


CONNECTICUT LAW JOURNAL



Published in Accordance with
General Statutes Section 51-216a

VOL. LXXXIII No. 2 July 13, 2021 511 Pages

Table of Contents

CONNECTICUT REPORTS

Berka v. Middletown (Order), 337 C 910	110
Blondeau v. Baltierra, 337 C 127	3
<i>Dissolution of marriage; arbitration; whether final judgment existed for purposes of appellate jurisdiction when arbitration award included issues related to child support in violation of statutory provision (§ 46b-66 (c)) governing agreements to arbitrate in dissolution proceedings; claim that motion to vacate arbitration award was untimely pursuant to statute (§ 52-420 (b)), and that trial court therefore lacked jurisdiction, because it failed to set forth factual basis for vacating award within limitation period specified in § 52-420 (b); claim that trial court lacked jurisdiction to consider arguments in motion to vacate pertaining to child support because plaintiff was not aggrieved by that portion of award and because issue of child support had been rendered moot by parties' pendente lite stipulations; whether trial court incorrectly concluded that arbitrator's award exceeded scope of parties' submission; whether trial court incorrectly concluded that arbitrator manifestly disregarded law by ignoring choice of law provision in premarital agreement and distributing equity in marital home in accordance with Connecticut law; whether party to dissolution matter can waive statutory (§§ 46b-66 (c) and 52-408) prohibition against arbitration of issues related to child support; whether portion of arbitration award ordering payment of certain expenses related to children was severable from remainder of award.</i>	
Donald G. v. Commissioner of Correction (Order), 337 C 907	107
In re Jacob M. (Order), 337 C 909.	109
In re Natasha T. (Order), 337 C 909.	109
Nash v. Commissioner of Correction (Order), 337 C 908	108
Ortiz v. Torres-Rodriguez (Order), 337 C 910	110
Solon v. Slater (Order), 337 C 908.	108
State v. Coleman (Order), 337 C 907	107
State v. Rodriguez, 337 C 175	51
<i>Sexual assault first degree; criminal attempt to commit sexual assault first degree; unpreserved claim that trial court violated defendant's right to confrontation by allowing forensic science examiner to testify about results of DNA identification analysis without requiring testimony from individual who generated DNA profiles; unpreserved claim that defendant's due process right was violated by introduction of DNA identification evidence that was unreliable; claim that evidence of random match probability of 1 in 230,000 in Hispanic population, by itself, was insufficient to establish that defendant was guilty of crimes charged beyond reasonable doubt.</i>	
Turner v. Commissioner of Correction (Order), 337 C 909	109
Volume 337 Cumulative Table of Cases	111

CONNECTICUT APPELLATE REPORTS

Black v. West Hartford, 205 CA 749.	83A
<i>Tax appeal; motor vehicle assessment; standing; claim that defendant state agency violated statute (§ 12-71d) in recommending certain guide's schedule of motor vehicle values that town used to assess plaintiff's motor vehicle; claim that trial court improperly granted motion to dismiss on ground of sovereign immunity.</i>	

(continued on next page)

Fain v. Benak, 205 CA 734 68A
Negligence; unavoidable accident doctrine, discussed; motion for reconsideration; whether trial court erred in refusing to apply unavoidable accident doctrine to facts of case; whether trial court erred in granting plaintiff's motion for reconsideration and in increasing award of damages to include future medical expenses.

Fernandez v. Mac Motors, Inc., 205 CA 669 3A
Employment discrimination; summary judgment; whether trial court correctly determined that claim of gender discrimination in violation of Connecticut Fair Employment Practices Act (§ 46a-51 et seq.) was barred by doctrine of res judicata; whether genuine issue of material fact existed as to whether plaintiff was jurisdictionally barred from bringing gender discrimination claim in United States District Court; whether trial court correctly determined that defendant was entitled to judgment as matter of law on claim of hostile work environment because plaintiff failed to submit sufficient evidence to raise genuine issue of material fact as to such claim.

State v. Massaro, 205 CA 687 21A
Sale of narcotics; whether trial court abused its discretion in determining that defense counsel violated rule of practice (§ 40-15) and imposing sanction as result of that violation; whether trial court abused its discretion in permitting state to go beyond scope of direct examination in cross-examining witness, converting witness into expert witness; claim that defendant's due process right to fair trial was violated as result of prosecutorial impropriety.

Veneziano v. Veneziano, 205 CA 718 52A
Dissolution of marriage; motion to open judgment; claim that trial court abused its discretion in quashing certain subpoenas; whether active civil matter was pending that would have permitted defendant to subpoena witnesses in connection with motion to open; claim that trial court erred in finding that defendant failed to establish probable cause that dissolution judgment was procured through fraud or mutual mistake; whether record was adequate to make determination regarding what evidence demonstrated about issue of probable cause.

Zachs v. Commissioner of Correction (replacement pages), 197 CA 871-72 v
Volume 205 Cumulative Table of Cases 99A

SUPREME COURT PENDING CASES

Summaries 1B

CONNECTICUT PRACTICE BOOK

Adopted Amendments to the Superior Court Rules. IPB
Adopted Amendments to the Rules of Appellate Procedure. 225PB

(continued on next page)

CONNECTICUT LAW JOURNAL
(ISSN 87500973)

Published by the State of Connecticut in accordance with the provisions of General Statutes § 51-216a.

Commission on Official Legal Publications
Office of Production and Distribution
111 Phoenix Avenue, Enfield, Connecticut 06082-4453
Tel. (860) 741-3027, FAX (860) 745-2178
www.jud.ct.gov

RICHARD J. HEMENWAY, *Publications Director*
Published Weekly – Available at <https://www.jud.ct.gov/lawjournal>

Syllabuses and Indices of court opinions by
ERIC M. LEVINE, *Reporter of Judicial Decisions*
Tel. (860) 757-2250

The deadline for material to be published in the Connecticut Law Journal is Wednesday at noon for publication on the Tuesday six days later. When a holiday falls within the six day period, the deadline will be noon on Tuesday.

MISCELLANEOUS

Notice of Authorized House Counsel 1C
