

CONNECTICUT LAW JOURNAL



Published in Accordance with
General Statutes Section 51-216a

VOL. LXXXIII No. 14 October 5, 2021 279 Pages

Table of Contents

CONNECTICUT REPORTS

Banks v. Commissioner of Correction (Order), 338 C 907	125
Bosque v. Commissioner of Correction (Order), 338 C 908	126
Casey v. Lamont, 338 C 479	75
<i>Action seeking injunctive and declaratory relief in connection with executive orders issued by defendant governor that limited various commercial activities at bars and restaurants throughout state during civil preparedness emergency that governor declared in response to COVID-19 pandemic pursuant to statute (§ 28-9 (a)); claim that governor exceeded his statutory authority by issuing challenged executive orders; whether governor was statutorily authorized to proclaim civil preparedness emergency; whether COVID-19 pandemic constituted “serious disaster” under § 28-9 (a); claim that, even if challenged executive orders were valid, § 28-9 (b) (1) and (7) was unconstitutional delegation by General Assembly of its legislative powers, in violation of separation of powers provision of Connecticut constitution.</i>	
Fair v. Commissioner of Correction (Order), 338 C 910	128
Gray v. Commissioner of Correction (Order), 338 C 911.	129
Lowther v. Freedom of Information Commission (Order), 338 C 907	125
State v. Armadore, 338 C 407	3
<i>Murder; certification from Appellate Court; claim that Appellate Court improperly denied defendant’s motion for permission to file supplemental brief to raise claim premised on new constitutional rule established during pendency of appeal; claim that certain records of historical cell site location information could not be considered as part of harmless error analysis; whether denial of motion for permission to file supplemental brief was harmless; whether Appellate Court correctly determined that defendant had not adequately preserved hearsay objection to admission of witness’ testimony about phone call witness had received; whether witness’ testimony about phone call was properly admitted.</i>	
State v. Battle, 208 CA 523	119A
<i>Violation of probation; motion to correct illegal sentence; certification from Appellate Court; whether violation of probation statute (§ 53a-32 (d)) permits trial court to impose sentence for violation of probation that includes term of special parole; adoption of Appellate Court’s thorough and well reasoned opinion as proper statement of issue and applicable law concerning that issue.</i>	
State v. Coltherst (Order), 338 C 907	125
State v. Davis, 338 C 458	54
<i>Murder; claim that trial court violated defendant’s sixth amendment right to effective assistance of counsel by denying his written motion to dismiss defense counsel without adequately inquiring into certain grounds asserted in motion; whether defendant’s claims regarding defense counsel were substantial and thus required further inquiry by trial court; claim that trial court violated defendant’s sixth amendment right to effective assistance of counsel by failing to conduct any inquiry into defense counsel’s alleged conflict of interest; whether record was inadequate to determine whether defendant’s allegation of conflict of interest had merit; remand for determination of whether defense counsel had actual conflict of interest that adversely affected her representation of defendant.</i>	
State v. Fredrik H. (Order), 338 C 906	124
State v. Lanier (Order), 338 C 910.	128

(continued on next page)

Towing & Recovery Professionals of Connecticut, Inc. v. Dept. of Motor Vehicles (Order), 338 C 910	128
Zachs v. Commissioner of Correction (Order), 338 C 909	127
Volume 338 Cumulative Table of Cases	131

