

# CONNECTICUT LAW JOURNAL



Published in Accordance with  
General Statutes Section 51-216a

VOL. LXXXIII No. 43

April 26, 2022

539 Pages

## Table of Contents

### CONNECTICUT REPORTS

C. L. v. J. E. (Order), 343 C 905 . . . . .	95
Cockayne v. Bristol Hospital, Inc. (Order), 343 C 906 . . . . .	96
Connecticut Judicial Branch v. Gilbert, 343 C 90 . . . . .	30
<i>Employment discrimination; claims of employment discrimination in violation of Connecticut Fair Employment Practices Act (§ 46a-60), violation of general antidiscrimination statute (§ 46a-58 (a)), and violation of Title VII of Civil Rights Act of 1964, as amended by Title VII of Civil Rights Act of 1991 (42 U.S.C. § 2000e et seq.), as predicate for claim under § 46a-58 (a); whether trial court properly sustained in part and reversed in part Judicial Branch's administrative appeal from decision of defendant Commission on Human Rights and Opportunities awarding named defendant back pay, interest, and emotional distress damages in connection with named defendant's claim that branch discriminated against her on basis of gender; whether trial court incorrectly concluded that commission was authorized to award emotional distress damages and attorney's fees in employment discrimination action under general antidiscrimination statute (§ 46a-58 (a)) and that statute's civil remedies provision (§ 46a-86 (c)); whether commission exceeded its authority under federal law by adjudicating Title VII claim; claim that state law precludes commission from awarding damages for Title VII violations under §§ 46a-58 (a) and 46a-86 (c); whether trial court incorrectly concluded that state waived its sovereign immunity with respect to recovery of prejudgment and postjudgment interest on awards under § 46a-86; whether trial court incorrectly concluded that commission should have precluded named defendant from recovering emotional distress damages as sanction for purported violations of human rights referee's discovery orders; whether trial court improperly admitted certain testimony that went beyond mere garden-variety emotional distress; whether trial court improperly vacated injunction requiring plaintiff to give named defendant option of returning to original work location, after she was transferred to other locations in retaliation for lodging harassment complaint.</i>	
Crouzet v. First Baptist Church of Stonington, 343 C 88. . . . .	28
<i>Environmental contamination; certification from Appellate Court; whether Appellate Court properly reversed judgment of trial court rendered in favor of defendants on grounds that trial court committed clear error in finding that secondary source was responsible for contamination of plaintiff's property and that, even if there had been secondary source of contamination, the presence of that secondary source did not mean that plaintiff failed to prove that defendants' oil tank contaminated their property; appeal dismissed on ground that certification was improvidently granted.</i>	
J. E. v. C. L. (Order), 343 C 907 . . . . .	97
Lucky 13 Industries, LLC v. Commissioner of Motor Vehicles (Order), 343 C 905 . . . . .	95

(continued on next page)

### CONNECTICUT PRACTICE BOOK

Practice Book Revisions being considered by the Rules Committee of the Superior Court and Notice of Public Hearing appear beginning on Page 1B. The proposed revisions are posted on the Judicial Branch website at [www.jud.ct.gov/pb.htm](http://www.jud.ct.gov/pb.htm).

Nutmeg State Crematorium, LLC v. Dept. of Energy & Environmental Protection (Order), 343 C 906. . . . . 96

O'Brien v. Commissioner of Correction (Order), 343 C 907 . . . . . 97

State v. Butler (Order), 343 C 904. . . . . 94

State v. Daniel M. (Order), 343 C 906. . . . . 96

State v. Stephanie U. (Orders), 343 C 903, 904 . . . . . 93, 94

Stratford Police Dept. v. Board of Firearms Permit Examiners, 343 C 62 . . . . . 2

*Application for issuance of state pistol permit; administrative appeal; appeal from trial court's judgment reversing decision of named defendant, Board of Firearms Permit Examiners, ordering issuance of pistol permit to defendant; denial by plaintiff police department of pistol permit application on basis of applicant's prior conviction of criminal possession of controlled substance in New York; whether trial court incorrectly concluded that statute (§ 29-28 (b) (2) (B)) automatically disqualifies pistol permit applicant with out-of-state conviction that is equivalent to conviction under statute (§ 21a-279) proscribing possession of controlled substance from receiving permit; whether trial court improperly substituted its judgment for that of board following board's determination that applicant was suitable person to obtain pistol permit.*

U.S. Bank Trust, N.A. v. Black (Order), 343 C 905 . . . . . 95

Volume 343 Cumulative Table of Cases . . . . . 99

**CONNECTICUT APPELLATE REPORTS**

A. W. v. L. R. (Memorandum Decision), 211 CA 905 . . . . . 81A

In re Ryder M., 211 CA 793. . . . . 3A

*Termination of parental rights; whether trial court improperly found that Department of Children and Families made reasonable efforts to reunite respondent father with minor child; whether trial court improperly found that father failed to achieve sufficient degree of personal rehabilitation, as required by statute (§ 17a-112 (j) (3) (B) (i)), as would encourage belief that within reasonable time, considering child's age and needs, he could assume responsible position in child's life; whether trial court properly determined, pursuant to factors prescribed in § 17a-112 (k), that termination of father's parental rights was in child's best interest.*

Kaddah v. Commissioner of Correction, 211 CA 823 . . . . . 33A

*Habeas corpus; claim that petitioner was prejudiced by prior habeas counsel's failures to pursue claim that petitioner's trial and appellate counsel rendered ineffective assistance in deciding not to challenge trial court's jury instructions on element of intent in offenses of which petitioner was convicted; whether habeas court properly concluded that petitioner failed to establish that he was prejudiced by prior habeas counsel's failures to challenge choice by trial and appellate counsel not to dispute jury instruction as to petitioner's affirmative defense of mental disease or defect.*

O'Reagan v. Commissioner of Correction, 211 CA 845 . . . . . 55A

*Habeas corpus; whether habeas court properly determined that petitioner was not in custody on convictions of conspiracy to commit robbery and sale of narcotic*

(continued on next page)

**CONNECTICUT LAW JOURNAL**  
(ISSN 87500973)

Published by the State of Connecticut in accordance with the provisions of General Statutes § 51-216a.

