

CONNECTICUT LAW JOURNAL



Published in Accordance with
General Statutes Section 51-216a

VOL. LXXXIII No. 38

March 22, 2022

243 Pages

Table of Contents

CONNECTICUT REPORTS

Connex Credit Union v. Thibodeau (Order), 342 C 903	99
Cordero v. Commissioner of Correction (Order), 342 C 906.	102
In re Onyx K.-A. (Order), 342 C 907.	103
Smulley v. Safeco Ins. Co. of Illinois (Order), 342 C 907.	103
Speer v. Teiger (Order), 342 C 903	99
State v. Alvarez (Order), 342 C 905	101
State v. James K. (Order), 342 C 904	100
State v. Jose A. B., 342 C 489	47
<i>Sexual assault first degree; sexual assault fourth degree; attempt to commit sexual assault first degree; risk of injury to child; claim that trial court improperly overruled objections, pursuant to Batson v. Kentucky (476 U.S. 79), to prosecutor's use of peremptory challenges to excuse two prospective jurors who were members of a racial minority; whether prospective juror's distrust of law enforcement and/or criminal justice system constitutes race neutral reason for exercising peremptory challenge under Connecticut constitution; Batson reform in Connecticut, including report by Jury Selection Task Force, appointed by Chief Justice pursuant to State v. Holmes (334 Conn. 202), proposing new rule of practice to address role of implicit bias and disparate impact on basis of race or ethnicity in jury selection; whether prosecutor's proffered explanations for peremptory challenges were pretext for discrimination; claim that sexual assault in first degree and sexual assault in fourth degree constituted same offense as risk of injury to child under Blockburger v. United States (284 U.S. 299), notwithstanding distinct elements of each of those offenses, because of how each charge was alleged by state in information.</i>	
State v. Omar (Order), 342 C 906	102
State v. Patel, 342 C 445	3
<i>Murder; home invasion; burglary first degree as accessory; robbery first degree as accessory; conspiracy to commit burglary first degree; tampering with physical evidence; certification from Appellate Court; whether Appellate Court correctly concluded that trial court had properly admitted dual inculpatory statement made by codefendant to codefendant's fellow inmate; whether admission of codefendant's statement violated defendant's confrontation rights under United States or Connecticut constitution; whether trial court abused its discretion in admitting codefendant's statement as statement against penal interest under applicable provision (§ 8-6 (4)) of Connecticut Code of Evidence; whether Appellate Court correctly concluded that trial court had properly excluded confession made by defendant's cousin to defendant's sister, which defendant offered as statement against penal interest under § 8-6 (4).</i>	
State v. Reed (Order), 342 C 904	100
State v. Smith (Order), 342 C 905	101
Walzer v. Walzer (Order), 342 C 907	103
Volume 342 Cumulative Table of Cases	105

CONNECTICUT APPELLATE REPORTS

Bongiorno v. J & G Realty, LLC, 211 CA 311	39A
<i>Breach of fiduciary duty; claim that trial court erred by disposing of plaintiff's breach of fiduciary duty claims on basis of res judicata; claim that trial court erred</i>	

(continued on next page)

<i>in finding that plaintiff lacked standing to bring claims for breach of fiduciary duty in her own name; claim that trial court erred by failing to shift burden to defendant managers to prove good faith and fair dealing on plaintiff's breach of fiduciary duty claims; claim that this court should have exercised its supervisory authority to reverse trial court's judgment as to plaintiff's claims of oppression of minority member.</i>	
Buehler v. Buehler, 211 CA 357	85A
<i>Dissolution of marriage; claim that trial court misconstrued statute ((Rev. to 2015) § 46b-56c (d)) in ordering plaintiff to pay portion of his child's postsecondary education expenses despite his lack of participation in or agreement on which educational institution child attended; claim that trial court erred in predicating its postsecondary support orders on factual findings from parties' dissolution of marriage and plaintiff's relationship with his child; claim that trial court's finding that defendant attempted to include plaintiff in college selection process of parties' child was clearly erroneous.</i>	
CTPPS, LLC v. Matava (Memorandum Decision), 211 CA 903.	121A
In re Christian C. (See In re Lucia C.), 211 CA 275	3A
In re Lucia C., 211 CA 275	3A
<i>Termination of parental rights; claim that trial court improperly terminated respondent father's parental rights; whether trial court correctly concluded that, in accordance with applicable statute (§ 17a-112 (j) (3) (C)), father denied his children, by an act or acts of commission or omission, care, guidance, or control necessary for their physical, educational, moral, or emotional well-being; whether trial court correctly determined that, because father was incarcerated following his conviction of sexual assault of a minor, his absence from his children's lives caused his children to be denied the care, guidance, or control necessary for their well-being.</i>	
Kedersha v. Freitag-Kedersha (Memorandum Decision), 211 CA 902.	120A
Kellogg v. Middlesex Mutual Assurance Co., 211 CA 335	63A
<i>Breach of contract; Connecticut Unfair Trade Practices Act (§ 42-110a et seq.); Connecticut Unfair Insurance Practices Act (§ 38a-815 et seq.); promissory estoppel; whether trial court's denial of motion for summary judgment constituted final judgment for purposes of appeal; whether trial court properly denied motion for summary judgment.</i>	
Olorunfunmi v. Commissioner of Correction, 211 CA 291	19A
<i>Habeas corpus; whether habeas court abused discretion in denying petition for certification to appeal from denial of petition for writ of habeas corpus on ground of ineffective assistance of counsel; whether petitioner met burden to demonstrate prejudice resulting from trial counsel's alleged failure to advise him properly about immigration consequences of guilty plea that fell within federal definition of aggravated felony.</i>	
Ortiz v. Commissioner of Correction, 211 CA 378.	106A
<i>Habeas corpus; ineffective assistance of counsel; whether habeas court abused its discretion in denying petition for certification to appeal; whether habeas court abused its discretion in determining that petitioner did not rebut statutory (§ 52-470) presumption of unreasonable delay.</i>	

(continued on next page)

CONNECTICUT LAW JOURNAL

(ISSN 87500973)

Published by the State of Connecticut in accordance with the provisions of General Statutes § 51-216a.

Commission on Official Legal Publications
 Office of Production and Distribution
 111 Phoenix Avenue, Enfield, Connecticut 06082-4453
 Tel. (860) 741-3027, FAX (860) 745-2178
 www.jud.ct.gov

RICHARD J. HEMENWAY, *Publications Director*Published Weekly – Available at <https://www.jud.ct.gov/lawjournal>

Syllabuses and Indices of court opinions by
 ERIC M. LEVINE, *Reporter of Judicial Decisions*
 Tel. (860) 757-2250

The deadline for material to be published in the Connecticut Law Journal is Wednesday at noon for publication on the Tuesday six days later. When a holiday falls within the six day period, the deadline will be noon on Tuesday.

Townsend <i>v.</i> Librandi (Memorandum Decision), 211 CA 902	120A
U.S. Bank National Assn. <i>v.</i> J & M Holdings, LLC (Memorandum Decision), 211 CA 902	120A
Volume 211 Cumulative Table of Cases	123A

NOTICES OF CONNECTICUT STATE AGENCIES

Dept. of Housing—Notice Under the Affordable Housing Appeals Procedure	1B
--	----

MISCELLANEOUS

DOCJ—Personnel Notice, Chief’s State’s Attorney, State of CT.	1C
Bar Examining Committee	2C
Notice of Suspension of Attorney	3C
