

CONNECTICUT LAW JOURNAL



Published in Accordance with
General Statutes Section 51-216a

VOL. LXXXIII No. 23

December 7, 2021

330 Pages

Table of Contents

CONNECTICUT REPORTS

| | |
|--|-----|
| Barnes v. Greenwich Hospital (Order), 340 C 904 | 124 |
| Doe v. Madison, 340 C 1 | 3 |
| <i>Negligence; governmental immunity; summary judgment; action against defendant town, defendant board of education, and defendant high school principal alleging negligence insofar as defendants failed to properly supervise teacher who sexually abused plaintiff students during school hours and failed to train school employees to identify and report such abuse or imminent risk of abuse; whether defendants breached ministerial duty to report reasonable suspicion of child abuse, as imposed by mandatory reporting statute (§ 17a-101a) and board of education reporting policy; whether defendants' employees had reasonable cause to suspect that teacher was sexually abusing plaintiffs or exposing plaintiffs to imminent risk of sexual abuse; whether deposition testimony of high school athletic director established ministerial duty of professionalism; whether imminent harm to identifiable persons exception to governmental immunity applied; whether town was liable for failure of its police officer, who was assigned to school as resource officer, to monitor school's security camera footage; whether there was ministerial duty to monitor security camera footage.</i> | |
| Halladay v. Commissioner of Correction, 340 C 52 | 54 |
| <i>Habeas corpus; denial of petition for certification to appeal from habeas court's discovery order; certification from Appellate Court; claim that habeas court improperly granted motion filed by respondent, Commissioner of Correction, for production of materials from petitioner's underlying criminal defense and investigative files, which purportedly were relevant to petitioner's ineffective assistance of counsel claim; whether Appellate Court properly granted respondent's motion to dismiss appeal on ground that habeas court's discovery order was not final judgment under State v. Curcio (191 Conn. 27); whether this court should reach merits of petitioner's appellate claims by treating his appeal as direct appeal from interlocutory order on certification by Chief Justice pursuant to statute (§ 52-265a) allowing Chief Justice to certify appeals involving matters of substantial public interest.</i> | |
| In re Neveah D. (Order), 340 C 904 | 124 |
| Jackson v. Commissioner of Correction (Order), 340 C 904 | 124 |
| KeyBank, N.A. v. Yazar (Order), 340 C 901 | 121 |
| Leconte v. Commissioner of Correction (Order), 340 C 902 | 122 |
| Maghfour v. Waterbury, 340 C 41 | 43 |
| <i>Lien filed on certain settlement proceeds pursuant to public act (P.A. 17-165, § 1); whether P.A. 17-165, § 1, authorized city to file lien when plaintiff's injuries occurred and his action against third-party tortfeasor was commenced before effective date of public act; whether trial court properly granted plaintiff's motion for summary judgment; claim that allowing city to place lien on plaintiff's settlement proceeds would not present retroactive application of statute because plaintiff settled his action against third-party tortfeasor after effective date of P.A. 17-165, § 1.</i> | |
| Normandy v. American Medical Systems, Inc., 340 C 93 | 95 |
| <i>Negligence; recklessness; civil conspiracy; violation of Connecticut Unfair Trade Practices Act (§ 42-110a et seq.); violation of Connecticut Product Liability Act (§ 52-572m et seq.); statutes of limitations; continuing course of conduct doctrine; fraudulent concealment doctrine; summary judgment; claim that defendant was</i> | |

(continued on next page)

liable for injuries sustained by named plaintiff in connection with surgical implantation of vaginal mesh sling performed at defendant's hospital by obstetrician and gynecologist who was not hospital employee; whether trial court incorrectly determined that defendant was not "product seller," as that term is defined in § 52-572m (a), for purposes of plaintiffs' product liability claim; whether essence of relationship between plaintiff patient and defendant was for provision of medical services or sale of mesh sling product; whether trial court correctly determined that statutes of limitations and repose period were not tolled by continuing course of conduct or fraudulent concealment doctrine.

People's United Bank v. Brown (Order), 340 C 905. 125
Pietraka v. Rogowski (Order), 340 C 903. 123
Shaheer v. Commissioner of Correction (Order), 340 C 903. 123
State v. Fields (Order), 340 C 901. 121
State v. Heriberto B. (Order), 340 C 903. 123
State v. Paschal (Order), 340 C 902. 122
State v. Robert R., 340 C 69 71
Sexual assault first degree; whether trial court improperly precluded defense counsel from arguing to jury defendant's theory that victim had planted physical evidence in effort to substantiate her false allegations against defendant, in violation of defendant's constitutional right to assistance of counsel; whether there was sufficient evidence in record to support defendant's theory of case; claim that evidence presented at trial was insufficient to support his conviction of first degree sexual assault; claim that trial court had abused its discretion in admitting testimony of expert in field of child and adolescent sexual abuse when victim was eighteen years old at time of alleged sexual assault.
Volume 340 Cumulative Table of Cases 127

