


CONNECTICUT LAW JOURNAL



Published in Accordance with
General Statutes Section 51-216a

VOL. LXXXIII No. 39 March 29, 2022 328 Pages

Table of Contents

CONNECTICUT REPORTS

Baker v. Cayo (Order), 342 C 911	161
Baker v. Shakir (Order) (See Baker v. Cayo), 342 C 911	161
Baltas v. Commissioner of Correction (Order), 342 C 911	161
Dorfman v. Smith, 342 C 582.	46
<i>Underinsured motorist benefits; breach of insurance contract; breach of implied covenant of good faith and fair dealing; negligent infliction of emotional distress; violation of Connecticut Unfair Trade Practices Act (CUTPA) (§ 42-110a et seq.) based on violation of Connecticut Unfair Insurance Practices Act (§ 38a-815 et seq.); whether trial court properly dismissed plaintiff's claims for breach of implied covenant of good faith and fair dealing, negligent infliction of emotional distress, and violation of CUTPA against defendant insurance company on ground that litigation privilege barred those claims and deprived court of subject matter jurisdiction; whether plaintiff's claim that defendant's allegedly systemic abuse of judicial process challenged defendant's conduct in defending against underinsured motorist claim, rather than purpose of judicial proceedings; whether there existed safeguards other than civil liability to deter or preclude misconduct or to provide relief from defendant's alleged misconduct.</i>	
HSBC Bank USA, N.A. v. Cardinal (Order), 342 C 908	158
In re Emily S. (Order), 342 C 911	161
Kiyak v. Dept. of Agriculture (Order), 342 C 909	159
Poce v. O & G Industries, Inc. (Order), 342 C 910	160
Reid v. Speer (Order), 342 C 908	158
State v. Carrillo (Order), 342 C 909	159
State v. Daniels, 342 C 538	2
<i>Intentional manslaughter first degree; reckless manslaughter first degree; misconduct with motor vehicle; certification from Appellate Court; claim that jury's guilty verdict was legally inconsistent in that each offense required mutually exclusive mental states; whether Appellate Court, having found that defendant's conviction of reckless manslaughter and misconduct with motor vehicle was legally inconsistent, improperly ordered new trial on those counts and intentional manslaughter conviction, which trial court had vacated pursuant to State v. Polanco (308 Conn. 242), rather than reinstating defendant's vacated intentional manslaughter conviction; whether defendant's conviction of intentional manslaughter and reckless manslaughter was legally inconsistent; whether defendant's conviction of intentional manslaughter and misconduct with motor vehicle was legally inconsistent.</i>	
State v. Gray, 342 C 657	121
<i>Felony murder; attempt to commit robbery first degree; conspiracy to commit robbery first degree; carrying pistol without permit; unpreserved claim that trial court had violated defendant's federal constitutional right to due process by detaining material witnesses who were reluctant to testify, pursuant to material witness statute (§ 54-82j) or capias statute (§ 52-143 (e)) in order to secure their attendance at trial; whether detention of witnesses had coercive influence over substance of witnesses' testimony, rather than mere effect of having them appear in court; claim that trial court improperly admitted, pursuant to State v. Whelan (200 Conn. 743), witnesses' grand jury testimony; whether trial court abused its discretion in admitting portions of grand jury testimony that were consistent with witnesses' in-court testimony so as to avoid confusing jury; whether trial court abused</i>	

(continued on next page)

its discretion in admitting transcripts of witnesses' grand jury testimony after prosecutor reenacted that testimony in court.

State v. Holmes (Order), 342 C 909 159

State v. McCarthy (Order), 342 C 910. 160

State v. Taveras, 342 C 563. 27

Violation of probation; breach of peace second degree; first amendment; true threats; whether Appellate Court correctly concluded that defendant's remarks warranted protection under first amendment to United States constitution; whether Appellate Court improperly reversed judgments of trial court revoking defendant's probation.

Tatoian v. Tyler (Order), 342 C 908 158

Volume 342 Cumulative Table of Cases 163

CONNECTICUT APPELLATE REPORTS

Dolan v. Dolan, 211 CA 390 2A

Dissolution of marriage; claim that trial court abused its discretion in granting plaintiff's motion for modification; claim that trial court abused its discretion in awarding plaintiff attorney's fees to defend appeal; whether trial court's finding that its failure to award attorney's fees would undermine its prior financial orders was reasonable and supported by record.

