


# CONNECTICUT LAW JOURNAL



Published in Accordance with  
General Statutes Section 51-216a

VOL. LXXXIII No. 3

July 20, 2021

360 Pages

## Table of Contents

### CONNECTICUT REPORTS

Boccanfuso v. Daghoghi, 337 C 228 . . . . .	2
<i>Summary process; doctrine of equitable nonforfeiture; certification from Appellate Court; whether Appellate Court properly affirmed judgment of possession in favor of plaintiff landlords; claim that trial court abused its discretion by rejecting defendant tenants' special defense of equitable nonforfeiture; whether defendants' intentional nonpayment of rent was necessarily wilful for purposes of equitable nonforfeiture doctrine, when rent was not withheld because of good faith intent to comply with lease or good faith dispute over terms of lease.</i>	
Rodriguez v. Kaiaffa, LLC, 337 C 248 . . . . .	22
<i>Class action; motion for class certification; interlocutory appeal pursuant to statute (§ 52-265a) involving matter of substantial public interest; standards that govern trial court's class certification decision, discussed; whether trial court should have inquired into merits of plaintiff's legal theory in determining whether prerequisites to class action set forth in applicable rules of practice (§§ 9-7 and 9-8) were satisfied; whether trial court abused its discretion in concluding that commonality, typicality, and adequacy of representation requirements for class certification had been satisfied; whether trial court abused its discretion in concluding that common issues of law and fact predominated and that class action was superior to other methods of adjudication; claim that trial court improperly defined class.</i>	
State v. Chester J. (Order), 337 C 910 . . . . .	66
Volume 337 Cumulative Table of Cases . . . . .	67

### CONNECTICUT APPELLATE REPORTS

Harris v. Commissioner of Correction, 205 CA 837 . . . . .	77A
<i>Habeas corpus; claim that habeas court abused its discretion in denying petition for certification to appeal; whether petitioner demonstrated that his claims involved issues that were debatable among jurists of reason, that court could resolve issues in different manner or that questions raised were adequate to deserve encouragement to proceed further; claim that habeas court improperly denied habeas petition with respect to claim of ineffective assistance of appellate counsel; whether claim was barred by doctrine of successive petitions; whether petitioner suffered prejudice as result of appellate counsel's alleged deficient performance; claim that habeas court improperly denied habeas petition with respect to claim of ineffective assistance of prior habeas counsel.</i>	
LPP Mortgage Ltd. v. Underwood Towers Ltd. Partnership, 205 CA 763 . . . . .	3A
<i>Foreclosure; whether trial court properly rendered judgment of strict foreclosure; claim that trial court lacked subject matter jurisdiction; claim that substitute plaintiff lacked standing to foreclose commercial mortgage because it could not produce lost mortgage note; adoption of trial court's memorandum of decision as proper statement of facts and applicable law on issues; claim that New England Savings Bank v. Bedford Realty Corp. (238 Conn. 745) has been overruled sub silentio or, in alternative, was wrongly decided.</i>	
State v. Arnold, 205 CA 863 . . . . .	103A
<i>Motion to correct illegal sentence; claim that defendant's right to due process was violated because sentencing court relied on materially inaccurate information at</i>	

(continued on next page)

