

CONNECTICUT LAW JOURNAL



Published in Accordance with
General Statutes Section 51-216a

VOL. LXXXII No. 39

March 30, 2021

268 Pages

Table of Contents

CONNECTICUT REPORTS

Felder v. Commissioner of Correction (Order), 336 C 924	50
Palmer v. Commissioner of Correction (Order), 336 C 924	50
Praisner v. State, 336 C 420	36
<i>Indemnification pursuant to statute ((Rev. to 2013) § 53-39a); whether Appellate Court correctly determined that state university's special police force was not local police department for purposes of § 53-39a; whether 2017 amendment to § 53-39a was clarifying legislation applicable to plaintiff.</i>	
Shoreline Shellfish, LLC v. Branford, 336 C 403	19
<i>Breach of contract; right of first refusal to lease shellfishing grounds in defendant town; whether trial court improperly granted town's motion for summary judgment; whether genuine issue of material fact existed as to whether shellfishing ground plaintiffs sought to lease was owned by town within meaning of applicable provision (§ 88-8) of town code; whether town's Shellfish Commission had authority to lease shellfishing ground to plaintiffs under § 88-8 of town code.</i>	
State v. Ramon A. G., 336 C 386	2
<i>Assault third degree; claim that trial court improperly declined to instruct jury on defense of personal property with respect to assault charge; whether Appellate Court correctly concluded that defendant failed to preserve his claim of instructional error; whether Appellate Court correctly concluded that defendant waived his unpreserved claim of instructional error.</i>	
Volume 336 Cumulative Table of Cases	53

CONNECTICUT APPELLATE REPORTS

Brown v. Cartwright, 203 CA 490	74A
<i>Product liability; motion to set aside verdict and for new trial; whether trial court erred in denying motion to set aside verdict and for new trial; claim that delay in delivering plaintiff's exhibits to jury constituted harmful evidentiary impropriety; claim that, in returning verdict mere minutes after receiving plaintiff's exhibits, jury could not have followed court's instructions in full, resulting in juror misconduct; claim that defendants' counsel unfairly prejudiced jury by reading from documents not in evidence.</i>	
Carroll v. Yankwitt, 203 CA 449	33A
<i>Landlord-tenant; action for return of security deposit; whether trial court improperly adopted recommendations of attorney trial referee and rendered judgment thereon; whether e-mail that stated items of damage to leased property complied with security deposit statute ([Rev. to 2013] § 47a-21 (d) (2)) by sufficiently apprising plaintiff of items of damage; whether attorney trial referee improperly concluded that defendant violated Connecticut Unfair Trade Practices Act (CUTPA) (§ 42-110a et seq.) on ground that written statement of damages failed to satisfy requirements of (Rev. to 2013) § 47a-21 (d) (2); whether trial court improperly determined that defendant violated CUTPA on ground that statement of damages was pre-textual; claim that attorney trial referee's finding that defendant was not entitled to damages on count of counterclaim alleging certain property damage was clearly erroneous; claim that trial court improperly adopted attorney trial referee's finding that defendant was not entitled to damages for one week of unpaid rent under first lease; claim that trial court improperly failed to award plaintiff full amount</i>	

(continued on next page)

of attorney's fee request under CUTPA; claim that trial court improperly failed to rule on plaintiff's request for punitive damages under CUTPA.

Carten v. Carten, 203 CA 598 182A
Dissolution of marriage; claim that trial court erred in not making award of alimony to defendant.

Jacques v. Commissioner of Energy & Environmental Protection, 203 CA 419 3A
Administrative appeal; injunction; motion to dismiss; whether trial court erred in determining that plaintiff failed to allege facts sufficient to establish standing under applicable statute (§ 22a-16); whether trial court applied proper rule of law when construing factual allegations in complaint; whether trial court erred in determining that allegations of complaint did not come within exception to sovereign immunity for alleged violations of constitutional rights; whether trial court erred in holding that allegations of complaint did not come within exception to sovereign immunity for substantial allegation of wrongful conduct to promote illegal purpose in excess of state officer's statutory authority; whether trial court erred when it ruled that scoping process/review of environmental impact evaluation was not proceeding for purposes of intervention under applicable statute (§ 22a-19).

Lindquist v. Freedom of Information Commission, 203 CA 512 96A
Administrative appeal; Freedom of Information Act (§ 1-200 et seq.); whether trial court properly concluded that Freedom of Information Commission did not abuse its discretion in finding that redacted records were exempt from disclosure under statute (§ 1-210 (b) (1)); whether trial court abused its discretion when it dismissed plaintiff's appeal, concluding that commission had correctly applied § 1-210 (e) (1) to final comments and ratings at issue; whether final version of comments and ratings served as recommendations for purpose of dean's review of faculty member's rating.

Mecca v. Mecca, 203 CA 541 125A
Dissolution of marriage; motion to open; claim that trial court applied incorrect legal standard with respect to defendant's motion to open; whether trial court assigned duty of due diligence to defendant; whether trial court abused its discretion in finding that there was no fraud on part of plaintiff; claim that trial court abused its discretion by failing to consider pattern of fraudulent conduct on part of plaintiff.

Starke v. Goodwin Estate Assn., Inc., 203 CA 607 191A
Common Interest Ownership Act (§ 47-200 et seq.); mootness; claim that trial court improperly dismissed complaint as moot because plaintiff's claim for damages included damages to personal property that was not contingent on his continued ownership of condominium unit.

State v. Gordon (See State v. Lyons), 203 CA 551. 135A
 State v. Greene-Walters (See State v. Lyons), 203 CA 551 135A
 State v. Lyons, 203 CA 551 135A
Possession of controlled substance; sale of controlled substance; possession of drug paraphernalia; possession of controlled substance within 1500 feet of school; pos-

(continued on next page)

CONNECTICUT LAW JOURNAL
 (ISSN 87500973)

Published by the State of Connecticut in accordance with the provisions of General Statutes § 51-216a.

Commission on Official Legal Publications
 Office of Production and Distribution
 111 Phoenix Avenue, Enfield, Connecticut 06082-4453
 Tel. (860) 741-3027, FAX (860) 745-2178
 www.jud.ct.gov

RICHARD J. HEMENWAY, *Publications Director*

Published Weekly – Available at <https://www.jud.ct.gov/lawjournal>

Syllabuses and Indices of court opinions by
 ERIC M. LEVINE, *Reporter of Judicial Decisions*
 Tel. (860) 757-2250

The deadline for material to be published in the Connecticut Law Journal is Wednesday at noon for publication on the Tuesday six days later. When a holiday falls within the six day period, the deadline will be noon on Tuesday.

session of drug paraphernalia within 1500 feet of school; operation of drug factory; theft of firearm; negligent storage of firearm; motion to suppress; claim that trial court erred in determining that defendant met his burden of proving expectation of privacy in area searched by law enforcement officers and in determining that defendant had standing to proceed with motion to suppress; claim that trial court erred in granting motion to suppress.

Volume 203 Cumulative Table of Cases 199A

NOTICES OF CONNECTICUT STATE AGENCIES

DSS—Notices of Proposed Medicaid SPA’s 1B

MISCELLANEOUS

Notice of Placement of Attorney on Inactive Status 1C
Notice of Certification as Authorized House Counsel 2C