

CONNECTICUT LAW JOURNAL



Published in Accordance with
General Statutes Section 51-216a

VOL. LXXXII No. 44

May 4, 2021

345 Pages

Table of Contents

CONNECTICUT REPORTS

Godbout v. Freedom of Information Commission (Order), 336 C 936	52
In re Ava W., 336 C 545.	3
<i>Termination of parental rights; request for posttermination visitation; whether respondent mother was aggrieved by trial court's order declining to order posttermination visitation with her child; claim that issue of posttermination visitation was rendered moot by virtue of trial court's termination of respondent's parental rights; claim that respondent lacked standing to appeal from trial court's order because she did not appeal from or seek or obtain stay of termination judgment; whether trial court correctly concluded that it lacked authority to order posttermination visitation; whether trial court correctly relied on applicable statute (§ 17-112a (b) through (h)) to deny request for posttermination visitation; claim that trial court's denial of posttermination visitation should be upheld on alternative ground that court correctly determined that such visitation would not be in child's best interest; remand for dispositional hearing at which trial court is to consider merits of ordering visitation.</i>	
In re Probate Appeal of Concannon (Order), 336 C 937	53
Jan G. v. Semple (Order), 336 C 937	53
OneWest Bank, N.A. v. Ceslik (Order), 336 C 936.	52
Osbourne v. Commissioner of Correction (Order), 336 C 937.	53
Trust v. Bliss (Order), 336 C 938	54
Volume 336 Cumulative Table of Cases	55

CONNECTICUT APPELLATE REPORTS

Asnat Realty, LLC v. United Illuminating Co., 204 CA 313.	67A
<i>Fraudulent nondisclosure; unjust enrichment; motion to strike; claim that trial court erred in striking counts of complaint alleging fraud; whether trial court properly concluded complaint contained broad allegations that were insufficient to satisfy pleading requirements for fraud; whether complaint failed to allege, with requisite specificity, that defendants' alleged fraud was done to induce plaintiffs to act to their detriment; whether complaint failed to allege that defendants had duty of full and fair disclosure of known facts to plaintiffs as it pertained to property.</i>	
Connecticut Housing Finance Authority v. McCarthy, 204 CA 330	84A
<i>Foreclosure; motion to open judgment of strict foreclosure; petition for reinclusion in foreclosure mediation program; whether trial court abused its discretion in</i>	

(continued on next page)

CONNECTICUT PRACTICE BOOK

Notice of Public Hearing on Practice Book Revisions being considered by the Rules Committee of the Superior Court, and those adopted on an interim basis under Practice Book Section 1-9B in light of the declared public health and civil preparedness emergencies appear beginning on Page 1PB. The proposed revisions and rules adopted on an interim basis were published in the Law Journal of April 27, 2021, and are posted on the Judicial Branch website at: www.jud.ct.gov/pb.htm.

	<i>denying defendant's motion to open and vacate judgment of strict foreclosure or extend law day; whether trial court abused its discretion in denying defendant's petition for reinclusion in foreclosure mediation program.</i>	
Conroy v. Idlibi, 204 CA 265		19A
	<i>Dissolution of marriage; motion to open; fraud; whether trial court abused its discretion in denying defendant's motion to open judgment.</i>	
Cunningham v. Cunningham, 204 CA 366		120A
	<i>Dissolution of marriage; domestic relations order; claim that trial court improperly modified property distribution set forth in dissolution judgment by requiring plaintiff to assign portion of joint survivor annuity to third party; claim that trial court's postjudgment order constituted impermissible modification of dissolution judgment because it required plaintiff to share in cost of joint survivor annuity election; claim that trial court improperly ordered that both parties would share equally in any future reductions in defendant's pension benefit; whether issue of future reductions in defendant's pension benefit was ripe for adjudication; whether trial court improperly modified dissolution judgment by adopting formula that could result in reduction of plaintiff's pension benefit.</i>	
DeGumbia v. Geico General Ins. Co. (Memorandum Decision), 204 CA 901		271A
Eichler v. Healthy Mom, LLC, 204 CA 504		258A
	<i>Breach of contract; whether trial court properly rendered judgment for defendant on its special defense of waiver in breach of contract action; adoption of trial court's memorandum of decision as proper statement of facts, issues and applicable law.</i>	
Elder v. 21st Century Media Newspaper, LLC, 204 CA 414		168A
	<i>Defamation; motion for summary judgment; fair report privilege; claim that evidence supporting defendants' motions for summary judgment was improperly authenticated and, therefore, insufficient; claim that fair report privilege was inapplicable because defendants failed to demonstrate actual reliance on government document; claim that newspaper articles were not accurate and complete or fair abridgments of government document or proceeding; claim that defendants failed to submit evidence to rebut claims of malice, entitling plaintiff to trial on merits; claim that fair report privilege was inconsistent with rights under Connecticut constitution.</i>	
First Niagara Bank, N.A. v. Pouncey, 204 CA 433		187A
	<i>Foreclosure; motion for summary judgment as to liability; motion to open; motion to reargue and reconsider; whether trial court erred in denying defendants' motion to reargue and reconsider trial court's denial of their motion to open summary judgment.</i>	
Lance W. v. Commissioner of Correction, 204 CA 346		100A
	<i>Murder; arson in first degree; tampering with physical evidence; risk of injury to child; whether habeas court erred in concluding that decision by petitioner's first habeas appellate counsel not to pursue actual innocence claim did not constitute ineffective assistance; claim that petitioner is actually innocent of crimes of which he was convicted due to unreliability of scientific evidence at his criminal trial; claim that petitioner's first habeas appellate counsel rendered ineffective assistance</i>	

