

CONNECTICUT LAW JOURNAL



Published in Accordance with
General Statutes Section 51-216a

VOL. LXXXII No. 47 May 25, 2021 265 Pages

Table of Contents

CONNECTICUT REPORTS

Coccoma v. Commissioner of Correction (Order), 336 C 943	59
Feliciano v. State, 336 C 669	39
<i>Negligence; action to recover damages from state for personal injuries plaintiff sustained when uninsured motor vehicle struck vehicle in which she was passenger; motion to dismiss; whether trial court had subject matter jurisdiction over plaintiff's action; whether state's waiver of sovereign immunity in statute (§ 52-556) for claims arising from state employee's negligent operation of state owned and insured motor vehicle extends to litigant, such as plaintiff, who is state employee; whether plaintiff's action against state was barred by workers' compensation exclusivity provision (§ 31-284 (a)); whether state's waiver of sovereign immunity pursuant to § 52-556 precluded it from raising defense to liability under § 31-284 (a); improper form of judgment.</i>	
Garcia v. Cohen (Order), 336 C 944	60
JPMorgan Chase Bank, National Assn. v. Essaghof, 336 C 633	3
<i>Strict foreclosure; trial court order requiring defendant mortgagors to reimburse plaintiff mortgagee for certain taxes and homeowners insurance premiums that were to be paid by plaintiff while defendants' appeal from foreclosure judgment was pending and while defendants were occupying property subject to foreclosure; certification from Appellate Court; whether Appellate Court improperly upheld trial court's order; whether trial court's order was proper; reviewability of claim that trial court should have been disqualified on ground of certain statements calling into question court's impartiality.</i>	
Pringle v. Pattis (Order), 336 C 944	60
Stephenson v. Commissioner of Correction (Order), 336 C 944	60
Vitti v. Milford, 336 C 654	24
<i>Hypertension or heart disease benefits; claim that Compensation Review Board improperly affirmed Workers' Compensation Commissioner's decision to award plaintiff statutory (§ 31-308 (b)) permanent partial disability benefits of 23 percent on basis of functional capacity of plaintiff's transplanted heart; whether award of permanent partial disability benefits for loss of use of organ, following successful transplant surgery, is based on 100 percent loss of native organ or on functional capacity of transplanted organ; claim that transplanted heart was comparable to prosthetic device, thereby entitling plaintiff to disability award for 100 percent loss of function of organ.</i>	
Volume 336 Cumulative Table of Cases	63

CONNECTICUT APPELLATE REPORTS

Elder v. Kauffman, 204 CA 818	92A
<i>Defamation; invasion of privacy; special motion to dismiss filed pursuant to statute (§ 52-196a); collateral estoppel; res judicata; claim that trial court erred in dismissing complaint on ground that it was barred by doctrine of res judicata; claim that doctrine of res judicata was not applicable to special motion to dismiss filed pursuant to § 52-196a; whether doctrine of collateral estoppel barred plaintiff's claims; whether doctrine of res judicata barred plaintiff's claims; claim that § 52-196a was unconstitutional as applied because its application infringed on plaintiff's state constitutional rights to redress and trial by jury.</i>	

(continued on next page)