Gleason v. Durden, 211 CA 416 28A

Unjust enrichment; breach of contract; whether trial court improperly rendered judgment for plaintiff on unjust enrichment claim on basis of unalleged agreement; whether trial court erred in not finding that confidential relationship existed between parties.

Ingram v. Ingram, 211 CA 484 96A

Dissolution of marriage; whether trial court properly granted postdissolution motion for modification of custody seeking to relocate parties' minor child; whether trial court applied criteria of applicable statute (§ 46b-56d) in reaching its determination.

Peerless Realty, Inc. v. Stamford, 211 CA 441 53A

Action for reimbursement of excess real property taxes paid; unjust enrichment; claim that trial court erred in rendering summary judgment for defendants because genuine issues of material fact existed and defendants were not entitled to judgment as matter of law; whether applicable statutes (§§ 12-60, 12-117a, 12-119, and 12-129) were sufficient to redress plaintiff's grievances regardless of how property assessment error occurred; whether existing statutory scheme precluded plaintiff from asserting common-law claim of unjust enrichment.

Pizzoferrato v. Community Renewal Team, Inc., 211 CA 458 70A

Negligence; arbitration; motion to open and vacate judgment; claim that trial court improperly denied motion to open and vacate judgment; whether applicable statute (§ 52-549z) and rule of practice (§ 23-66) require that decision of arbitrator be sent to parties both electronically and by mail before trial court can render judgment in accordance with arbitrator's decision.

(continued on next page)

CONNECTICUT LAW JOURNAL
(ISSN 87500973)

Published by the State of Connecticut in accordance with the provisions of General Statutes § 51-216a.

Commission on Official Legal Publications
Office of Production and Distribution
111 Phoenix Avenue, Enfield, Connecticut 06082-4453
Tel. (860) 741-3027, FAX (860) 745-2178
www.jud.ct.gov

RICHARD J. HEMENWAY, *Publications Director*

Published Weekly – Available at <https://www.jud.ct.gov/lawjournal>

Syllabuses and Indices of court opinions by
ERIC M. LEVINE, *Reporter of Judicial Decisions*
Tel. (860) 757-2250

The deadline for material to be published in the Connecticut Law Journal is Wednesday at noon for publication on the Tuesday six days later. When a holiday falls within the six day period, the deadline will be noon on Tuesday.

Sitar v. Syferlock Technology Corp., 211 CA 406 18A
Breach of employment contract; failure to pay wages pursuant to statute (§ 31-72); whether trial court erred in finding that there was no bad faith, arbitrariness, or unreasonableness on part of defendant to support award of double damages and attorney's fees with respect to plaintiffs' claims for failure to pay wages pursuant to § 31-72; whether trial court abused its discretion in not awarding prejudgment interest pursuant to statute (§ 37-3a (a)).

State v. Goode, 211 CA 465 77A
Assault of public safety personnel; whether trial court abused its discretion in denying defendant's request for new counsel; whether trial court abused its discretion in denying defendant's request to have his restraints removed during trial; whether trial court erred by not inquiring into potential conflict of interest between defendant and his counsel.

State v. Tony O., 211 CA 496. 108A
Robbery in third degree; unlawful restraint in first degree; assault in third degree; persistent felony offender; persistent offender; whether evidence was sufficient to support jury's finding that defendant seized wife's handbag in course of committing larceny, as required for conviction of robbery in third degree; whether evidence was sufficient to support conviction of unlawful restraint in first degree; claim that evidence was insufficient to support jury's findings that defendant restrained his wife during physical altercation and exposed her to substantial risk of physical injury; whether trial court improperly admitted wife's statement to police officer as spontaneous utterance under applicable provision (§ 8-3 (2)) of Connecticut Code of Evidence; unpreserved claim that defendant's right to confrontation was violated because he never was afforded opportunity to cross-examine wife about her statement to police officer.

Volume 211 Cumulative Table of Cases 141A

SUPREME COURT PENDING CASE

Summaries 1B

NOTICES OF CONNECTICUT STATE AGENCIES

SPA 22-Q: HIPAA Compliance Billing Code & Reimbursement Updates 1C
 SPA 22-S: Nursing Facility Reimbursement-Temporary Rate Increase & Rate for Health & Pension Benefits 2C

MISCELLANEOUS

Notice of Certification as Authorized House Counsel 1D
 Notice of Reinstatement to the Bar 1D
 Notice of Suspension of Attorney 2D