CONNECTICUT APPELLATE REPORTS

A. D. v. B. R. (Memorandum Decision), 209 CA 901 191A
Aguiar v. Between-the-Bridges, LLC (Memorandum Decision), 209 CA 902 192A
Anim v. DaCosta (Memorandum Decision) MD209.1, 209 CA 901 191A
Austin v. Coin Depot Corp., 209 CA 131 133A
Workers' compensation; appeal from decision of Compensation Review Board affirming decision of Workers' Compensation Commissioner; whether board erred in determining that commissioner properly concluded that defendant had discharged its obligations under applicable statute (§ 31-307a (c)); whether board correctly concluded that commissioner properly declined to apply negotiable instruments provisions of Uniform Commercial Code.
Cammarato v. Sacred Heart University, Inc. (Memorandum Decision), 209 CA 902 192A
In re Amanda L., 209 CA 1 3A
Termination of parental rights; whether trial court made factual findings required under statute (§ 17a-112 (j) (3) (B) and (k)); claim that trial court improperly

(continued on next page)

CONNECTICUT LAW JOURNAL
(ISSN 87500973)
Published by the State of Connecticut in accordance with the provisions of General Statutes § 51-216a.
Commission on Official Legal Publications
Office of Production and Distribution
111 Phoenix Avenue, Enfield, Connecticut 06082-4453
Tel. (860) 741-3027, FAX (860) 745-2178
www.jud.ct.gov
RICHARD J. HEMENWAY, Publications Director
Published Weekly - Available at https://www.jud.ct.gov/lawjournal
Syllabuses and Indices of court opinions by
ERIC M. LEVINE, Reporter of Judicial Decisions
Tel. (860) 757-2250
The deadline for material to be published in the Connecticut Law Journal is Wednesday at noon for publication on the Tuesday six days later. When a holiday falls within the six day period, the deadline will be noon on Tuesday.

terminated respondent parents' parental rights; claim that termination of parental rights was unconstitutional, unlawful, and fraudulent.

Jones v. Law Offices of William S. Palmieri, LLC (Memorandum Decision), 209 CA 901 191A

Norwich v. Norwich Properties Realty, LLC (Memorandum Decision), 209 CA 902 192A

O'Neill v. O'Neill, 209 CA 165 167A

Dissolution of marriage; claim that periodic alimony and child support amounts exceeded defendant's net income; whether trial court's finding of defendant's net earning capacity was clearly erroneous; whether trial court improperly ordered that alimony would increase after plaintiff vacated marital residence; whether trial court had authority to award nonmodifiable alimony; claim that nonmodifiable alimony conflicted with cohabitation statute (§ 46b-86); whether trial court improperly awarded plaintiff marital residence without specifying that she would take property subject to all mortgages and liens of record; whether trial court improperly ordered that plaintiff could relocate with parties' minor children; whether trial court's order was ambiguous as to time period for periodic alimony.

State v. Bouvier, 209 CA 9 11A

Operating motor vehicle while under influence of intoxicating liquor; reckless driving; whether trial court properly denied defendant's motion to suppress certain statements made during police interrogation; claim that defendant had not been advised of his rights pursuant to Miranda v. Arizona (384 U.S. 436) before making statements in custodial interview; claim that trial court improperly determined that defendant implicitly had waived his Miranda rights; whether trial court abused its discretion in denying defendant's motion in limine to preclude testimony of arresting officers; whether trial court erred in sustaining state's objection to defense counsel's questions to arresting officer regarding finding of no probable cause to arrest made by Department of Motor Vehicles hearing officer; claim that hearing officer's finding of no probable cause to arrest could be introduced to impeach testimony of arresting officer; claim that hearing officer's finding of no probable cause to arrest was relevant and admissible to prove that there was no probable cause to arrest defendant.

State v. Butler, 209 CA 63 65A

Risk of injury to child; breach of peace in second degree; statutory (§ 54-56l) supervised diversionary program for persons with psychiatric disabilities; subject matter jurisdiction; whether criminal court had power to open judgment of dismissal rendered by it after concluding that defendant had satisfactorily completed diversionary program; claim that statute (§ 52-212a) permitting opening of civil judgment on filing of motion to open within four months of date on which judgment was rendered also applied to criminal judgments.

United Public Service Employees Union, Cops Local 062 v. Hamden, 209 CA 116 118A

Temporary injunction; whether trial court employed correct legal standard in granting plaintiff's application for temporary injunction.

White v. Commissioner of Correction, 209 CA 144 146A

Habeas corpus; whether habeas court abused its discretion in denying petition for certification to appeal; claim that habeas counsel failed to procure testimony at habeas trial of witness who allegedly had perjured her testimony against petitioner at his criminal trial; claim that habeas counsel failed to procure testimony at habeas trial of witness whose testimony allegedly supported petitioner's claim that his trial counsel was ineffective and who could have impeached testimony of eyewitnesses at petitioner's criminal trial.

Wright v. Commissioner of Correction, 209 CA 50 52A

Habeas corpus; ineffective assistance of counsel; whether habeas court correctly determined that petitioner's trial counsel rendered ineffective assistance by failing to present alibi defense.

Volume 209 Cumulative Table of Cases 193A

NOTICES OF CONNECTICUT STATE AGENCIES

DSS—TANF Caseload Reduction Report 1B

MISCELLANEOUS

Notice of Evaluation of Incumbant Judges Who Seek Reappointment 1C

Notice of Authorized House Counsel 2C

Notice of Reprimand of Attorney 2C