

CONNECTICUT LAW JOURNAL



Published in Accordance with
General Statutes Section 51-216a

VOL. LXXXIII No. 35

March 1, 2022

359 Pages

Table of Contents

CONNECTICUT REPORTS

Allstate Ins. Co. v. Tenn, 342 C 292	166
<i>Declaratory judgment action; insurance; motion for summary judgment; certified question from United States District Court; whether plea of nolo contendere could be used by insurance company in declaratory judgment action to prove occurrence of criminal act that would trigger contractual exclusion to coverage under homeowners insurance policy.</i>	
Caverly v. State, 342 C 226	100
<i>Wrongful death; medical malpractice; sovereign immunity; notice of claim for permission from claims commissioner to file action against state in connection with state employees' alleged negligence in prescribing certain medications to plaintiff's decedent; whether trial court properly denied state's motion to dismiss for lack of subject matter jurisdiction on basis of sovereign immunity; whether plaintiff's medical malpractice claim against state was "indirectly paid by . . . a third party" within meaning of statute ((Rev. to 2017) § 4-160b (a)), when plaintiff brought and settled separate negligence action against pharmacy that had filled decedent's prescriptions.</i>	
1st Alliance Lending, LLC v. Dept. of Banking, 342 C 273	147
<i>Mortgage lending; automatic suspension and revocation of plaintiff's license to serve as mortgage lender in Connecticut; whether statute (§ 36a-492) directing Commissioner of Banking to suspend license of mortgage lender when its surety bond is cancelled, unless lender has surrendered its license, and relevant statutory scheme, provided commissioner with legal authority to suspend and revoke plaintiff's mortgage lender license; whether commissioner should not be permitted to decline to take action on mortgage lender's request to surrender its license; whether trial court correctly concluded that Department of Banking was not estopped from suspending and revoking plaintiff's mortgage lender license on basis of representations that department made in letter to plaintiff.</i>	
In re Petition of Reapportionment Commission Ex Rel., 342 C 271	145
<i>Reapportionment; submission of report and plan by court-appointed special master for redistricting of state's congressional districts; order adopting report and plan.</i>	
State v. Bruny, 342 C 169	43
<i>Murder; criminal possession of pistol or revolver; claim that trial court abused its discretion in admitting testimony of four lay witnesses identifying defendant as one of persons depicted in surveillance video of interior and exterior of nightclub where shooting took place insofar as their testimony improperly embraced ultimate issue to be decided by jury, in violation of Connecticut Code of Evidence (§ 7-3 (a)); claim that trial court improperly admitted expert testimony of forensic examiner regarding enhanced video that he compiled from raw surveillance footage of nightclub where shooting took place, insofar as examiner's testimony invaded province of jury; claim that trial court incorrectly concluded that defense counsel had opened door to certain of forensic examiner's testimony on redirect examination; claim that trial court abused its discretion in denying defendant's request for special credibility instruction as to witness who defendant claimed should have been treated as jailhouse informant; claim that trial court improperly denied defendant's motion to suppress out-of-court and in-court identifications of defendant made by victim's cousin; claim that there was insufficient evidence to support defendant's conviction of criminal possession of pistol or revolver insofar as state</i>	

(continued on next page)

	<i>failed to prove beyond reasonable doubt that gun used in shooting had barrel length of less than twelve inches.</i>	
State v. Fisher, 342 C 239	Assault second degree; claim that there was insufficient evidence to support defendant's conviction of assault in second degree; whether jury reasonably could have found beyond reasonable doubt that defendant had intended to cause victim to suffer serious physical injury; claim that trial court improperly limited defense counsel's cross-examination of victim regarding her pending civil action against defendant, which arose out of same incident that formed basis for defendant's conviction; claim that trial court improperly precluded admission of complaint in victim's civil action against defendant; whether claimed error was of constitutional magnitude; claim that trial court improperly allowed certain witness to provide expert testimony regarding symptoms of concussions.	113
State v. Gore, 342 C 129	Murder; criminal possession of firearm; claim that trial court improperly admitted police officer's testimony regarding witness' statement identifying defendant in still photograph taken from surveillance video on ground that it constituted lay opinion testimony embracing ultimate issue to be decided by trier of fact; claim that trial court improperly denied defendant's motion for mistrial and new trial based on alleged juror misconduct.	2
Volume 342 Cumulative Table of Cases		209

