

CONNECTICUT LAW JOURNAL



Published in Accordance with
General Statutes Section 51-216a

VOL. LXXXIII No. 40

April 5, 2022

328 Pages

Table of Contents

CONNECTICUT REPORTS

In re Ivory W., 342 C 692	2
<i>Termination of parental rights; motion for continuance; claim that trial court deprived respondent of her due process rights under federal and state constitutions when it denied her motion for continuance of termination of parental rights proceeding pending conclusion of related federal criminal proceeding; whether specific analytical framework of United States Supreme Court's penalty cases governed this court's analysis of respondent's federal due process claim; claim that trial court abused its discretion in denying respondent's motion for continuance; respondent's request that this court exercise its supervisory authority over administration of justice to require trial courts to grant motions for continuance of parental rights proceedings whenever respondent has invoked his or her fifth amendment privilege against self-incrimination in connection with related criminal proceeding.</i>	
Marshall v. Commissioner of Motor Vehicles (Order), 342 C 912	84
McLoughlin v. Planning & Zoning Commission, 342 C 737.	47
<i>Zoning; appeal from decision of defendant planning and zoning commission denying plaintiffs' application for special permit to construct crematory in industrial zone; claim that Appellate Court misinterpreted and improperly expanded its holding in St. Joseph's High School, Inc. v. Planning & Zoning Commission (176 Conn. App. 570), in which Appellate Court concluded that planning and zoning commission may deny special permit application on basis of noncompliance with general standards contained in zoning regulations; claim that Appellate Court incorrectly concluded that planning and zoning commission's denial of plaintiffs' special permit application was supported by substantial evidence; whether evidence relied on by planning and zoning commission in denying plaintiffs' special permit application constituted fact based and site specific evidence or mere worry and speculation.</i>	
Volume 342 Cumulative Table of Cases	85

CONNECTICUT APPELLATE REPORTS

C. B. v. S. B., 211 CA 628.	102A
<i>Dissolution of marriage; claim that trial court abused its discretion in finding defendant's net weekly income; claim that trial court abused its discretion in declining to accept defendant's proposed parenting schedule; claim that trial court abused its discretion in refusing to deviate from relevant child support guidelines; failure to brief claims adequately.</i>	
Digital 60 & 80 Merritt, LLC v. Board of Assessment Appeals, 211 CA 559	33A
<i>Real estate tax appeal; claim that trial court determined fair market value of plaintiff's real property on basis of certain clearly erroneous factual findings; whether trial court erred in failing to impute income to portion of plaintiff's property; whether trial court's determination of highest and best use of portion of plaintiff's property was clearly erroneous; whether trial court erred in applying capitalization rate of 8 percent to plaintiff's property; whether trial court erred by disregarding plaintiff's internal valuations in its determination of fair market value of plaintiff's property.</i>	

(continued on next page)

Gonzalez v. Commissioner of Correction, 211 CA 632 106A
Habeas corpus; motion for petitioner’s immediate release from custody of respondent Commissioner of Correction on ground that continued confinement during COVID-19 pandemic constituted unnecessary risk to petitioner’s health and safety; whether habeas court properly concluded that petitioner did not establish deliberate indifference to his health and safety necessary to constitute violation by respondent of eighth amendment’s prohibition of cruel and unusual punishment; unreserved claim that respondent violated petitioner’s rights under article first, §§ 8 and 9, of Connecticut constitution.

Housing Authority v. Parks, 211 CA 528 2A
Summary process; claim that trial court improperly dismissed summary process action for lack of subject matter jurisdiction; whether this court lacked subject matter jurisdiction to entertain appeal on basis that it was not filed within five day appeal period set forth in applicable statute (§ 47a-35); whether five day appeal period set forth in § 47a-35 applies to both tenant and landlord; whether plaintiff’s motion to reargue created new appeal period.

State v. Gerald J., 211 CA 631 105A
Sexual assault in first degree; risk of injury to child; death of defendant during pendency of appeal; dismissal of appeal as moot.

Wethersfield v. Eser, 211 CA 537 11A
Animal neglect; petition filed pursuant to applicable statute (§ 22-329a) seeking custody in favor of plaintiff town of animals taken from defendant that allegedly were neglected and/or cruelly treated; claim that this court should have granted plaintiff’s motion to dismiss appeal as moot because there was no practical relief that this court could grant to defendant; claim that trial court erred in denying defendant’s motion to dismiss plaintiff’s verified petition for lack of subject matter jurisdiction because plaintiff failed to file petition within ninety-six hours of taking custody of animals pursuant to § 22-329a (a); claim that defendant’s right to procedural due process under fourteenth amendment to United States constitution was violated because plaintiff failed to file verified petition within ninety-six hours of taking custody of animals pursuant to § 22-329a (a) and hearing was not held within fourteen days as required by § 22-329a (d).

Williams v. Lawrence + Memorial Hospital, Inc., 211 CA 610 84A
Medical malpractice; learned treatise exception to rule against hearsay set forth in provision (§ 8-3 (8)) of Connecticut Code of Evidence, discussed; whether trial court abused its discretion by precluding admission of certain medical text excerpts into evidence.

Volume 211 Cumulative Table of Cases 139A

CONNECTICUT CODE OF EVIDENCE

Adoption of Revisions to the Connecticut Code of Evidence 1PB

(continued on next page)

CONNECTICUT LAW JOURNAL
 (ISSN 87500973)

Published by the State of Connecticut in accordance with the provisions of General Statutes § 51-216a.

Commission on Official Legal Publications
 Office of Production and Distribution
 111 Phoenix Avenue, Enfield, Connecticut 06082-4453
 Tel. (860) 741-3027, FAX (860) 745-2178
 www.jud.ct.gov

RICHARD J. HEMENWAY, *Publications Director*
 Published Weekly – Available at <https://www.jud.ct.gov/lawjournal>

Syllabuses and Indices of court opinions by
 ERIC M. LEVINE, *Reporter of Judicial Decisions*
 Tel. (860) 757-2250

The deadline for material to be published in the Connecticut Law Journal is Wednesday at noon for publication on the Tuesday six days later. When a holiday falls within the six day period, the deadline will be noon on Tuesday.

SUPREME COURT PENDING CASE

Summaries 1B

NOTICES OF CONNECTICUT STATE AGENCIES

Notice of Intent to Adopt a Procurement Manual 1C
Town of New Canaan 1C
Notice of Intent to Adopt Procedures 2C

MISCELLANEOUS

Connecticut Supreme Court Policies for the Establishment & Maintenance of a System of
Law Libraries 1D
Notice of Reprimand of Attorney 3D
Notice of Suspension of Attorneys 4D
