plaintiff attorney's fees; whether it was reasonable for trial court to conclude that plaintiff lacked ample liquid funds to pay for her attorney's fees; claim that trial court relied on clearly erroneous factual finding in awarding plaintiff attorney's fees.

Volume 209 Cumulative Table of Cases 85A

NOTICES OF CONNECTICUT STATE AGENCIES

Notice of Intent to Apply for a State Certificate of Affordable Housing Completion. 1B

MISCELLANEOUS

Advisory Opinion No.2021-3 (Amended) 1C

The New Online Ordering System. 6C

Notice of Suspension of Attorney and Appointment of Trustee. 6C

CONNECTICUT LAW JOURNAL

(ISSN 87500973)

Published by the State of Connecticut in accordance with the provisions of General Statutes § 51-216a.

Commission on Official Legal Publications
Office of Production and Distribution
111 Phoenix Avenue, Enfield, Connecticut 06082-4453
Tel. (860) 741-3027, FAX (860) 745-2178
www.jud.ct.gov

RICHARD J. HEMENWAY, *Publications Director*

Published Weekly – Available at <https://www.jud.ct.gov/lawjournal>

Syllabuses and Indices of court opinions by
ERIC M. LEVINE, *Reporter of Judicial Decisions*
Tel. (860) 757-2250

The deadline for material to be published in the Connecticut Law Journal is Wednesday at noon for publication on the Tuesday six days later. When a holiday falls within the six day period, the deadline will be noon on Tuesday.