

# CONNECTICUT LAW JOURNAL



Published in Accordance with  
General Statutes Section 51-216a

VOL. LXXXIII No. 9

August 31, 2021

347 Pages

## Table of Contents

### CONNECTICUT REPORTS

Burton v. Dept. of Environmental Protection, 337 C 781. . . . .	45
<i>Action to enjoin intake of water from and discharge of water into Long Island Sound and nearby bodies of water by defendant nuclear power company in connection with operation of nuclear power plant; administrative appeal; administrative appeal from decision of Department of Environmental Protection approving application of defendant to renew its water discharge permit; claim that administrative proceeding was inadequate to protect rights recognized by Connecticut Environmental Protection Act of 1971 (CEPA) (§ 22a-14 et seq.); whether administrative proceeding was inadequate because hearing officer had abused her discretion by precluding certain claims on which plaintiff sought to intervene; whether administrative proceeding was inadequate because hearing officer had excluded certain document containing draft best technology available determination; claim challenging neutrality of administrative proceeding; claim that plaintiff established that unreasonable pollution would result from power plant's operation as permitted; claim that permit's best technology available determination violated Clean Water Act.</i>	
One Elmcroft Stamford, LLC v. Zoning Board of Appeals, 337 C 806 . . . . .	70
<i>Zoning; application seeking certificate of approval for location of used car dealership; administrative appeal; claim that zoning board improperly failed to conduct suitability analysis pursuant to statute ((Rev. to 2003) § 14-55) prior to issuing certificate of approval; certification from Appellate Court; claim that Appellate Court incorrectly concluded that § 14-55 was not repealed in 2003; whether Appellate Court improperly applied statute (§ 2-30b (a)) to resolve conflict between two amendments to § 14-55 passed during same legislative session in 2003.</i>	
State v. Bischoff, 337 C 739 . . . . .	3
<i>Possession of narcotics; motion to correct illegal sentence; certification from Appellate Court; whether Appellate Court correctly determined that defendant was properly sentenced in accordance with version of statute that was in effect when he committed crime of which he was convicted; statutory (§§ 54-194 and 1-1 (t)) presumption that changes to criminal statutes prescribing or defining punishment apply prospectively only, unless statute expressly states otherwise, discussed; whether prospective only application of amendment to statute under which defendant was convicted would lead to absurd and unworkable result; claim that this court should overrule State v. Kalil (314 Conn. 529) and adopt amelioration doctrine.</i>	
State v. Gomes, 337 C 826 . . . . .	90
<i>Assault second degree; certification from Appellate Court; mootness; jury instructions; whether defendant's appeal was rendered moot by virtue of defendant's deportation; claim that Appellate Court incorrectly determined that trial court's investigative inadequacy instruction did not mislead jury or otherwise deprive defendant of his right to present investigative inadequacy defense; whether there was reasonable possibility that jury was misled by trial court's investigative inadequacy instruction; State v. Aquino (279 Conn. 293), to extent that it held that deportation of defendant during pendency of appeal renders appeal moot when record does not disclose whether defendant's guilty plea was sole reason for deportation, overruled.</i>	

(continued on next page)

State v. Graham, 337 C 857. . . . . 121  
*Murder; death of defendant during pendency of appeal; whether proper disposition in such cases is to vacate judgment of conviction and to remand with instruction to dismiss indictment ab initio; dismissal of appeal as moot.*  
Volume 337 Cumulative Table of Cases . . . . . 123