CONNECTICUT APPELLATE REPORTS

Fairlake Capital, LLC v. Lathouris, 210 CA 801	Breach of guaranty agreement; res judicata; whether trial court err in denying defendants' motion for summary judgment; whether plaintiff's claims were barred by doctrine of res judicata.	3A
Gassesse v. University of Connecticut (Memorandum Decision), 210 CA 908.		80A
L. D. v. G. T., 210 CA 864.	Application for relief from abuse; domestic violence order of protection; whether trial court abused its discretion in precluding defendant from cross-examining plaintiff during hearing on plaintiff's application for relief from abuse.	66A
O'Rourke v. Dept. of Labor, 210 CA 836	Administrative appeal; labor law; claim that trial court improperly determined that substantial evidence supported findings of State Board of Labor Relations and that board reasonably concluded that plaintiff had failed to establish that union breached its duty of fair representation during arbitration proceeding.	38A
State v. Daniel M., 210 CA 819.	Sexual assault in fourth degree; risk of injury to child; claim that trial court erred in admitting evidence of domestic violence between defendant and minor victim's mother as uncharged prior misconduct; whether uncharged prior misconduct	21A

(continued on next page)

CONNECTICUT LAW JOURNAL

(ISSN 87500973)

Published by the State of Connecticut in accordance with the provisions of General Statutes § 51-216a.

Commission on Official Legal Publications
Office of Production and Distribution
111 Phoenix Avenue, Enfield, Connecticut 06082-4453
Tel. (860) 741-3027, FAX (860) 745-2178
www.jud.ct.gov

RICHARD J. HEMENWAY, *Publications Director*

Published Weekly – Available at <https://www.jud.ct.gov/lawjournal>

Syllabuses and Indices of court opinions by
ERIC M. LEVINE, *Reporter of Judicial Decisions*
Tel. (860) 757-2250

The deadline for material to be published in the Connecticut Law Journal is Wednesday at noon for publication on the Tuesday six days later. When a holiday falls within the six day period, the deadline will be noon on Tuesday.

evidence was relevant; whether probative value of uncharged prior misconduct evidence was outweighed by its prejudicial effect.

Volume 210 Cumulative Table of Cases 81A

Epright v. Liberty Mutual Ins. Co., 211 CA 26 114A
Underinsured motorist coverage; whether trial court's order granting motion to disqualify expert witness was appealable final judgment under State v. Curcio (191 Conn. 27).

Quint v. Commissioner of Correction, 211 CA 27 115A
Habeas corpus; whether habeas court properly denied petition for writ of habeas corpus; claim that trial counsel rendered ineffective assistance by failing to meaningfully explain state's plea offer; claim that trial counsel rendered ineffective assistance by failing to ensure that petitioner received presentence jail credit for time he had served between his sentencings in two separate cases.

Tolland Meetinghouse Commons, LLC v. CXF Tolland, LLC, 211 CA 1 89A
Breach of contract; breach of guaranty agreement; whether trial court properly granted plaintiff's motion for summary judgment; adoption of trial court's memorandum of decision as proper statement of facts and applicable law on issues.

Volume 211 Cumulative Table of Cases 127A

SUPREME COURT PENDING CASE

Summaries 1B

NOTICES OF CONNECTICUT STATE AGENCIES

DSS—Notice of Proposed Medicaid State Plan Amendment (SPA). 1C

MISCELLANEOUS

Notice of Reinstatement of Attorney 1D
DOCJ—Personnel Notice-Chief's State's Attorney, State of CT 1D