Commission on Official Legal Publications  
Office of Production and Distribution  
111 Phoenix Avenue, Enfield, Connecticut 06082-4453  
Tel. (860) 741-3027, FAX (860) 745-2178  
www.jud.ct.gov

RICHARD J. HEMENWAY, *Publications Director*

Published Weekly – Available at <https://www.jud.ct.gov/lawjournal>

Syllabuses and Indices of court opinions by  
ERIC M. LEVINE, *Reporter of Judicial Decisions*  
Tel. (860) 757-2250

---

The deadline for material to be published in the Connecticut Law Journal is Wednesday at noon for publication on the Tuesday six days later. When a holiday falls within the six day period, the deadline will be noon on Tuesday.

*substance at time petitioner filed habeas action; whether habeas court had jurisdiction over convictions of conspiracy to commit robbery and sale of narcotic substance; whether aggregate package theory expanded jurisdiction of habeas court to decide claims regarding convictions that have fully expired prior to filing of habeas petition; claim that trial counsel failed to adequately investigate and advise petitioner regarding strengths and weaknesses of state's case; claim that petitioner's trial counsel rendered deficient performance by failing to adequately advise petitioner regarding immigration consequences of guilty plea; whether decision in Padilla v. Kentucky (559 U.S. 356) requiring counsel to inform client of immigration consequences of guilty plea applies retroactively under state and federal constitutions.*

Volume 211 Cumulative Table of Cases . . . . . 83A

Arrico v. Board of Education, 212 CA 1 . . . . . 94A  
*Workers' compensation; appeal from decision of Compensation Review Board, which reversed in part Workers' Compensation Commissioner's decision to approve form 36 filed by defendants seeking to discontinue or to reduce plaintiff's workers' compensation benefits; claim that board misconstrued commissioner's decision as including finding that plaintiff was totally disabled as result of preexisting, noncompensable injuries; claim that board misconstrued commissioner's conclusion that further medical care of plaintiff's compensable injuries was palliative; claim that board, in denying plaintiff's motion for articulation or reconsideration, violated statute (§ 51-183c) by denying his request for order that issues on remand be tried de novo before different commissioner.*

Gilman v. Shames, 212 CA 147. . . . . 237A  
*Wrongful death; medical malpractice; bystander emotional distress; motion to dismiss; claim that trial court improperly denied defendants' motion to dismiss; whether Claims Commissioner waived sovereign immunity with respect to plaintiff's claims; claim that accidental failure of suit statute (§ 52-592) exempted plaintiff from two year statute of limitations for wrongful death action.*

Jones v. Commissioner of Correction, 212 CA 117 . . . . . 207A  
*Habeas corpus; claim that habeas court abused its discretion in denying petition for certification to appeal; claim that habeas court deprived petitioner of his constitutional and statutory rights by failing to admit into evidence or to consider transcripts of petitioner's underlying criminal trial; claim that habeas court improperly concluded that petitioner's trial counsel did not provide ineffective assistance; claim that habeas court improperly concluded that there was no violation of Brady v. Maryland (373 U.S. 83) at petitioner's underlying criminal trial.*

New Milford v. Standard Demolition Services, Inc., 212 CA 30 . . . . . 120A  
*Breach of contract; claim that trial court misapplied state and federal environmental regulations; claim that trial court erred in failing to find that defendant's obligations under parties' contract were impossible to perform; claim that trial court improperly determined that plaintiff lawfully had terminated contract; claim that evidence of certain change orders executed by plaintiff in connection with subsequent contract with another contractor, pursuant to which plaintiff agreed to modify terms of contract, constituted admissions that plaintiff's contract with defendant was defective and could not be performed by defendant as written; claim that trial court erred in making its award of damages to plaintiff.*

Sease v. Commissioner of Correction, 212 CA 99 . . . . . 189A  
*Habeas corpus; claim that habeas court abused its discretion in denying petition for certification to appeal; whether it was premature to decide whether judgment of habeas court should be reversed on merits; whether habeas court erred in determining that no prejudice to petitioner had been established under Strickland v. Washington (466 U.S. 668); whether there was reasonable probability that petitioner's sentence would have been less severe in light of mitigating evidence that was presented at habeas trial and not presented at sentencing; remand to habeas court for making of underlying factual findings from record and for determination, based on those findings, of whether petitioner has shown that counsel's representation at sentencing constituted constitutionally deficient performance.*

Volume 212 Cumulative Table of Cases . . . . . 253A

**CONNECTICUT PRACTICE BOOK**

Notice of Public Hearing for Practice Book Revisions to the Rules of Appellate Procedure  
being considered by the Rules Committee . . . . . 113PB

---

**MISCELLANEOUS**

Appointment of Trustee . . . . . 1B  
Notice of Reinstatement of Attorney . . . . . 1B

---