

CONNECTICUT LAW JOURNAL



Published in Accordance with
General Statutes Section 51-216a

VOL. LXXXIII No. 4 July 27, 2021 264 Pages

Table of Contents

CONNECTICUT REPORTS

Cole v. New Haven, 337 C 326.	38
<i>Negligence; governmental immunity; summary judgment; claim that trial court improperly granted defendants' motion for summary judgment on ground that defendant city and its police officer were entitled to governmental immunity; whether trial court correctly concluded that city's police pursuit policy and state-wide police pursuit policy impose discretionary, rather than ministerial, duty on police officers not to execute roadblock while pursuing dirt bikes or all-terrain vehicles on public road.</i>	
Cookish v. Commissioner of Correction, 337 C 348	60
<i>Habeas corpus; appeal from habeas court's denial of certification to appeal; claim that habeas court improperly dismissed petition for writ of habeas corpus pursuant to rules of practice (§ 23-29) without first appointing petitioner counsel and providing him with notice and opportunity to be heard; whether habeas court can dismiss petition pursuant to § 23-29 before issuing writ of habeas corpus under rules of practice (§ 23-24); claim that habeas court's judgment should be reversed on basis of plain error; claim that habeas court improperly failed to construe petitioner's habeas petition as petition for writ of error coram nobis.</i>	
Harvey v. Dept. of Correction, 337 C 291	3
<i>Wrongful death; sovereign immunity; statute of limitations; motion to dismiss for lack of subject matter jurisdiction; whether action was time barred pursuant to statute (§ 4-160 (d)) that requires plaintiff who has been granted authorization to sue state by Claims Commissioner to bring action within one year from date that authorization was granted; claim that action was not untimely because one year time limitation in § 4-160 (d) was inoperative and two year time limitation in wrongful death statute (§ 52-555 (a)) controlled plaintiff's wrongful death claim.</i>	
State v. Best, 337 C 312.	24
<i>Murder; attempt to commit murder; assault first degree; claim that trial court abused its discretion in admitting into evidence certain photographs depicting bloody interior of car used by victims to flee shooting; whether photographs were relevant to crimes with which defendant was charged; whether probative value of photographs outweighed their prejudicial effect.</i>	
Volume 337 Cumulative Table of Cases	75

CONNECTICUT APPELLATE REPORTS

Allen v. Shoppes at Buckland Hills, LLC, 206 CA 284	148A
<i>Negligence; doctrine of superseding cause; claim that trial court improperly instructed jury on superseding cause; claim that trial court improperly instructed jury on statutory (§ 54-1f) duties of off duty police officers; claim that trial court failed to instruct jury on duties owed by possessor of land to invitees.</i>	
Carrasquillo v. Commissioner of Correction, 206 CA 195	59A
<i>Habeas corpus; whether habeas court properly concluded that petitioner was not denied right to effective assistance of counsel; whether trial counsel adequately advised petitioner regarding plea offer.</i>	
Clark v. Waterford, 206 CA 223	87A
<i>Workers' compensation; whether Compensation Review Board properly affirmed decision of Workers' Compensation Commissioner that plaintiff employee's claim</i>	

(continued on next page)

