


# CONNECTICUT LAW JOURNAL



Published in Accordance with  
General Statutes Section 51-216a

---

VOL. LXXXIII No. 37                      March 15, 2022                      186 Pages

---

## Table of Contents

### CONNECTICUT REPORTS

Berka v. Waterbury (Order), 342 C 902. . . . .	86
Christiana Trust v. Bliss (Order), 342 C 902 . . . . .	86
Goodwin v. Fedus (Order), 342 C 902 . . . . .	86
High Ridge Real Estate Owner, LLC v. Board of Representatives, 342 C 423 . . . . .	61
<i>Administrative appeal; zoning; whether board of representatives lacked authority, under city charter, to determine validity of protest petition opposing amendment to zoning regulations by city zoning board; whether protest petition was valid; whether protest petition contained requisite number of signatures under city charter; whether board of representatives had authority to consider merits of zoning board's decision to amend zoning regulations.</i>	
State v. Andres C. (Order), 342 C 901. . . . .	85
State v. Marshall (Order), 342 C 901 . . . . .	85
State v. Rosario (Order), 342 C 901 . . . . .	85
Strand/BRC Group, LLC v. Board of Representatives, 342 C 365 . . . . .	3
<i>Administrative appeal; land use; whether trial court correctly concluded that defendant board of representatives lacked authority under city charter to verify validity of petition protesting planning board's approval of amendment to city's master plan; whether board of representatives had authority under city charter to consider merits of amendment to master plan when petition protesting that amendment was invalid.</i>	
Volume 342 Cumulative Table of Cases . . . . .	87

### CONNECTICUT APPELLATE REPORTS

Bova v. Commissioner of Correction, 211 CA 248 . . . . .	84A
<i>Habeas corpus; whether habeas court properly denied petition for writ of habeas corpus; claim that habeas court erred in failing to find existence of agreement or understanding between state and coconspirator with respect to coconspirator's testimony at petitioner's criminal trial; whether state failed to disclose exculpatory evidence or to correct false and misleading testimony at petitioner's criminal trial, in violation of petitioner's due process rights.</i>	
Dept. of Public Health v. Estrada, 211 CA 223. . . . .	59A
<i>Administrative appeal; whistleblower retaliation action; claim that trial court erred in concluding that defendant Commission on Human Rights and Opportunities lacked subject matter jurisdiction to adjudicate complaint; claim that complainant did not make protected whistleblower disclosure pursuant to applicable statute (§ 4-61dd); whether educational qualifications for directors of health required by statute ((Rev. to 2015) § 19a-200) also applied to acting directors of health.</i>	
Fulcher v. Commissioner of Correction (Memorandum Decision), 211 CA 901 . . . . .	115A
Gottesman v. Kratter, 211 CA 206. . . . .	42A
<i>Legal malpractice; breach of contract; transferee liability; whether trial court properly granted defendants' motions for summary judgment as to plaintiff's legal malpractice claims following plaintiff's failure to disclose expert witness; whether trial court properly granted defendant attorney's motion to strike portion of plaintiff's complaint alleging breach of contract; whether trial court properly granted defendant law firms' motion for summary judgment as to plaintiff's transferee liability claim.</i>	

(continued on next page)

Griffin Hospital v. ISOThrive, LLC, 211 CA 254 . . . . . 90A  
*Breach of contract; whether trial court erred in concluding that plaintiff was not obligated, under terms of agreement, to perform analysis to determine whether certain medications had potential to interact with ingredients of supplement under study; whether trial court properly concluded that language of revised protocol was clear and unambiguous with respect to selection of study participants; whether trial court properly determined that plaintiff performed study in compliance with agreement; whether trial court abused its discretion by awarding plaintiff prejudgment interest pursuant to applicable statute (§ 37-3a).*

Newtown v. Gaydosh, 211 CA 186. . . . . 22A  
*Zoning; motion for contempt; whether trial court's finding that defendants had violated stipulated judgment by engaging in commercial mining and construction related operations on property was clearly erroneous; whether trial court abused its discretion in imposing certain sanctions as result of its finding of contempt.*

Scient Federal Credit Union v. Rabon, 211 CA 264 . . . . . 100A  
*Breach of credit card agreement; motion for summary judgment; motion to dismiss; claim that trial court improperly granted plaintiff's motion for summary judgment; whether trial court properly concluded that there was no genuine issue of material fact with respect to defendant's liability and amount of damages; claim that trial court improperly denied defendant's motion to dismiss for lack of personal jurisdiction; whether defendant waived claim of insufficiency of process by failing to file motion to dismiss within thirty days of filing appearance as required by applicable rule of practice (§ 10-30).*

Seder v. Errato, 211 CA 167 . . . . . 3A  
*Dissolution of marriage; claim that trial court erred in failing to enforce parties' alleged prenuptial agreement; whether defendant failed to prove contents of prenuptial agreement; claim that trial court improperly ordered defendant to pay attorney's fees to plaintiff.*

Chase v. Commissioner of Correction, 210 CA 497-98 (replacement pages), . . . . . iii  
Volume 211 Cumulative Table of Cases . . . . . 117A

**MISCELLANEOUS**

DOJ—Personnel Notice, Chief's State's Attorney, State of CT. . . . . 1B  
Notice of Reprimand of Attorneys . . . . . 2B

**CONNECTICUT LAW JOURNAL**  
(ISSN 87500973)

Published by the State of Connecticut in accordance with the provisions of General Statutes § 51-216a.

Commission on Official Legal Publications  
Office of Production and Distribution  
111 Phoenix Avenue, Enfield, Connecticut 06082-4453  
Tel. (860) 741-3027, FAX (860) 745-2178  
www.jud.ct.gov

RICHARD J. HEMENWAY, *Publications Director*  
Published Weekly – Available at <https://www.jud.ct.gov/lawjournal>

Syllabuses and Indices of court opinions by  
ERIC M. LEVINE, *Reporter of Judicial Decisions*  
Tel. (860) 757-2250

---

The deadline for material to be published in the Connecticut Law Journal is Wednesday at noon for publication on the Tuesday six days later. When a holiday falls within the six day period, the deadline will be noon on Tuesday.