In re Angela V., 204 CA 746	20A
<i>Child neglect; mootness; claim that respondent mother's due process rights were violated by trial court's denial of motion to call minor children as witnesses; whether appeal was rendered moot when respondent mother failed to challenge all bases for trial court's decision; request that this court employ equitable remedy of vacatur to clarify correct legal standard to apply to motion for permission to call minor children as witnesses in neglect proceeding.</i>	
In re Jacob M., 204 CA 763.	37A
<i>Termination of parental rights; claim that trial court, relying on executive order issued by governor in response to COVID-19 pandemic that suspended 120 day time limitation required by statute (§ 51-183b) for rendering judgments in civil actions, improperly denied joint motion for mistrial based on court's failure to render judgments within 120 days of completion of trial; claim that time limitation set forth in § 51-183b was jurisdictional; claim that trial court improperly concluded that Department of Children and Families made reasonable efforts to reunify respondent parents with minor children; claim that trial court's determination that termination of respondent father's parental rights was in best interest of minor child was clearly erroneous; reviewability of respondent mother's claim that trial court improperly denied motion to intervene, filed after court rendered judgments terminating her parental rights, in which she sought posttermination visitation with minor children.</i>	
In re Natasha T. (See In re Jacob M.), 204 CA 763	37A
In re Skylar B., 204 CA 729.	3A
<i>Termination of parental rights; reviewability of unreserved constitutional claim that trial court violated respondent father's right to substantive due process because transfer of guardianship to minor child's relative foster parents would have been less restrictive means than termination of father's parental rights to achieve permanency.</i>	
McCrea v. Cumberland Farms, Inc., 204 CA 796	70A
<i>Negligence; contributory negligence; whether trial court abused its discretion when it allowed defendants' counsel to question plaintiffs about their selection of medical providers and about lawsuit that pertained to prior motor vehicle accident; whether trial court improperly precluded plaintiffs from presenting evidence that they sought treatment from medical providers referred to them by their attorneys due to their lack of adequate medical insurance; harmfulness of trial court's ruling; claim that collateral source rule (§ 52-225a) operated to preclude evidence of absence of insurance; claim that general verdict rule precluded review of plaintiffs' claims.</i>	
MDM Golf of Gillette Ridge, LLC v. Parrett, Porto, Parese & Colwell, P.C. (Memorandum Decision), 204 CA 902	162A
Mitchell v. Mitchell (Memorandum Decision), 204 CA 903.	163A
Nash v. Commissioner of Correction (Memorandum Decision), 204 CA 902	162A

(continued on next page)

CONNECTICUT LAW JOURNAL

(ISSN 87500973)

Published by the State of Connecticut in accordance with the provisions of General Statutes § 51-216a.

Commission on Official Legal Publications
 Office of Production and Distribution
 111 Phoenix Avenue, Enfield, Connecticut 06082-4453
 Tel. (860) 741-3027, FAX (860) 745-2178
www.jud.ct.gov

RICHARD J. HEMENWAY, *Publications Director*Published Weekly – Available at <https://www.jud.ct.gov/lawjournal>

Syllabuses and Indices of court opinions by
 ERIC M. LEVINE, *Reporter of Judicial Decisions*
 Tel. (860) 757-2250

The deadline for material to be published in the Connecticut Law Journal is Wednesday at noon for publication on the Tuesday six days later. When a holiday falls within the six day period, the deadline will be noon on Tuesday.

Rousseau v. Weinstein, 204 CA 833 107A
Vexatious litigation; motion for summary judgment; claim that trial court improperly held that marital dissolution action was not considered prior pending action in civil action that alleged similar claims between former spouses and other parties; claim that genuine issue of material fact existed as to whether defendants had probable cause to continue civil action after receiving unfavorable decision in dissolution action.

State v. Coleman, 204 CA 860 134A
Risk of injury to child; promoting minor in obscene performance; sexual assault in second degree; possession of child pornography; cruelty to persons; motion to correct illegal sentence; whether defendant's overall sentence had expired where portion of sentence remaining was illegal term of special parole; claim that trial court violated prohibition on double jeopardy in resentencing defendant after defendant had completed lawful portion of sentence; whether trial court had jurisdiction to resentence defendant; whether trial court's resentencing of defendant constituted more severe penalty in violation of defendant's constitutional rights to due process; whether trial court's imposition of eleven year period of special parole exceeded ten year special parole statutory (§ 54-125e (c)) limitation, entitling defendant to resentencing.

Volume 204 Cumulative Table of Cases 165A

State v. Coltherst, 205 CA 1 173A
Motion to correct illegal sentence; whether trial court properly dismissed motion to correct illegal sentence; whether defendant was entitled to resentencing because trial court imposed effective life sentence without having first considered defendant's age and hallmark characteristics of youth; claim that sentencing proceeding was merely academic exercise that contravened intent of legislature in eliminating availability of capital felony for juvenile defendants; claim that State v. Delgado (323 Conn. 801) was inapplicable because it could be presumed that sentencing court knew defendant previously had been sentenced to life imprisonment without possibility of release.

Volume 205 Cumulative Table of Cases 189A

NOTICES OF CONNECTICUT STATE AGENCIES

DSS Office of Early Childhood—Notice of Proposed Medicaid Waiver 1B

MISCELLANEOUS

Notice of Application for Reinstatement to the Bar 1C
 Notice of Certification as Authorized House Counsel 1C
 Notice of Reprimand of Attorney 1C