<i>his sentencing; whether defendant's claim was reviewable under State v. Golding (213 Conn. 233); inadequate record for review.</i>	
Volume 205 Cumulative Table of Cases . . . . .	113A
Boyajian v. Planning & Zoning Commission, 206 CA 118 . . . . .	238A
<i>Zoning; whether plaintiffs' failure to appeal from decision of zoning board of appeals that granted application for variance rendered their opposition to planning and zoning commission's decision to grant special permit to same individual based on variance impermissible collateral attack on validity of variance.</i>	
Bray v. Bray, 206 CA 46 . . . . .	166A
<i>Dissolution of marriage; postjudgment motion for contempt; claim that trial court incorrectly determined that meaning of term "net," as used in parties' separation agreement, was clear and unambiguous; claim that trial court incorrectly determined that separation agreement did not contemplate consideration of defendant's net income to calculate amount of his bonus and stock income that was subject to distribution to plaintiff.</i>	
Cruz v. Commissioner of Correction, 206 CA 17 . . . . .	137A
<i>Habeas corpus; whether habeas court erred in concluding that counsel's allegedly deficient representation during plea negotiations was not prejudicial; whether habeas court erred in determining that petitioner failed to prove his claim of ineffective assistance with respect to his counsel's representation during his sentencing proceedings; claim that petitioner was entitled to presumption of prejudice pursuant to United States v. Cronin (466 U.S. 648) and Davis v. Commissioner of Correction (319 Conn. 548) with respect to his ineffective assistance of counsel claim.</i>	
Monts v. Board of Education, 206 CA 106 . . . . .	226A
<i>Disability discrimination; claim that trial court erred by failing to charge jury on plaintiff's claim of interference with Family and Medical Leave Act of 1993 (29 U.S.C. § 2601 et seq.); claim that trial court erred by admitting letter prepared by plaintiff's coworker into evidence under business records exception to hearsay rule; claim that trial court erred by refusing to admit into evidence certain medical records of plaintiff.</i>	
Onthank v. Onthank, 206 CA 54 . . . . .	174A
<i>Breach of contract; whether trial court properly concluded that plaintiff substantially complied with notice of default provision of promissory note; claim that trial court erred in its calculation of damages awarded to plaintiff.</i>	
Saunders v. KDFBS, LLC, 206 CA 92 . . . . .	212A
<i>Foreclosure; judgment of foreclosure by sale; whether trial court erred in determining plaintiff's mortgage had priority over defendant's mortgage; claim that plaintiff had constructive notice of defendant's mortgage; constructive notice doctrine, discussed.</i>	
State v. Gordon, 206 CA 70. . . . .	190A
<i>Larceny of elderly person by embezzlement in second degree; claim that trial court improperly admitted into evidence testimonial hearsay statement of victim in violation of defendant's constitutional right to confrontation; claim that defendant</i>	

(continued on next page)

## CONNECTICUT LAW JOURNAL

(ISSN 87500973)

Published by the State of Connecticut in accordance with the provisions of General Statutes § 51-216a.

Commission on Official Legal Publications  
 Office of Production and Distribution  
 111 Phoenix Avenue, Enfield, Connecticut 06082-4453  
 Tel. (860) 741-3027, FAX (860) 745-2178  
 www.jud.ct.gov

RICHARD J. HEMENWAY, *Publications Director*Published Weekly – Available at <https://www.jud.ct.gov/lawjournal>

Syllabuses and Indices of court opinions by  
 ERIC M. LEVINE, *Reporter of Judicial Decisions*  
 Tel. (860) 757-2250

The deadline for material to be published in the Connecticut Law Journal is Wednesday at noon for publication on the Tuesday six days later. When a holiday falls within the six day period, the deadline will be noon on Tuesday.

*was deprived of due process rights when prosecutor engaged in prosecutorial impropriety by making substantive use of testimonial hearsay statement in closing rebuttal argument; whether witness' testimony regarding victim's statement constituted hearsay; whether defendant was harmed by admission of witness' testimony regarding victim's statement; whether this court needed to reach merits of defendant's prosecutorial impropriety claim.*

State v. Lane, 206 CA 1 . . . . . 121A  
*Assault in first degree; whether trial court abused its discretion in denying motion to disqualify trial judge; claim that this court should revisit precedent set by State v. Milner (325 Conn. 1) and require recusal of judicial authority when there is appearance of partiality, in absence of actual partiality; whether trial court abused its discretion in admitting photographs of victim's injuries into evidence; claim that photographs of victim's injuries were irrelevant and unduly prejudicial.*

Villanueva v. Villanueva, 206 CA 36 . . . . . 156A  
*Breach of contract; implied in fact contract; damages; statute of limitations; whether trial court erred in finding implied partnership agreement between parties; whether trial court erred in concluding that plaintiff provided credible evidence of his damages; whether trial court improperly rejected defendant's special defense that plaintiff's action was barred by three year statute of limitations (§ 52-577).*

Volume 206 Cumulative Table of Cases . . . . . 259A

**SUPREME COURT PENDING CASES**

Summaries . . . . . 1B

**NOTICES OF CONNECTICUT STATE AGENCIES**

PFMIA - Notice to Intent to revise Fiance and Accounting manual . . . . . 1C

**MISCELLANEOUS**

Notice of Suspension of Attorney . . . . . 1D