(continued on next page)

CONNECTICUT LAW JOURNAL

(ISSN 87500973)

Published by the State of Connecticut in accordance with the provisions of General Statutes § 51-216a.

Commission on Official Legal Publications
 Office of Production and Distribution
 111 Phoenix Avenue, Enfield, Connecticut 06082-4453
 Tel. (860) 741-3027, FAX (860) 745-2178
www.jud.ct.gov

RICHARD J. HEMENWAY, *Publications Director*Published Weekly – Available at <https://www.jud.ct.gov/lawjournal>

Syllabuses and Indices of court opinions by
 ERIC M. LEVINE, *Reporter of Judicial Decisions*
 Tel. (860) 757-2250

The deadline for material to be published in the Connecticut Law Journal is Wednesday at noon for publication on the Tuesday six days later. When a holiday falls within the six day period, the deadline will be noon on Tuesday.

in having failed to challenge first habeas court's rejection of petitioner's assertion that his right to due process was violated because his conviction was based on false and invalid scientific evidence; claim that petitioner's first habeas appellate counsel rendered ineffective assistance in deciding not to pursue claim that trial counsel was ineffective in challenging testimony of state's expert witnesses that pertained to cause of fire and victim's death.

Lemma v. York & Chapel, Corp., 204 CA 471 225A
Arbitration; whether trial court lacked subject matter jurisdiction because summons and complaint were not served and returned to court as required by statute (§ 52-278j); whether trial court erred in confirming arbitration award; adoption of trial court's memorandum of decision as correct statement of facts and applicable law on issues.

Nandabalan v. Commissioner of Motor Vehicles, 204 CA 457 211A
Administrative appeal; suspension of motor vehicle operator's license by defendant Commissioner of Motor Vehicles pursuant to statute (§ 14-227b); claim that trial court incorrectly determined that there was substantial evidence in administrative record to support hearing officer's finding that plaintiff refused to take breath test.

State v. Boyd, 204 CA 446 200A
Assault in first degree; motion to correct illegal sentence; claim that trial court improperly denied portion of motion alleging that sentencing court had imposed sentence in illegal manner by relying on inaccurate information; whether motion stated colorable claim that sentence was imposed in illegal manner that invoked jurisdiction of court.

State v. Luciano, 204 CA 388. 142A
Assault in second degree; conspiracy to commit assault in first degree; claim that there was insufficient evidence to support defendant's conviction of conspiracy to commit assault in first degree; claim that there was insufficient evidence to support defendant's conviction of assault in second degree.

State v. Thorne, 204 CA 249 3A
Wilful failure to pay sales tax; claim that there was insufficient evidence to support defendant's conviction of wilful failure to pay sales tax; unpreserved claim that trial court's jury instruction substantially misled jury, diluted state's burden of proof and weakened defendant's presumption of innocence; waiver of claim; whether reversal of conviction was warranted pursuant to plain error doctrine.

Vossbrinck v. Cheverko (Memorandum Decision), 204 CA 901 271A
 Zheng v. Xia, 204 CA 302 56A
Dissolution of marriage; postjudgment motion to modify child support; claim that trial court improperly ordered plaintiff to pay defendant certain percentage of his annual bonus income as supplemental child support; whether trial court abused its discretion in deviating from child support guidelines on basis of significant disparity between parties' incomes.

Volume 204 Cumulative Table of Cases 273A

CONNECTICUT PRACTICE BOOK

Notice of Public Hearing for Practice Book Revisions Being Considered by the Rules Committee 1PB
 Notice of Public Hearing for Practice Book Revisions to Rules of Appellate Procedure Being Considered by the Rules Committee 3PB

NOTICES OF CONNECTICUT STATE AGENCIES

Connecticut Port Authority—Notice of Intent to Adopt Revisions to SHIPP Policies & Procedures. 1B

MISCELLANEOUS

Notice of Suspension of Attorney 1C