

# CONNECTICUT LAW JOURNAL



Published in Accordance with  
General Statutes Section 51-216a

---

VOL. LXXXIII No. 5 August 3, 2021 359 Pages

---

## Table of Contents

### CONNECTICUT REPORTS

Fisk v. Redding, 337 C 361 . . . . .	3
<i>Public nuisance; motion to set aside verdict; certification from Appellate Court; whether Appellate Court incorrectly concluded that trial court had abused its discretion in denying plaintiff's motion to set aside verdict; whether jury's responses to special interrogatories could be harmonized in light of this court's established public nuisance jurisprudence.</i>	
State v. Espino, 337 C 425 . . . . .	67
<i>Possession of controlled substance with intent to sell; motion to suppress; conditional plea of nolo contendere; whether trial court improperly denied defendant's motion to suppress; claim that defendant's constitutional right to be free from unreasonable searches and seizures was violated when police detained her, without warrant, in parking lot of apartment building while executing unrelated search warrant on apartment of another individual suspected of drug trafficking; whether defendant was in immediate vicinity of premises being searched while she was detained; decision in companion case, State v. Rolon (337 Conn. 397), dispositive of issue on appeal.</i>	
State v. Kerlyn T., 337 C 382 . . . . .	24
<i>Aggravated sexual assault first degree, home invasion, risk of injury to child; assault second degree with firearm; certification from Appellate Court; claim that trial court incorrectly determined that defendant's jury trial waiver was knowing, intelligent and voluntary; adoption of Appellate Court's opinion as proper statement of issues and applicable law concerning those issues.</i>	
State v. Rolon, 337 C 397 . . . . .	39
<i>Possession of controlled substance with intent to sell; motion to suppress; conditional plea of nolo contendere; whether trial court improperly denied defendant's motion to suppress; claim that defendant's constitutional right to be free from unreasonable searches and seizures was violated when police detained him, without warrant, in parking lot of apartment building while executing unrelated search warrant on apartment of another individual suspected of drug trafficking; whether defendant was in immediate vicinity of premises being searched while he was detained.</i>	
Volume 337 Cumulative Table of Cases . . . . .	71

### CONNECTICUT APPELLATE REPORTS

Buehler v. Newtown, 206 CA 472 . . . . .	172A
<i>Negligence; motion for summary judgment; claim that trial court improperly determined that no genuine issue of material fact existed as to whether plaintiff was identifiable victim who fell within identifiable person-imminent harm exception to governmental immunity doctrine; whether plaintiff's presence on premises was voluntary.</i>	
Charles v. Commissioner of Correction, 206 CA 341 . . . . .	41A
<i>Habeas corpus; ineffective assistance of trial counsel; whether habeas court properly determined that petitioner failed to demonstrate that it was objectively unreasonable for trial counsel to pursue defense of third-party culpability instead of self-defense; whether habeas court's factual findings were clearly erroneous; whether habeas court's factual findings amounted to harmless error.</i>	

(continued on next page)

