

# CONNECTICUT LAW JOURNAL



Published in Accordance with  
General Statutes Section 51-216a

---

VOL. LXXXIII No. 21                      November 23, 2021                      338 Pages

---

## Table of Contents

### CONNECTICUT REPORTS

Anderson v. Commissioner of Correction (Order), 339 C 916 . . . . .	224
Brass City Local, Connecticut Alliance of City Police v. Waterbury (Order), 339 C 919 . .	227
Capone v. Nizzardo (Order), 339 C 918. . . . .	226
Charles v. Commissioner of Correction (Order), 339 C 919 . . . . .	227
Cooke v. Williams (Order), 339 C 919 . . . . .	227
Kissel v. Center for Women’s Health, P.C. (Orders), 339 C 916, 917. . . . .	224, 225
Noroton Heights Shopping Center, Inc. v. Phil’s Grill, LLC (Order), 339 C 920 . . . . .	228
State v. Bemer, 339 C 528 . . . . .	2
<i>Patronizing prostitute who was victim of human trafficking; conspiracy to commit trafficking in persons; appeal from trial court’s order pursuant to statute (§ 54-102a (a) and (b)), in response to motions by state and certain of defendant’s victims, requiring defendant to submit to examination for sexually transmitted diseases (STDs) and testing for presence of human immunodeficiency virus (HIV); whether trial court’s order was appealable final judgment; whether defendant’s conviction of charged crimes during pendency of appeal had bearing, jurisdictional or otherwise, on defendant’s appeal; whether trial court abused discretion conferred on it by § 54-102a (b) in ordering defendant to submit to HIV testing; claim that trial court was obligated to adhere to requirement set forth in statute (§ 19a-532 (d) (8)) that it find, before ordering HIV testing, clear and imminent danger to public health or health of person and that person seeking testing of defendant has demonstrated compelling need for test result that cannot be accommodated by other means; whether issuance of order for HIV testing pursuant to § 54-102a (b) based solely on finding that conditions of that statute have been met violates defendant’s right to be free from unreasonable searches and seizures under article first, § 7, of Connecticut constitution; claim that trial court must find, before issuing order for examination for STDs or HIV testing pursuant to § 54-102a, that there is probable cause to believe that defendant has STD or HIV.</i>	
State v. Griffin, 339 C 631 . . . . .	105
<i>Murder; attempt to commit robbery first degree; conspiracy to commit robbery first degree; criminal possession of firearm; claim that trial court improperly denied motion to suppress rifle found at defendant’s residence on ground that it was illegally obtained during warrantless search, in violation of federal and state constitutions; whether trial court properly denied defendant’s motion to suppress rifle based on independent source doctrine; claim that search warrant for defendant’s residence was not supported by probable cause; claim that trial court improperly denied defendant’s motion to suppress statements made during interrogation because they were not voluntary; whether certain coercive interrogation tactics, including questioning defendant while he was sleep-deprived, false evidence ploys, maximizing consequences of not confessing, threatening defendant’s family with arrest, and suggesting that defendant’s confession would be met with leniency had combined effect of overbearing defendant’s will; whether this court should adopt prophylactic rule under state constitution that would require Connecticut trial courts to strongly consider whether coercive interrogation tactics raise questions about voluntariness of confession.</i>	
State v. Quintiliano (Order), 339 C 918 . . . . .	226
State v. Richards, 339 C 628 . . . . .	102
<i>Murder; certification from Appellate Court; claim that evidence was insufficient to support defendant’s conviction; whether Appellate Court properly upheld defen-</i>	

(continued on next page)

*defendant's conviction; adoption of portion of Appellate Court's opinion addressing defendant's evidentiary insufficiency claim as proper statement of applicable law concerning that issue.*

State v. Santiago (Order), 339 C 918 . . . . . 226

State v. Silva, 339 C 598 . . . . . 72

*Murder with special circumstances; unpreserved claim that trial court incorrectly instructed jury that, if it found that there was temporal nexus between murders, it could find that state had proven "in the course of a single transaction" element of murder with special circumstances; whether defendant implicitly waived unpreserved claim of instructional error under State v. Kitchens (299 Conn. 447); whether defendant's claim of instructional error failed under third prong of State v. Golding (213 Conn. 233); whether trial court committed plain error by failing to provide jury, sua sponte, with special credibility instruction as to testimony of witness who defendant claimed was perpetrator of victims' murders; unpreserved claim that trial court violated defendant's constitutional rights to counsel and to present defense by precluding defense counsel from arguing during closing argument that absence of testimony from certain witness created reasonable doubt; whether trial court reasonably determined that defense counsel was making improper missing witness argument.*

