

CONNECTICUT LAW JOURNAL



Published in Accordance with
General Statutes Section 51-216a

VOL. LXXXIII No. 26 December 28, 2021 300 Pages

Table of Contents

CONNECTICUT REPORTS

Rainbow Housing Corp. v. Cromwell, 340 C 501	79
<i>Tax appeals; application for tax exemption pursuant to statute (§ 12-81 (7)) for property used for, inter alia, charitable purposes and for “housing for . . . persons with a mental health disorder”; whether property on which plaintiff charitable organizations operated supervised apartment program for individuals with severe mental illness qualified for property tax exemption under § 12-81 (7); claim that plaintiffs were not aggrieved by denial of application for property tax exemption; whether housing provided by plaintiffs constituted “temporary housing,” as that term is used in § 12-81 (7) (B).</i>	
State v. Jodi D., 340 C 463	41
<i>Assault of disabled person second degree; claim that statute (§ 53a-60b (a) (1)) delineating crime of assault of disabled person in second degree was unconstitutionally vague as applied to defendant’s conduct; whether § 53a-60b (a) (1) was unconstitutionally overinclusive.</i>	
State v. Tinsley, 340 C 425	1
<i>Motion to correct illegal sentence; manslaughter first degree; risk of injury to child; claim that defendant’s sentence for both manslaughter in first degree and risk of injury to child violated constitutional prohibition against double jeopardy; certification from Appellate Court; claim that Appellate Court improperly reversed trial court’s denial of defendant’s motion to correct; whether Appellate Court incorrectly concluded that, although offenses of manslaughter in first degree and risk of injury to child were not same offense under Blockburger v. United States (284 U.S. 299) insofar as each contained statutory element that other offense lacked, they were nevertheless same offense, as charged by state in information, for double jeopardy purposes.</i>	
State v. Turner, 340 C 447	25
<i>Robbery first degree; felony murder; certification from Appellate Court; claim that trial court, by referring to larceny by false pretenses in its instructions, improperly presented jury with legally invalid but factually supported basis for finding defendant guilty of robbery and felony murder; whether trial court’s instructions, although improper, presented jury with legally valid and factually supported alternative basis for finding defendant guilty of charged crimes; whether inclusion of factually unsupported theory of conviction was harmless.</i>	
Volume 340 Cumulative Table of Cases	111

CONNECTICUT APPELLATE REPORTS

Borg v. Cloutier (Memorandum Decision), 209 CA 905.	161A
Hospital Media Network, LLC v. Henderson, 209 CA 395	3A
<i>Breach of fiduciary duty; claim that trial court exceeded scope of this court’s remand order when it awarded damages to plaintiff; claim that trial court awarded damages that were predicated on factual findings that were not supported by record and were inequitable.</i>	
Lippi v. United Services Automobile Assn., 209 CA 524	132A
<i>Breach of contract; motion for summary judgment; claim that trial court erred in concluding that there was no genuine issue of material fact as to whether plaintiffs were entitled to coverage under homeowners insurance policy issued by defendant; claim that trial court erred in concluding that plaintiffs’ property did not suffer</i>	

(continued on next page)

collapse as defined in homeowners insurance policy; claim that there was genuine issue of material fact as to whether damage to property resulting from cracking in basement walls constituted sudden caving in; whether trial court failed to apply correct standard in granting defendant's motion for summary judgment; whether trial court improperly shifted burden of proof to plaintiffs.

M. W. v. E. W. (Memorandum Decision), 209 CA 905 161A

Ocwen Loan Servicing, LLC v. Mordecai, 209 CA 483 91A

Foreclosure; whether trial court abused its discretion in denying defendants' request to amend answer and special defenses; whether trial court improperly rendered summary judgment as to liability; whether trial court improperly rendered judgment of strict foreclosure.

Overley v. Overley, 209 CA 504 112A

Dissolution of marriage; claim that trial court failed to award separate property credit for defendant's contribution to purchase of marital home; whether trial court improperly contravened parties' prenuptial agreement governing tax treatment of alimony payments; whether trial court abused its discretion in denying defendant's motion for continuance.

Reid v. Speer, 209 CA 540 148A

Workers' compensation; appeal from decision of Compensation Review Board precluding defendant employer from contesting compensability of claim for work-related injuries; claim that defendant's filing of form contesting her liability as employer for plaintiff's injury would have constituted criminal conduct pursuant to statute (§ 31-290c); claim that Workers' Compensation Commissioner erred in certain factual findings.

State v. James K., 209 CA 441 49A

Risk of injury to child; whether trial court abused its discretion when it prohibited defense counsel from asking prospective jurors during voir dire to express opinions with respect to parents who kiss their children on lips; claim that trial court abused its discretion by admitting into evidence videotaped forensic interview of victim; whether trial court violated defendant's rights to due process, fair trial and to be convicted by means of unanimous verdict when it declined to use language in his written request for instructions to urge deadlocked jury to reach verdict; request that this court exercise supervisory authority over administration of justice to require trial courts to instruct deadlocked juries that they need not reach verdict and that jurors have right to disagree with respect to proper verdict.

Volume 209 Cumulative Table of Cases 163A

NOTICES OF CONNECTICUT STATE AGENCIES

DSS—Notice of Proposed Medicaid State Plan (SPA 22-B) 1B

DSS—Notice of Proposed Medicaid State Plan (SPA 22-C) 2B

DSS—Notice of Proposed Medicaid State Plan (SPA 22-D) 3B

DSS—Notice of Proposed Medicaid State Plan (SPA 22-E) 5B

DSS—Notice of Proposed Medicaid State Plan (SPA 22-F) 6B

CONNECTICUT LAW JOURNAL
(ISSN 87500973)

Published by the State of Connecticut in accordance with the provisions of General Statutes § 51-216a.

Commission on Official Legal Publications
Office of Production and Distribution
111 Phoenix Avenue, Enfield, Connecticut 06082-4453
Tel. (860) 741-3027, FAX (860) 745-2178
www.jud.ct.gov

RICHARD J. HEMENWAY, *Publications Director*

Published Weekly – Available at <https://www.jud.ct.gov/lawjournal>

Syllabuses and Indices of court opinions by
ERIC M. LEVINE, *Reporter of Judicial Decisions*
Tel. (860) 757-2250

The deadline for material to be published in the Connecticut Law Journal is Wednesday at noon for publication on the Tuesday six days later. When a holiday falls within the six day period, the deadline will be noon on Tuesday.

DSS—Notice of Proposed Medicaid State Plan (SPA 22-G) 7B
DSS—Notice of Proposed Medicaid State Plan (SPA 22-H) 9B

MISCELLANEOUS

The New Online Ordering Transcript System 1C

CONNECTICUT LAW JOURNAL NOTICE DEADLINES

Revised Law Journal Dates for Notices 2022 Deadlines 1D
