

# CONNECTICUT LAW JOURNAL



Published in Accordance with  
General Statutes Section 51-216a

VOL. LXXXII No. 50

June 15, 2021

179 Pages

## Table of Contents

### CONNECTICUT REPORTS

BAC Home Loans Servicing, LP <i>v.</i> Lee (Order), 336 C 952 . . . . .	80
Bank of New York Mellon <i>v.</i> Tope (Order), 336 C 950 . . . . .	78
Disciplinary Counsel <i>v.</i> Cannatelli (Order), 336 C 950 . . . . .	78
Nandabalan <i>v.</i> Commissioner of Motor Vehicles (Order), 336 C 951 . . . . .	79
State <i>v.</i> Boyd (Order), 336 C 951 . . . . .	79
State <i>v.</i> Lamantia, 336 C 747 . . . . .	3
<i>Tampering with witness; sufficiency of evidence; whether Appellate Court correctly determined that jury reasonably could have found that defendant tampered with witness; claim that there was insufficient evidence from which jury could reasonably find that defendant had specifically intended to interfere with witness' testimony at official proceeding.</i>	
State <i>v.</i> Marsala (Order), 336 C 951 . . . . .	79
Volume 336 Cumulative Table of Cases . . . . .	81

### CONNECTICUT APPELLATE REPORTS

Fair <i>v.</i> Commissioner of Correction, 205 CA 282 . . . . .	62A
<i>Habeas corpus; whether habeas court abused its discretion in denying petition for certification to appeal; claim that petitioner was denied constitutional right to effective assistance of counsel; claim that trial counsel failed to produce allegedly exculpatory expert testimony; claim that trial counsel failed to impeach witness for alleged motivation to cooperate with police to avoid criminal liability; claim that trial counsel failed to impeach witness with respect to his inconsistent statements to police regarding identity of shooter.</i>	
In re Sequoia G., 205 CA 222 . . . . .	2A
<i>Termination of parental rights; claim that trial court improperly found that it was in best interests of minor children to terminate respondent mother's parental rights; whether trial court's findings, made pursuant to statute (§ 17a-112 (k)), as to children's best interests were factually supported and legally sound; whether it was inappropriate for trial court to have considered, as to emotional ties factor in § 17a-112 (k) (4), bond between children and foster parents; whether trial court's factual findings supported its conclusion under § 17a-112 (k) (3) that mother had not complied with court orders; whether trial court had obligation, sua sponte, to consider less onerous means of achieving permanency planning in absence of motion specifically seeking alternative permanency plan.</i>	
Schott <i>v.</i> Schott, 205 CA 237 . . . . .	17A
<i>Dissolution of marriage; motion to modify alimony; claim that, pursuant to plain language of separation agreement, trial court was obligated to terminate defendant's alimony obligation in light of evidence of plaintiff's cohabitation; whether trial court's application of provision of statute (§ 46b-86 (a)) governing substantial change in circumstances, instead of § 46b-86 (b), governing cohabitation, was error.</i>	
Zachs <i>v.</i> Commissioner of Correction, 205 CA 243 . . . . .	23A
<i>Habeas corpus; whether habeas court correctly denied claim of ineffective assistance of counsel; whether petitioner established that there was no tactical justification for counsel's defense strategy; claim that it was unreasonable for counsel to present defense that was inconsistent with petitioner's testimony at trial; whether habeas court erred in concluding that petitioner procedurally defaulted on and waived</i>	

(continued on next page)

*claim that trial counsel had conflict of interest; whether claim that trial counsel had conflict of interest could not be procedurally defaulted because record was inadequate to raise it on direct appeal; claim that petitioner's waiver of counsel's conflict of interest was premised on cross-examination of rebuttal witnesses actually occurring; whether habeas court correctly determined that petitioner procedurally defaulted on conflict of interest claim pursuant to United States v. Cronic (466 U.S. 648); claim that prejudice against petitioner should have been presumed under Cronic because of counsel's conflict of interest; whether habeas court improperly declined to consider aggregate effect of trial court's alleged errors.*

St. Joseph's High School, Inc. v. Planning & Zoning Commission (replacement pages), 176 CA 613-16 . . . . .	iii
Volume 205 Cumulative Table of Cases . . . . .	81A

**MISCELLANEOUS**

Supreme and Appellate Court Sessions. . . . .	1B
Docket and Case Assignments for Supreme and Appellate Courts for the 2021-2022 Court Year. . . . .	2B

**CONNECTICUT LAW JOURNAL**

(ISSN 87500973)

Published by the State of Connecticut in accordance with the provisions of General Statutes § 51-216a.

Commission on Official Legal Publications  
Office of Production and Distribution  
111 Phoenix Avenue, Enfield, Connecticut 06082-4453  
Tel. (860) 741-3027, FAX (860) 745-2178  
www.jud.ct.gov

RICHARD J. HEMENWAY, *Publications Director*

*Published Weekly* – Available at <https://www.jud.ct.gov/lawjournal>

Syllabuses and Indices of court opinions by  
ERIC M. LEVINE, *Reporter of Judicial Decisions*  
Tel. (860) 757-2250

=====  
The deadline for material to be published in the Connecticut Law Journal is Wednesday at noon for publication on the Tuesday six days later. When a holiday falls within the six day period, the deadline will be noon on Tuesday.