Volume 339 Cumulative Table of Cases . . . . . 229

**CONNECTICUT APPELLATE REPORTS**

ASPIC, LLC v. Poitier, 208 CA 731 . . . . . 3A

*Debt collection; special defenses; breach of fiduciary duty; claim that trial court could not have reasonably found that defendant, general partner in several limited partnerships, lacked notice of certain promissory notes entered into by managing general partner of those limited partnerships; whether there was any evidence that defendant was aware of execution of promissory notes; whether there was clear and convincing evidence of fair dealing; claim that trial court erred in failing to address relevant factors under Konover Development Corp. v. Zeller (228 Conn. 206), which outlines how fiduciary may demonstrate that particular transaction is fair; claim that trial court, in reaching conclusion that third party breached fiduciary duty to defendant, committed number of legal errors that required reversal; claim that trial court improperly rendered judgment for defendant on promissory notes issued to third party even though it concluded that third party had not breached any fiduciary duty it owed to defendant.*

Brookstone Homes, LLC v. Merco Holdings, LLC, 208 CA 789 . . . . . 61A

*Breach of contract; lis pendens; application to discharge lis pendens; joint appeal; whether appeal as to trial court's order granting application to discharge lis pendens was moot because order had been recorded on land records; whether, even if appeal was moot, appeal satisfied exception to mootness for matters that are capable of repetition yet evading review; whether appeal as to breach of contract action should be dismissed for lack of final judgment.*

**CONNECTICUT LAW JOURNAL**  
(ISSN 87500973)

Published by the State of Connecticut in accordance with the provisions of General Statutes § 51-216a.

Commission on Official Legal Publications  
Office of Production and Distribution  
111 Phoenix Avenue, Enfield, Connecticut 06082-4453  
Tel. (860) 741-3027, FAX (860) 745-2178  
[www.jud.ct.gov](http://www.jud.ct.gov)

RICHARD J. HEMENWAY, *Publications Director*

*Published Weekly – Available at <https://www.jud.ct.gov/lawjournal>*

Syllabuses and Indices of court opinions by  
ERIC M. LEVINE, *Reporter of Judicial Decisions*  
Tel. (860) 757-2250

---

The deadline for material to be published in the Connecticut Law Journal is Wednesday at noon for publication on the Tuesday six days later. When a holiday falls within the six day period, the deadline will be noon on Tuesday.

(continued on next page)

Hartford v. Commission on Human Rights & Opportunities, 208 CA 755 . . . . . 27A  
*Employment discrimination; whether trial court properly upheld decision of defendant Commission on Human Rights and Opportunities that employee had proven intentional discrimination based on ancestry by plaintiff employer; claim that there was insufficient evidence that discharge of employee was motivated by discriminatory animus; claim that record did not permit reasonable inference that reasons for discharging defendant employee were pretextual.*

Merco Holdings, LLC v. CT Karka, LLC (See Brookstone Homes, LLC v. Merco Holdings, LLC), 208 CA 789 . . . . . 61A

Torres v. Commissioner of Correction, 208 CA 803. . . . . 75A  
*Habeas corpus; whether petitioner failed to demonstrate that habeas court's denial of petition for certification to appeal from judgment denying petition for writ of habeas corpus constituted abuse of discretion; whether petitioner's trial counsel rendered ineffective assistance by permitting certain prejudicial prior misconduct evidence to be admitted at trial.*

Volume 208 Cumulative Table of Cases . . . . . 89A

**NOTICES OF CONNECTICUT STATE AGENCIES**

Notice of Ground Water Quality Reclassification Decision . . . . . 1B  
DSS—Notice of Proposed Medicaid State Plan Amendment (SPA). . . . . 1B

**MISCELLANEOUS**

Notice of Certification as Authorized House Counsel . . . . . 1C  
Bar Examining Committee . . . . . 1C  
Notice of Reprimands. . . . . 2C