

# CONNECTICUT LAW JOURNAL



Published in Accordance with  
General Statutes Section 51-216a

---

VOL. LXXXIII No. 24                      December 14, 2021                      330 Pages

---

## Table of Contents

### CONNECTICUT REPORTS

Abel v. Johnson, 340 C 240 . . . . .	128
<i>Action to enjoin defendant property owner, who was operating landscaping business on her property, from violating restrictive covenant limiting use of property to residential purposes only; certification from Appellate Court; whether Appellate Court incorrectly determined that plaintiff property owners did not have standing to enforce restrictive covenant, which was contained in deed from original grantors to housing developer that subsequently subdivided property; whether language in deeds conveying lots from housing developer to parties' predecessors in title providing that they took title "subject to" earlier deed rendered that restriction enforceable by grantees of housing developer, when residential use restriction in deed from original grantors expressly inured to benefit of their remaining land; whether, in light of deed language and surrounding circumstances, housing developer intended to establish general plan of development limited to residential use.</i>	
Anketell v. Kulldorff (Order), 340 C 905 . . . . .	157
Baldwin v. Commissioner of Correction (Order), 340 C 906 . . . . .	158
Boardwalk Realty Associates, LLC v. M & S Gateway Associates, LLC, 340 C 115 . . . . .	3
<i>Receiver of rents; claim that trial court improperly granted defendants' motion for summary judgment; whether trial court correctly concluded that plaintiff, which was appointed receiver of rents pursuant to statute (§ 12-163a (a)), was not authorized to collect rent or use and occupancy payments from occupants of property, when defendants had no effective lease and owner abandoned property and did not pursue its rights against defendants, which had been using property to operate automobile dealership since property owner abandoned that property.</i>	
Joyner v. Commissioner of Correction (Order), 340 C 906 . . . . .	158
NRT New England, LLC v. Longo (Order), 340 C 906 . . . . .	158
Raspberry Junction Holding, LLC v. Southeastern Connecticut Water Authority, 340 C 200	88
<i>Negligence; summary judgment; whether trial court correctly determined that defendant municipal water authority owed plaintiff no legal duty of care; economic loss doctrine; whether trial court correctly determined that, although plaintiff's economic losses were reasonably foreseeable, imposing duty on defendant was inconsistent with public policy under circumstances of case; whether factors in test first articulated in Jaworski v. Kiernan (241 Conn. 399) militated against imposition of duty, as matter of public policy.</i>	
State v. Culbreath, 340 C 167 . . . . .	55
<i>Manslaughter first degree with firearm; criminal possession of firearm; carrying pistol without permit; claim that statements defendant made during custodial interrogation were improperly admitted into evidence because they were elicited by detective after defendant invoked his right to counsel under Miranda v. Arizona (384 U.S. 436), in violation of his state and federal constitutional rights; whether defense counsel waived defendant's unreserved claim under federal constitution that his Miranda rights were violated when counsel stated that he had no objection to admission of defendant's written statement to police and video recording of interrogation; whether defense counsel's waiver of defendant's state constitutional claim was knowing and intelligent when, after jury returned verdict, this court adopted more protective standard for Miranda rights under state constitution (art. 1, § 8); claim that defendant invoked his right to counsel, before signing written form waiving Miranda rights, by asking detective why form stated "that I'm wavering . . . how I don't want the presence of an attorney"; claim that defendant's</i>	

(continued on next page)

*question regarding whether “there [was] anybody [he could] talk to . . . [l]ike an attorney” was conditional and equivocal inquiry that reasonably could be construed as request for counsel under article first, § 8; whether state satisfied its burden of establishing that improper admission of defendant’s out-of-court statements was harmless beyond reasonable doubt.*

State v. Dawson, 340 C 136 . . . . . 24  
*Criminal possession of pistol or revolver; criminal trespass third degree; certification from Appellate Court; whether Appellate Court incorrectly concluded that state had adduced sufficient evidence at trial to support defendant’s conviction of criminal possession of pistol or revolver; whether there was sufficient evidence to establish beyond reasonable doubt that defendant had knowledge of gun and intent to exercise dominion or control over it; whether jury could have reasonably found that defendant constructively possessed gun; whether DNA evidence presented by state, standing alone or in combination with other evidence, was insufficient to support defendant’s conviction.*

State v. Green (Order), 340 C 905 . . . . . 157  
 State v. Shawn G. (Order), 340 C 907 . . . . . 159  
 Talton v. Commissioner of Correction (Order), 340 C 907 . . . . . 159  
 Volume 340 Cumulative Table of Cases . . . . . 161

### CONNECTICUT APPELLATE REPORTS

Bayview Loan Servicing, LLC v. Gallant, 209 CA 185 . . . . . 3A  
*Foreclosure; whether trial court properly denied motion to dismiss foreclosure action; whether substitute plaintiff’s assignor had standing to bring foreclosure action at time it was commenced; whether substitute plaintiff’s failure to produce original note in court was fatal to its foreclosure of mortgage; whether loss of note while in note assignor’s possession affected substitute plaintiff’s ability to foreclose mortgage.*