**CONNECTICUT APPELLATE REPORTS**

Belevich v. Renaissance I, LLC, 207 CA 119 . . . . . 121A  
*Negligence; premises liability; summary judgment; claim that trial court improperly granted defendants' motion for summary judgment on basis of ongoing storm doctrine; whether defendants met initial burden to demonstrate no genuine issue of material fact that there was ongoing storm at time of plaintiff's fall; whether plaintiffs met burden to demonstrate existence of genuine issue of fact as to whether fall was caused by slippery condition that existed prior to ongoing storm and whether defendants had actual or constructive notice of allegedly preexisting condition; adoption of state of New York burden-shifting approach under ongoing storm doctrine.*  
Coleman v. Bembridge, 207 CA 28 . . . . . 30A  
*Dissolution of marriage; claim that trial court improperly modified physical custody of parties' minor child prospectively; claim that trial court acted beyond its statutory authority and violated plaintiff's due process rights to extent that it awarded parties joint physical custody of child; claim that trial court abused its discretion by entering physical custody orders that were predicated on inconsistent factual findings, were incompatible with trial court's legal custody orders, and were not in child's best interest.*  
Finney v. Commissioner of Correction, 207 CA 133 . . . . . 135A  
*Habeas corpus; claim that habeas court improperly dismissed petition for writ of habeas corpus pursuant to rule of practice (§ 23-29 (2)); whether habeas petition stated claim on which habeas relief could be granted; whether habeas court improperly determined that habeas petition was timely filed within limitation period set forth in applicable statute (§ 52-470 (c)).*  
In re Karter F., 207 CA 1 . . . . . 3A  
*Termination of parental rights; claim that trial court improperly found that Department of Children and Families made reasonable efforts to reunify respondent father with minor child; whether trial court properly found that father was unable or unwilling to benefit from reunification efforts; claim that trial court improperly found that father failed to rehabilitate pursuant to applicable statute (§ 17a-112 (j) (3) (B) (i)); whether trial court failed to consider impact of COVID-19 pandemic and cessation of services while father was incarcerated in determining that father failed to rehabilitate; claim that trial court improperly found that termination of father's parental rights was in minor child's best interests.*  
Stafford v. Commissioner of Correction, 207 CA 85 . . . . . 87A  
*Habeas corpus; subject matter jurisdiction; whether petitioner's appeal was rendered moot following concession by respondent Commissioner of Correction that peti-*

**CONNECTICUT LAW JOURNAL**  
(ISSN 87500973)

Published by the State of Connecticut in accordance with the provisions of General Statutes § 51-216a.

Commission on Official Legal Publications  
Office of Production and Distribution  
111 Phoenix Avenue, Enfield, Connecticut 06082-4453  
Tel. (860) 741-3027, FAX (860) 745-2178  
www.jud.ct.gov

RICHARD J. HEMENWAY, *Publications Director*  
Published Weekly – Available at <https://www.jud.ct.gov/lawjournal>

Syllabuses and Indices of court opinions by  
ERIC M. LEVINE, *Reporter of Judicial Decisions*  
Tel. (860) 757-2250

---

The deadline for material to be published in the Connecticut Law Journal is Wednesday at noon for publication on the Tuesday six days later. When a holiday falls within the six day period, the deadline will be noon on Tuesday.

(continued on next page)

*tioner is eligible for parole; whether habeas court improperly dismissed petition on basis of testimony that Board of Pardons and Paroles found petitioner to be eligible for parole; claim that the habeas court improperly concluded that it lacked subject matter jurisdiction over claims in petition for writ of habeas corpus that retroactive application of parole eligibility statute (§ 54-125a (b) (1)) to petitioner violated ex post facto clause of federal constitution.*

State v. Dionne, 207 CA 106 . . . . . 108A  
*Sexual assault in fourth degree; risk of injury to child; plain error doctrine; claim that trial court erred in allowing constancy of accusation testimony by victim's mother; claim that trial court erred by admitting videotape of forensic interview of victim under constancy of accusation doctrine or pursuant to medical diagnosis or treatment exception to rule against hearsay evidence.*

State v. Glen S., 207 CA 56 . . . . . 58A  
*Violation of probation; whether trial court's canvass of defendant regarding waiver of his right to be represented by counsel was constitutionally inadequate under Faretta v. California (422 U.S. 806); whether defendant's alleged noticeable impairment during his violation of probation evidentiary hearing entitled him to new trial under State v. Connor (292 Conn. 483); whether this court should have exercised its supervisory authority to require trial courts to canvass criminal defendants about waiver of their constitutional rights to testify; whether defendant was deprived of his constitutional right to conflict free representation.*

State v. Heriberto B., 207 CA 192 . . . . . 194A  
*Risk of injury to child; whether trial court properly dismissed for lack of subject matter jurisdiction first motion to correct illegal sentence defendant filed as self-represented party; reviewability of unpreserved constitutional claim of violation of right to jury trial.*

State v. Stephenson, 207 CA 154 . . . . . 156A  
*Burglary in third degree; attempt to commit tampering with physical evidence; attempt to commit arson in second degree; claim that evidence was insufficient to support conviction of charged offenses; whether trial court's improper exclusion of evidence of defendant's mental state was harmless beyond reasonable doubt; claim that trial court's improper evidentiary ruling substantially affected jury's verdict and deprived defendant of constitutional rights to present defense.*

Volume 207 Cumulative Table of Cases . . . . . 213A

**MISCELLANEOUS**

Notice of Authorized House Counsel . . . . . 1B