

# CONNECTICUT LAW JOURNAL



Published in Accordance with  
General Statutes Section 51-216a

VOL. LXXXIII No. 18

November 2, 2021

426 Pages

## Table of Contents

### CONNECTICUT REPORTS

Farmington-Girard, LLC v. Planning & Zoning Commission, 339 C 268 . . . . .	158
<i>Zoning; administrative appeals; subject matter jurisdiction; exhaustion of administrative remedies; certification from Appellate Court; claim that trial court improperly dismissed administrative appeals for lack of subject matter jurisdiction; whether Appellate Court incorrectly determined that city's zoning administrator had authority to determine that plaintiff property owner's application for special permit was void; whether plaintiff's consolidated appeals were moot; whether Appellate Court incorrectly determined that plaintiff was required to appeal from decision of city's zoning administrator purporting to void plaintiff's application for special permit.</i>	
Great Plains Lending, LLC v. Dept. of Banking, 339 C 112. . . . .	2
<i>Administrative appeal; tribal sovereign immunity; whether trial court properly sustained plaintiffs' appeal from decision of Commissioner of Banking ordering plaintiffs to cease and desist and to pay civil penalties for violating Connecticut's banking and usury laws; claim that plaintiff businesses, which were created pursuant to laws of federally recognized Indian tribe, were entitled to tribal sovereign immunity as arms of tribe; claim that plaintiff chairman of Indian tribe shared in tribal sovereign immunity of plaintiff businesses; whether trial court correctly determined that entities claiming tribal sovereign immunity bore burden of proving, by preponderance of evidence, their status as arms of tribe; claim that trial court applied improper test for determining whether entity is entitled to sovereign immunity as arm of tribe; whether tribal sovereign immunity extended to plaintiff chairman with respect to civil penalty imposed on him and with respect to prospective injunctive relief.</i>	
State v. Mark T., 339 C 225. . . . .	115
<i>Risk of injury to child conviction in connection with incident in which defendant dragged his daughter down school hallway; defense of parental justification; whether Appellate Court correctly determined that trial court had not abused its discretion in precluding defendant, who represented himself at trial, from asking daughter's teacher, during cross-examination, whether she had ever seen daughter become physical with another person at school; whether trial court abused its discretion by limiting defendant's direct examination of himself, during which he sought to elicit his own testimony about information crucial to his parental justification defense; whether trial court's error was harmful.</i>	
State v. Weathers, 339 C 187. . . . .	77
<i>Murder; criminal possession of pistol or revolver; carrying pistol without permit; affirmative defense of mental disease or defect; whether Appellate Court correctly concluded that trial court had reasonably rejected defendant's defense of mental disease or defect and opinions of defendant's experts relating to that defense.</i>	
Wilton Campus 1691, LLC v. Wilton, 339 C 157 . . . . .	47
<i>Tax appeals; imposition of tax penalties pursuant to statute (§ 12-63c (d)) for plaintiffs' failure to timely submit certain annual income and expense reports required by assessor of defendant town; certification from Appellate Court; whether Appellate Court correctly determined that assessor improperly imposed late filing penalties on plaintiffs pursuant to § 12-63c (d) after taking and subscribing to oath upon grand list; whether penalties imposed under § 12-63c (d) are required by law; whether term "assessment" in statute (§ 12-55 (b)) directing assessor to make any assessment omitted by mistake or required by law prior to signing</i>	

(continued on next page)

grand list must be read to include penalties imposed under § 12-63c (d); whether assessor lacked authority to impose penalties under statute (§ 12-60) applicable to correction of clerical errors or mistakes.

Volume 339 Cumulative Table of Cases . . . . . 181

CONNECTICUT APPELLATE REPORTS

Alston v. Clinton (Memorandum Decision), 208 CA 906 . . . . . 230A
Benjamin F. v. Dept. of Developmental Services, 208 CA 423. . . . . 57A
Administrative appeal; claim that defendant Commissioner of Developmental Services violated applicable statute (§ 1-1g) by considering multiple IQ test scores of applicant when determining applicant's eligibility for services from defendant Department of Developmental Services; whether Christopher R. v. Commissioner of Mental Retardation (277 Conn. 594) remained good law following 2012 amendment to § 1-1g; claim that, if § 1-1g permitted commissioner to consider more than one IQ test in determining eligibility for services, he was required to consider all of applicant's full-scale IQ scores; claim that Superior Court erred in refusing to take judicial notice of certain Probate Court records; claim that Superior Court erred in declining to apply doctrine of judicial estoppel; claim that commissioner's final decision was not supported by substantial evidence in record.
Bowman v. Commissioner of Correction (Memorandum Decision), 208 CA 905 . . . . . 229A
Coltherst v. Commissioner of Correction, 208 CA 470 . . . . . 104A
Habeas corpus; claim that petitioner received ineffective assistance of counsel; claim that petitioner's conviction of kidnapping in first degree with firearm violated his right to due process because jury was not instructed to determine whether victim was restrained to extent exceeding that which was necessary to complete other crimes pursuant to State v. Salamon (287 Conn. 509); whether petitioner's trial counsel rendered ineffective assistance by failing to advise him regarding his decision to testify; whether petitioner's trial counsel rendered ineffective assistance by failing to advise him adequately about plea offer.
Daley v. Klein (Memorandum Decision), 208 CA 906 . . . . . 230A
Hygrade Precision Technologies, Inc. v. Hoar (Memorandum Decision), 208 CA 905 . . . 229A
Isaac v. Claude (Memorandum Decision), 208 CA 904 . . . . . 228A
J. W. v. S. H. (Memorandum Decision), 208 CA 904 . . . . . 228A
L. W. v. M. W., 208 CA 497 . . . . . 131A
Dissolution of marriage; motion for contempt; whether trial court properly calculated defendant's earned income pursuant to parties' separation agreement; whether defendant provided adequate record that would enable this court to review claims on appeal; whether, in absence of hearing transcripts, this court could evaluate defendant's arguments in support of appellate claims without impermissibly resorting to speculation.
Lopez v. Commissioner of Correction, 208 CA 515 . . . . . 149A
Habeas corpus; claim that state failed to disclose certain information during criminal case; claim that first habeas counsel rendered ineffective assistance; claim of