CONNECTICUT APPELLATE REPORTS

Bridges v. Commissioner of Correction (Memorandum Decision), 208 CA 902	136A
Bridges v. Commissioner of Correction (Memorandum Decision), 208 CA 903	137A
Cinotti v. Divers (Memorandum Decision), 208 CA 901	135A
Herron v. Daniels, 208 CA 75	3A
<i>Landlord-tenant; action for return of security deposit; claim that trial court erred when it awarded plaintiff double damages pursuant to applicable statute (§ 47a-21 (d)) for defendant's failure to return portion of plaintiff's security deposit; whether trial court's determination that certain of defendant's charges for damages to premises were pretextual was erroneous; claim that trial court erred when it concluded that defendant's handling of security deposit and her failure to return portion of it violated Connecticut Unfair Trade Practices Act (CUTPA) (§ 42-110a et seq.); whether defendant was required to place security deposit into escrow account; whether plaintiff suffered ascertainable loss as result of defendant's withholding of portion of security deposit; claim that trial court erred when it awarded punitive damages to plaintiff under CUTPA; claim that trial court erred in holding that plaintiff was not entitled to return of certain rental payments pursuant to applicable statute (§ 47a-11); whether plaintiff abandoned premises prior to end of lease term; claim that trial court erred in denying plaintiff's common-law claim for money had and received.</i>	
HSBC Bank USA, N.A. v. Cardinal (Memorandum Decision), 208 CA 902	136A
Ocwen Loan Servicing, LLC v. Sheldon, 208 CA 132	60A
<i>Foreclosure; doctrine of unclean hands; whether trial court's finding that mortgage lender failed to restore defendants' credit following its own error was clearly erroneous; whether trial court abused its discretion in concluding that substitute plaintiff's legal title to property was unenforceable after finding for defendants on their special defense of unclean hands; claim that trial court's finding that certain conduct of mortgage lender was wilful was clearly erroneous; claim that trial court's finding that defendants came to court with clean hands was clearly erroneous; claim that trial court's finding that defendants' economic downfall was caused by mortgage lender was clearly erroneous.</i>	
Sosa v. Commissioner of Correction (Memorandum Decision), 208 CA 901.	135A
State v. Goode, 208 CA 198	126A
<i>Criminal damage to landlord's property in first degree; whether evidence was sufficient to support conviction; claim that state presented insufficient evidence to establish element of specific intent.</i>	
State v. Shawn G., 208 CA 154.	82A
<i>Possession of narcotics with intent to sell by person who is not drug-dependent; criminal possession of revolver; risk of injury to child; whether evidence was</i>	

(continued on next page)

CONNECTICUT LAW JOURNAL

(ISSN 87500973)

Published by the State of Connecticut in accordance with the provisions of General Statutes § 51-216a.

Commission on Official Legal Publications
Office of Production and Distribution
111 Phoenix Avenue, Enfield, Connecticut 06082-4453
Tel. (860) 741-3027, FAX (860) 745-2178
www.jud.ct.gov

RICHARD J. HEMENWAY, *Publications Director*

Published Weekly – Available at <https://www.jud.ct.gov/lawjournal>

Syllabuses and Indices of court opinions by
ERIC M. LEVINE, *Reporter of Judicial Decisions*
Tel. (860) 757-2250

The deadline for material to be published in the Connecticut Law Journal is Wednesday at noon for publication on the Tuesday six days later. When a holiday falls within the six day period, the deadline will be noon on Tuesday.

*sufficient to support conviction; claim that evidence was insufficient to establish that defendant had dominion and control over and constructively possessed revolver and narcotics; claim that defendant was not in exclusive possession of apartment in which police found revolver and narcotics; whether evidence of loaded revolver hidden in storage container was sufficient to support conviction of risk of injury to child; whether trial court violated defendant's sixth amendment right to compulsory process when it declined to issue *capias* for police officer who failed to appear at trial in response to subpoena and denied request for continuance.*

Swain *v.* Commissioner of Correction (Memorandum Decision), 208 CA 902 136A

Talton *v.* Commissioner of Correction (Memorandum Decision), 208 CA 901 135A

Watson Real Estate, LLC *v.* Woodland Ridge, LLC, 208 CA 115. 43A

Contracts; attorney's fees; motion for judgment; claim that trial court improperly denied defendant's request for trial and appellate attorney's fees; whether trial court failed to exercise its discretion with respect to defendant's request for attorney's fees.

Volume 208 Cumulative Table of Cases 139A

MISCELLANEOUS

Notice of Reprimand of Attorneys 1B