Chief Disciplinary Counsel <i>v.</i> Elder, 206 CA 515 . . . . .	215A
<i>Attorney presentment; appeal from judgment of trial court reprimanding defendant attorney; claim that trial court erred in denying defendant's motion to dismiss presentment complaint because reviewing committee took more than ninety days to render its final written decision in contravention of statute (§ 51-90g (c)) and applicable rule of practice (§ 2-35 (i)); claim that trial court erred in denying motion to dismiss presentment complaint because reviewing committee considered allegations outside scope of probable cause determination.</i>	
Dunn <i>v.</i> Northeast Helicopters Flight Services, L.L.C., 206 CA 412 . . . . .	112A
<i>Wrongful termination of employment; whether trial court properly granted defendant employer's motion for summary judgment and denied plaintiff's motion for summary judgment; whether undisputed facts raised genuine issue of material fact that defendant violated statute (§ 31-73 (b)) and its underlying public policy that prohibits employers from demanding money from employees as condition of continued employment; whether employer's onetime proposal for potential fee sharing relationship in connection with business that its employee sought to establish separate from his employment with defendant fell within type of coercive behavior § 31-73 forbids.</i>	
Fenner <i>v.</i> Commissioner of Correction, 206 CA 488 . . . . .	188A
<i>Habeas corpus; dismissal of habeas petition as untimely pursuant to applicable statute (§ 52-470 (c) and (e)); claim that habeas court abused its discretion in denying petition for certification to appeal; whether petitioner satisfied his burden of demonstrating good cause for delay in filing habeas petition.</i>	
Frantzen <i>v.</i> Davenport Electric, 206 CA 359 . . . . .	59A
<i>Workers' compensation; attorney's fees; claim that Compensation Review Board improperly vacated ruling of Workers' Compensation Commissioner and remanded case for new evidentiary hearing on ground that there was insufficient evidence in record to support commissioner's distribution of attorney's fees; whether board correctly applied appropriate legal standard to its review of commissioner's decision.</i>	
Gibson <i>v.</i> Jefferson Woods Community, Inc., 206 CA 303 . . . . .	3A
<i>Foreclosure; motion to dismiss; standing; subject matter jurisdiction; claim that trial court improperly determined that plaintiff lacked standing to seek foreclosure of mortgage and to pursue claim of unjust enrichment; claim that mortgage that plaintiff sought to foreclose had not been extinguished in prior foreclosure action because trial court in that action lacked jurisdiction.</i>	
Graham <i>v.</i> Commissioner of Transportation, 206 CA 497 . . . . .	197A
<i>State defective highway statute (§ 13a-144); motion to set aside verdict; claim that trial court abused its discretion by refusing to accept jury's initial verdict for plaintiff and by returning jury to continue its deliberations to rectify inconsistency in its verdict; reviewability of claim that interrogatory submitted to jury was confusing and suffered from inartful wording; reviewability of claim that trial court erred with respect to supplemental instruction that it gave to jury before returning jury to continue its deliberations.</i>	

(continued on next page)

## CONNECTICUT LAW JOURNAL

(ISSN 87500973)

Published by the State of Connecticut in accordance with the provisions of General Statutes § 51-216a.

Commission on Official Legal Publications  
 Office of Production and Distribution  
 111 Phoenix Avenue, Enfield, Connecticut 06082-4453  
 Tel. (860) 741-3027, FAX (860) 745-2178  
[www.jud.ct.gov](http://www.jud.ct.gov)

RICHARD J. HEMENWAY, *Publications Director*Published Weekly – Available at <https://www.jud.ct.gov/lawjournal>

Syllabuses and Indices of court opinions by  
 ERIC M. LEVINE, *Reporter of Judicial Decisions*  
 Tel. (860) 757-2250

=====  
 The deadline for material to be published in the Connecticut Law Journal is Wednesday at noon for publication on the Tuesday six days later. When a holiday falls within the six day period, the deadline will be noon on Tuesday.

