

CONNECTICUT LAW JOURNAL



Published in Accordance with
General Statutes Section 51-216a

VOL. LXXXIII No. 22

November 30, 2021

280 Pages

Table of Contents

CONNECTICUT REPORTS

Gallagher v. Fairfield, 339 C 801.	57
<i>Breach of contract; collective bargaining; health insurance; whether trial court correctly concluded that defendant town was not precluded from requiring plaintiff to transition from private health insurance to Medicare; reviewability of claim that town illegally transferred plaintiff from private health insurance to Medicare without his consent; whether trial court correctly concluded that town was required to reimburse plaintiff for certain Medicare premium costs.</i>	
O'Shea v. Scherban, 339 C 775.	31
<i>Municipal elections; whether defendants, including various city election officials, were required to seat plaintiff as member of Board of Education of City of Stamford after city determined that vacant position on board had been included on November, 2020 election ballot in error and declined to credit election result; whether city was required to hold election in November, 2020, to fill vacancy on board for balance of vacated term; claim that term "biennial election" in provision (§ C1-80-2) of Stamford charter should be construed to mean "next town election"; claim that requirement of charter's savings provision (§ C1-40-2) that charter must be construed in harmony with state statutory law compelled conclusion that vacant board position was required to be filled at next city election; claim that various provisions in federal and state constitutions required city to hold election for vacant board position at next regularly scheduled city election; claim that city's failure to count and validate votes unconstitutionally disenfranchised plaintiff; claim that doctrine of municipal estoppel required defendants to count votes that were cast in election for vacant board position.</i>	
State v. Haughwout, 339 C 747	3
<i>Interfering with officer; disobeying direction of officer while increasing speed of motor vehicle in attempt to escape or elude; assault of peace officer; motion to suppress; claim that trial court improperly denied motion to suppress evidence; claim that court should have suppressed certain evidence relating to incident between defendant and police because that incident involved unconstitutional investigatory detention by police; claim that evidence was insufficient to support conviction with respect to counts charging defendant with assaulting peace officers; claims of instructional error.</i>	
State v. Roy D. L., 339 C 820.	76
<i>Sexual assault first degree; sexual assault fourth degree; risk of injury to child; claim that trial court abused its discretion in admitting video recording of victim's forensic interview into evidence under medical treatment exception to hearsay rule; claim that defendant was deprived of fair trial insofar as prosecutor allegedly referred to facts not in evidence and commented on credibility of witness; whether evidence was sufficient to support defendant's conviction; claim that statutes criminalizing sexual assault in first degree and risk of injury to child were unconstitutionally vague as applied to defendant's conduct.</i>	
Volume 339 Cumulative Table of Cases	117

CONNECTICUT APPELLATE REPORTS

Connex Credit Union v. Thibodeau, 208 CA 861	47A
<i>Secured transactions; breach of contract; claim that trial court erred in determining that plaintiff properly provided notice of right to accounting as required by article</i>	

(continued on next page)

9 of Uniform Commercial Code (UCC); whether provision of actual accounting in lieu of statement of right to accounting satisfied requirements set out by statute (§ 42a-9-613 (1) (D)); whether claim that trial court erred in determining that plaintiff properly provided telephone number from which defendant could learn full amount needed to pay in order to redeem vehicle as required by article 9 of UCC was properly preserved for appellate review; whether claim that postsale notice failed to provide proper itemization as required by statute (§ 36a-785 (e)) was properly preserved for appellate review; claim that plaintiff violated statute § 36a-785 (g) regarding fair market value of collateral when it credited defendant with actual sale price of vehicle rather than statutory fair market value; whether plaintiff sufficiently rebutted presumed value of vehicle with direct in-court testimony.

In re Yassell B., 208 CA 816 2A
Child neglect; mootness; whether appeal challenging trial court's ruling on motion to adjudicate paternity of minor child was moot when underlying neglect proceeding was resolved and period of protective supervision expired during pendency of appeal; whether vacatur of trial court's judgment regarding paternity was appropriate.

Main Street Business Management, Inc. v. Moutinho (Memorandum Decision), 208 CA 907 79A
 Nelson v. Commissioner of Correction, 208 CA 878 64A
Habeas corpus; whether habeas court properly denied petition for writ of habeas corpus; claim of ineffective assistance of counsel in posttrial proceedings; claim that withdrawal of habeas corpus petition was not knowing or voluntary.

1195 North Colony Road, LLC v. Nadeem (Memorandum Decision), 208 CA 907. 79A
 Schoonmaker v. Cribbins (Memorandum Decision), 208 CA 906 78A
 Speer v. Teiger (Memorandum Decision), 208 CA 907 79A
 State v. Andres C., 208 CA 825 11A
Sexual assault in third degree; risk of injury to child; motion to allow introduction of uncharged misconduct evidence; claim that trial court improperly admitted uncharged misconduct evidence; reviewability of claim that trial court erred in permitting state to present uncharged misconduct evidence; whether trial court properly denied defendant's motion to strike testimony regarding uncharged misconduct evidence; claim that defendant's due process right to fair trial was violated by prosecutorial impropriety; claim that trial court improperly denied defendant access to victim's journals; claim that defendant's rights under Brady v. Maryland (373 U.S. 83) were violated because prosecutors delegated review of victim's journals for exculpatory material to nonlawyer investigator.

Tatoian v. Tyler (Memorandum Decision), 208 CA 908. 80A
 Volume 208 Cumulative Table of Cases 81A

CONNECTICUT CODE OF EVIDENCE

Proposed Revisions to the Connecticut Code of Evidence. 1PB

CONNECTICUT LAW JOURNAL
 (ISSN 87500973)

Published by the State of Connecticut in accordance with the provisions of General Statutes § 51-216a.

Commission on Official Legal Publications
 Office of Production and Distribution
 111 Phoenix Avenue, Enfield, Connecticut 06082-4453
 Tel. (860) 741-3027, FAX (860) 745-2178
 www.jud.ct.gov

RICHARD J. HEMENWAY, *Publications Director*
 Published Weekly – Available at <https://www.jud.ct.gov/lawjournal>

Syllabuses and Indices of court opinions by
 ERIC M. LEVINE, *Reporter of Judicial Decisions*
 Tel. (860) 757-2250

The deadline for material to be published in the Connecticut Law Journal is Wednesday at noon for publication on the Tuesday six days later. When a holiday falls within the six day period, the deadline will be noon on Tuesday.

NOTICES OF CONNECTICUT STATE AGENCIES

DSS—Notice of Intent to Submit Emergency Preparedness and Response Amendment.	1B
DSS—Notice of Proposed SPA 21-0032: COVID-19 Disaster Relief SPA 7	3B
DSS—Notice of Proposed SPA 21-AP: Pediatric Inpatient Psychiatric Services.	6B
CT Health Insurance Exchange—Notice of Intent to Adopt Revisions to Policies	8B

MISCELLANEOUS

Notice of Administrative Suspension of Attorneys	1C
Notice of Inactive Status of Attorney	32C
Notice of Reprimand of Attorney	32C