(continued on next page)

CONNECTICUT LAW JOURNAL

(ISSN 87500973)

Published by the State of Connecticut in accordance with the provisions of General Statutes § 51-216a.

Commission on Official Legal Publications
Office of Production and Distribution
111 Phoenix Avenue, Enfield, Connecticut 06082-4453
Tel. (860) 741-3027, FAX (860) 745-2178
www.jud.ct.gov

RICHARD J. HEMENWAY, Publications Director

Published Weekly - Available at https://www.jud.ct.gov/lawjournal

Syllabuses and Indices of court opinions by
ERIC M. LEVINE, Reporter of Judicial Decisions
Tel. (860) 757-2250

The deadline for material to be published in the Connecticut Law Journal is Wednesday at noon for publication on the Tuesday six days later. When a holiday falls within the six day period, the deadline will be noon on Tuesday.

*actual innocence; whether habeas court improperly denied petition for writ of habeas corpus; adoption of habeas court's memorandum of decision as proper statement of relevant facts and applicable law on issues.*

McCormick v. Terrell, 208 CA 580 . . . . . 214A  
*Dissolution of marriage; postjudgment motion for attorney's fees; claim that, in ordering defendant to pay attorney's fees of plaintiff, trial court applied incorrect legal standard; whether trial court was required to make express finding that plaintiff lacked ample liquid funds to pay her own attorney's fees.*

Santana v. Commissioner of Correction, 208 CA 460 . . . . . 94A  
*Habeas corpus; whether habeas court abused its discretion in denying petition for certification to appeal; whether habeas court properly concluded that petitioner was not prejudiced by his trial counsel's alleged ineffective assistance resulting from failure to investigate and present third-party culpability defense.*

Savin Gasoline Properties, LLC v. Commission on the City Plan, 208 CA 513 . . . . . 147A  
*Zoning; administrative appeal; motion for vacatur; whether appeal from trial court was moot; whether appeal became moot through no fault of plaintiff.*

State v. Austin (Memorandum Decision), 208 CA 905 . . . . . 229A  
 State v. Espinal, 208 CA 369 . . . . . 3A  
*Manslaughter in second degree; whether trial court incorrectly determined that evidence of warrant for victim's rearrest was irrelevant and unduly prejudicial; claim that trial court's ruling substantially affected jury's verdict so as to constitute harmful evidentiary error; whether trial court improperly precluded from evidence recording of defendant's second 911 call as spontaneous utterance pursuant to § 8-3 (2) of Connecticut Code of Evidence; whether defendant's reaction to having been told by police that victim died constituted spontaneous utterance; unpreserved claim that trial court's ruling infringed on defendant's right to present defense; unpreserved claim that defendant was denied fair trial when trial court improperly instructed jury as to order of deliberations and misled it as to consequences of finding that defendant acted in self-defense; request that this court exercise its supervisory authority over administration of justice to require trial courts to consider defense of self-defense prior to considering whether defendant is guilty of charged offense and any lesser included offenses.*

State v. Kennibrew, 208 CA 568 . . . . . 202A  
*Motion to correct illegal sentence; whether trial court improperly denied motion to correct illegal sentence in violation of constitutional prohibition against double jeopardy when sentencing court had imposed concurrent terms of imprisonment on convictions of murder and felony murder but did not merge convictions or vacate felony murder conviction.*

Volume 208 Cumulative Table of Cases . . . . . 231A

**NOTICES OF CONNECTICUT STATE AGENCIES**

Notice of Intent to Amend Operating Procedures . . . . . 1B

**MISCELLANEOUS**

Office of Chief Public Defender—Applications FY 2022/23 Annual Agreements . . . . . 1C  
Bar Examining Committee . . . . . 4C