Holloway v. Carvalho, 206 CA 371 . . . . .	71A
<i>Probate appeal; appeal to trial court from decree of Probate Court admitting decedent's will; claim that trial court improperly concluded that decedent had testamentary capacity to execute will; claim that trial court improperly concluded that defendant had not exercised undue influence over decedent in securing execution of decedent's will because it failed to assign burden of disproving claim of undue influence to defendant.</i>	
Marshall v. Commissioner of Correction, 206 CA 461 . . . . .	161A
<i>Habeas corpus; whether habeas court abused its discretion in denying petition for certification to appeal; whether habeas court improperly dismissed petition for writ of habeas corpus; claim that imposition of term of incarceration and period of special parole constituted two distinct sentences for same offense, violating petitioner's federal and state constitutional rights to be free from double jeopardy.</i>	
Regional School District 8 v. M & S Paving & Sealing, Inc., 206 CA 523. . . . .	223A
<i>Breach of contract; damages; whether trial court erred in concluding that defendant's unworkmanlike performance proximately caused plaintiff's damages; claim that expert testimony was required to prove defendant's defective work caused damages; whether trial court improperly calculated damages.</i>	
State v. Collins, 206 CA 438 . . . . .	138A
<i>Possession of narcotics with intent to sell; motion for mistrial; motion to suppress; whether trial court abused its discretion when it denied motion for mistrial based on claim that police officer gave testimony on ultimate issue of intent; whether, pursuant to State v. Nash (278 Conn. 620), police officer's expert opinion regarding hypothetical suspect's intent to sell drugs based on amount of drugs in suspect's possession constituted testimony on ultimate issue of defendant's intent; whether trial court abused its discretion when it denied motion for mistrial based on claim that police officer gave testimony that contained reference to defendant's prior misconduct; whether trial court properly denied defendant's motion to suppress evidence; claim that police affidavit in support of application for search warrant did not establish probable cause.</i>	
State v. Santiago, 206 CA 390 . . . . .	90A
<i>Attempt to commit assault in first degree; attempt to commit assault of peace officer; engaging officer in pursuit; whether evidence was sufficient to support defendant's conviction of attempt to commit assault in first degree; whether trial court erred in accepting jury's verdict of guilty of attempt to commit assault of peace officer; claim that this court should overrule its holding in State v. Jones (96 Conn. App. 634) and find that crime of attempt to commit assault of peace officer was not legally cognizable; claim that unpreserved claim was reviewable under principles set forth in State v. Golding (213 Conn. 233); claim that evidence was insufficient to support defendant's conviction of attempt to commit assault of peace officer.</i>	
State v. Williams, 206 CA 539 . . . . .	239A
<i>Sexual assault in second degree; whether trial court deprived defendant of constitutional right to assistance of counsel by allowing him to represent himself; claim that trial court abused its discretion in determining that defendant was competent to represent himself and had made knowing, voluntary and intelligent waiver of right to counsel; claim that trial court was required to advise defendant that he would need to register as sex offender if he were convicted and to ask him or his counsel if he had any mental health issues; unpreserved claim that, because defendant's postconviction conduct constituted substantial evidence of mental impairment, trial court abused its discretion by failing to order competency hearing or to appoint counsel for defendant after it granted his request to represent himself.</i>	
U.S. Bank, National Assn. v. Fitzpatrick, 206 CA 509. . . . .	209A
<i>Foreclosure; judgment of foreclosure by sale; whether trial court erred in granting motion to approve sale without newspaper advertisements; motion to terminate stay; mootness; right of redemption.</i>	
Your Mansion Real Estate, LLC v. RCN Capital Funding, LLC, 206 CA 316 . . . . .	16A
<i>Mortgage release statute (§ 49-8); claim that trial court erred in not dismissing complaint on ground that plaintiff was not aggrieved pursuant to § 49-8 because it did not suffer any damages and, therefore, did not have standing; whether trial court erred in sustaining plaintiff's objection to certain questions asked of defendant's corporate witness concerning whether there existed common practice whereby borrowers recontact defendant if they have not timely received requested mortgage release; claim that trial court improperly rejected special defense that plaintiff had duty to mitigate, but failed to mitigate its statutory damages; claim that § 49-8 (c) was unconstitutional as applied to case in violation of eighth and fourteenth amendments to federal constitution.</i>	
Volume 206 Cumulative Table of Cases . . . . .	273A

**NOTICES OF CONNECTICUT STATE AGENCIES**

State Board of Chiropractic Examiners—Notice of Declaratory Ruling Proceeding . . . . . 1B

**MISCELLANEOUS**

Judge Trial Referee Designees, Arbitration Proceedings—Trial De Novo . . . . . 1C

---