Briarwood of Silvermine, LLC v. Yew Street Partners, LLC, 209 CA 271 . . . . . 89A  
*Adverse possession; quiet title; trespass; motion to dismiss; whether trial court erred in dismissing plaintiffs’ adverse possession claim pursuant to rule of practice (§ 15-8) because, when determining whether plaintiffs had established prima facie case, court incorrectly applied law of adverse possession; claim that trial court erred by rendering judgment for defendants on counterclaims seeking to quiet title and for trespass.*

Brown v. New Milford Crossings, LLC (Memorandum Decision), 209 CA 903 . . . . . 147A  
 Cordero v. Commissioner of Correction (Memorandum Decision), 209 CA 903 . . . . . 147A  
 Grzeszczyk v. Connecticut State Employees Retirement Commission, 209 CA 313 . . . . . 131A  
*Administrative appeal; whether trial court properly determined that record was sufficient to support declaratory ruling of defendant Connecticut State Employees Retirement Commission denying plaintiff’s application for request of refund of certain retirement contributions; whether trial court properly determined that ruling of commission was consistent with applicable statutes (§§ 7-439g (a) and*

(continued on next page)

### CONNECTICUT LAW JOURNAL

(ISSN 87500973)

Published by the State of Connecticut in accordance with the provisions of General Statutes § 51-216a.

Commission on Official Legal Publications  
 Office of Production and Distribution  
 111 Phoenix Avenue, Enfield, Connecticut 06082-4453  
 Tel. (860) 741-3027, FAX (860) 745-2178  
[www.jud.ct.gov](http://www.jud.ct.gov)

RICHARD J. HEMENWAY, *Publications Director*

*Published Weekly – Available at <https://www.jud.ct.gov/lawjournal>*

Syllabuses and Indices of court opinions by  
 ERIC M. LEVINE, *Reporter of Judicial Decisions*  
 Tel. (860) 757-2250

The deadline for material to be published in the Connecticut Law Journal is Wednesday at noon for publication on the Tuesday six days later. When a holiday falls within the six day period, the deadline will be noon on Tuesday.

<i>7-440 (h)) and forms filed; claim that member of municipal employees retirement fund properly changed designation of his beneficiary.</i>	
Lockhart v. NAI Elite, LLC, 209 CA 308 . . . . .	126A
<i>Unpaid wages; whether trial court abused its discretion in awarding full amount of attorney's fees; claim that attorney's fees were excessive because plaintiff was only partially successful on his claims.</i>	
PHH Mortgage Corp. v. Kowalsky (Memorandum Decision), 209 CA 903 . . . . .	147A
Silano v. Cooney (Memorandum Decision), 209 CA 904 . . . . .	148A
State v. Alvarez, 209 CA 250 . . . . .	68A
<i>Sexual assault in fourth degree; risk of injury to child; motion to allow introduction of uncharged misconduct evidence; claim that trial court improperly admitted uncharged misconduct evidence; whether trial court erred in failing to disclose certain confidential records relating to credibility of witness; whether trial court followed procedure required by State v. Esposito (192 Conn. 166) in disclosing confidential records to parties.</i>	
State v. Carrillo, 209 CA 213 . . . . .	31A
<i>Sexual assault in third degree; sexual assault in fourth degree; risk of injury to child; whether defendant's due process right to fair trial was violated as result of unreserved claims of prosecutorial impropriety.</i>	
State v. Holmes, 209 CA 197 . . . . .	15A
<i>Manslaughter in first degree with firearm; felony murder; motion to correct illegal sentence; whether trial court properly dismissed for lack of subject matter jurisdiction defendant's claim that charging document listed multiple homicide offenses in violation of constitutional prohibition against double jeopardy; whether defendant's claim attacked proceedings leading up to conviction instead of sentence or sentencing proceeding; whether trial court properly denied defendant's claim that his sentence for felony murder conviction was illegal; whether felony murder and manslaughter in first degree were separate crimes; whether sentencing court's decision to vacate less serious felony of manslaughter was proper.</i>	
State v. Omar, 209 CA 283 . . . . .	101A
<i>Possession of narcotics with intent to sell by person who is not drug-dependent; sale of narcotics by person who is not drug-dependent; conspiracy to sell narcotics by person who is not drug-dependent; sale of controlled substance within 1500 feet of school; possession of controlled substance within 1500 feet of school; motion to correct illegal sentence; claim that trial court erred in denying defendant's motion to correct illegal sentence; whether trial court properly concluded that certain amendments to statutes (§§ 53a-28 (b) and 54-125e (b)) embodied in public act (P.A. 18-63) did not apply retroactively to render defendant's sentence imposing special parole void.</i>	
State v. Smith, 209 CA 296 . . . . .	114A
<i>Possession of narcotics with intent to sell; motion to correct illegal sentence; claim that trial court erred in denying defendant's motion to correct illegal sentence; claim that public act (P.A. 18-63) was clarifying legislation; claim that amendments of certain statutes (§§ 53a-28 (b) and 54-125e (b)) embodied in P.A. 18-63 should have been applied retroactively to render defendant's sentence imposing period of special parole void.</i>	
Volume 209 Cumulative Table of Cases . . . . .	149A

---

### SUPREME COURT PENDING CASE

Summaries . . . . .	1B
---------------------	----

---

### MISCELLANEOUS

Notice of Evaluation of Incumbent Judges Who Seek Reappointment . . . . .	1C
Division of Criminal Justice—Personnel Notice . . . . .	2C
Notice of Reprimand of Attorney . . . . .	2C

---