- for benefits under Heart and Hypertension Act (§ 7-433c) was compensable; whether plaintiff was member of paid municipal fire department eligible for benefits pursuant to § 7-433c while he was employed as part-time firefighter; claim that term “member” in § 7-433c is defined by statute (§ 7-425 (5)).*
- Cooke v. Williams, 206 CA 151 15A
Legal malpractice; fraud; ripeness; subject matter jurisdiction; whether claim that defendants provided deficient representation with respect to plaintiff’s prior habeas corpus action was ripe for adjudication when plaintiff remained validly incarcerated and his conviction has never been invalidated; whether claim alleging fraudulent and improper fee practices by defendants was ripe for adjudication.
- In re Naomi W., 206 CA 138 2A
Child neglect; whether respondent mother’s constitutional right to direct health care decisions and religious upbringing of minor child was violated by order of trial court that permitted child to undergo nonemergency surgical procedure despite mother’s objection on religious grounds; whether appeal was rendered moot when, during pendency of appeal, child underwent surgical procedure; whether mother’s moot claim qualified for review under capable of repetition yet evading review exception to mootness doctrine.
- Mecartney v. Mecartney, 206 CA 243 107A
Dissolution of marriage; postjudgment motion for contempt; whether trial court erred in its interpretation of its previous order; whether trial court exceeded its equitable authority in imposing certain conditions in subsequent order to protect integrity of its earlier judgment.
- Nikola v. 2938 Fairfield, LLC, 206 CA 178 42A
Foreclosure; motion for deficiency judgment; claim that trial court incorrectly concluded that it was not barred by doctrine of res judicata from determining amount of deficiency judgment; claim that certain findings from Probate Court as to amount of deficiency barred further litigation; whether trial court properly included in deficiency judgment certain tax liens paid by plaintiff.
- State v. Green, 206 CA 253 117A
Assault in first degree; whether this court had subject matter jurisdiction to review merits of trial court’s dismissal of defendant’s postsentencing motion to withdraw his guilty plea; whether defendant’s appeal of dismissal of postsentencing motion to withdraw his guilty plea was justiciable; whether this court should have invoked its supervisory authority pursuant to applicable rule of practice (§ 60-2) to treat appeal of dismissal of postsentencing motion to withdraw defendant’s guilty plea as authorized late appeal of his judgment of conviction.
- State v. Marshall, 206 CA 209 73A
Motion to correct illegal sentence; claim that trial court erred in concluding that defendant was properly sentenced as persistent serious felony offender pursuant to (Rev. to 2007 § 53a-40 (j)); claim that trial court erred in concluding that defendant waived right to jury trial on public interest determination and that he was not required to admit that extended incarceration would best serve public interest; whether trial court properly rejected claims regarding defendant’s right

(continued on next page)

CONNECTICUT LAW JOURNAL

(ISSN 87500973)

Published by the State of Connecticut in accordance with the provisions of General Statutes § 51-216a.

Commission on Official Legal Publications
 Office of Production and Distribution
 111 Phoenix Avenue, Enfield, Connecticut 06082-4453
 Tel. (860) 741-3027, FAX (860) 745-2178
www.jud.ct.gov

RICHARD J. HEMENWAY, *Publications Director*

Published Weekly – Available at <https://www.jud.ct.gov/lawjournal>

Syllabuses and Indices of court opinions by
 ERIC M. LEVINE, *Reporter of Judicial Decisions*
 Tel. (860) 757-2250

The deadline for material to be published in the Connecticut Law Journal is Wednesday at noon for publication on the Tuesday six days later. When a holiday falls within the six day period, the deadline will be noon on Tuesday.

to probable cause hearing and revocation of parole because they did not attack defendant's sentence.

Stevenson v. Commissioner of Correction, 206 CA 275 139A
Habeas corpus; whether habeas court properly dismissed petition for writ of habeas corpus pursuant to rule of practice (§ 23-29 (2)); whether habeas court failed to follow procedure outlined in Gilchrist v. Commissioner of Correction (334 Conn. 548); whether it was appropriate for this court to remand case to habeas court with direction to decline to issue writ of habeas corpus; whether habeas petition was amenable to declination under relevant rule of practice (§ 23-24 (a)); claim that petitioner's state constitutional claim was procedurally defaulted because habeas petition was not proper procedural mechanism to pursue that claim.

Swanson v. Perez-Swanson, 206 CA 266 130A
Dissolution of marriage; motion to dismiss postjudgment motion for modification of custody; whether trial court erred in determining that it lacked jurisdiction to enter additional orders regarding child custody and visitation pursuant to applicable statute (§ 46b-115l).

Warzecha v. USAA Casualty Ins. Co., 206 CA 188. 52A
Breach of insurance contract; declaratory judgment; whether defendant had duty to defend and to indemnify plaintiff pursuant to homeowners insurance policy in action alleging negligent infliction of emotional distress; whether trial court erred in rendering summary judgment for defendant.

Volume 206 Cumulative Table of Cases 167A

SUPREME COURT PENDING CASES

Summaries 1B

NOTICES OF CONNECTICUT STATE AGENCIES

DSS—Notice of SPA—Home Health Services Rate Increases 1C
 DSS—Notice of Intent to Renew both the Acquired Brain Injury & Katie Beckett Medicaid Waiver 1C

MISCELLANEOUS

CT Bar Examining Committee 1D
 Notice of Authorized House Counsel 8D