


CONNECTICUT LAW JOURNAL



Published in Accordance with
General Statutes Section 51-216a

VOL. LXXXII No. 36 March 9, 2021 185 Pages

Table of Contents

CONNECTICUT REPORTS

Nash v. Roland Dumont Agency, Inc. (Order), 336 C 917	31
State v. Joseph A., 336 C 247	3
<i>Assault of disabled person third degree; disorderly conduct; certification from Appellate Court; whether Appellate Court correctly concluded that trial court had not abused its discretion in determining that defendant's waiver of right to counsel during pretrial stage of proceedings was knowing, intelligent and voluntary; whether trial court abused its discretion in determining that defendant understood nature of charges against him; claim that defendant's waiver of right to counsel was constitutionally inadequate because trial court did not make him aware of dangers and disadvantages of self-representation during canvass; claim that trial court's failure to canvass defendant regarding right to counsel during arraignment and plea negotiations was structural error; whether alleged error concerning failure to canvass defendant regarding right to counsel during arraignment and plea negotiations was harmless.</i>	
State v. Williams (Order), 336 C 917	31
Vogue v. Administrator, Unemployment Compensation Act (Order), 336 C 918	32
Wittman v. Intense Movers, Inc. (Order), 336 C 918	32
Volume 336 Cumulative Table of Cases	33

CONNECTICUT APPELLATE REPORTS

Anderson v. Bloomfield, 203 CA 182	96A
<i>Contracts; third-party beneficiary; motion to dismiss; whether trial court properly determined that plaintiff lacked standing because she was not third-party beneficiary of contract.</i>	
Bouffard v. Lewis, 203 CA 116.	30A
<i>Dissolution of marriage; postjudgment modification of alimony and child support; motion for contempt; whether automatic stay pursuant to rule of practice (§ 61-11 (c)) was applicable; whether trial court's imposition of automatic stay on orders to make payments of alimony and child support in connection with judgment finding party in contempt was improper.</i>	
Derblom v. Archdiocese of Hartford, 203 CA 197	111A
<i>Motion to dismiss; standing; constructive trust; whether trial court properly granted defendant's motion to dismiss for lack of standing; whether trial court erred in construing bequest as outright gift rather than charitable trust; whether trial court erred in concluding that special interest exception to rule that attorney general has exclusive authority to bring action to enforce charitable gifts is limited to actions involving charitable trusts.</i>	
Pascola-Milton v. Millard, 203 CA 172	86A
<i>Negligence; underinsured motorist benefits; arbitration; motion for summary judgment; whether trial court erred in denying plaintiff's demand for trial de novo following voluntary arbitration; whether plaintiff's claims were barred by two year statute of limitations (§ 52-584).</i>	
South Windsor v. Lanata, 203 CA 89	3A
<i>Zoning; claim that cease and desist order premised on alleged zoning violation was unconstitutionally vague; whether trial court abused its discretion in ordering fines during period in which defendant was under orders not to disturb property;</i>	

(continued on next page)

claim that trial court improperly assessed fines for wilful violation of zoning regulations pursuant to statute (§ 8-12).

State v. Hall-George, 203 CA 219 133A
Robbery in second degree; whether evidence was sufficient to prove beyond reasonable doubt that defendant threatened use of what he represented by his words or conduct to be deadly weapon or dangerous instrument pursuant to statute (§ 53a-135 (a) (1) (B)).

State v. Russaw, 203 CA 123 37A
Manslaughter in second degree; evading responsibility; motion to suppress; whether trial court properly denied motion to suppress statements defendant made to police during custodial interrogation after defendant was not readvised of his Miranda rights before starting new line of questioning; whether interrogation of defendant on multiple subject matters comprised one continuous interview; whether Miranda rights are offense specific; whether waiver of Miranda rights was voluntary; whether admission of statements into evidence, if assumed to be improper, would have resulted in harmless error.

U.S. Bank National Assn. v. Doe, 203 CA 218 132A
Summary process; whether appeal was moot following defendants' dispossession of property.

Velez v. Commissioner of Correction, 203 CA 141 55A
Habeas corpus; whether habeas court abused its discretion in dismissing, pursuant to statute (§ 52-470 (e)), successive petition for writ of habeas corpus for failure to show good cause for delay in filing petition beyond deadline for successive petitions set forth in § 52-470 (d) (2); claim that habeas court improperly determined that petitioner failed to prove that his mental deficiencies, as described in 2005 neuropsychological report, contributed to his delay in filing second habeas petition and, thus, failed to rebut presumption of unreasonable delay set forth in § 52-470 (d).

Village Mortgage Co. v. Veneziano, 203 CA 154 68A
Declaratory judgment; mootness; motion to dismiss; jurisdiction; claim that trial court erred in its interpretation of parties' stipulation; whether defendant's appellate claims were moot; whether defendant could be afforded practical relief on appeal; whether outcome of appeal had collateral estoppel and res judicata effects as to when plaintiff acquired defendant's stock; whether defendant's ability to bring action for vexatious litigation or fraud in future against plaintiff was dependent on appeal being heard on its merits.

Volume 203 Cumulative Table of Cases 146A

NOTICE OF CONNECTICUT STATE AGENCIES

Dept. of Housing—List of Municipalities Exempt from the Affordable Housing Appeals Procedure 1B

CONNECTICUT LAW JOURNAL
 (ISSN 87500973)

Published by the State of Connecticut in accordance with the provisions of General Statutes § 51-216a.

Commission on Official Legal Publications
 Office of Production and Distribution
 111 Phoenix Avenue, Enfield, Connecticut 06082-4453
 Tel. (860) 741-3027, FAX (860) 745-2178
 www.jud.ct.gov

RICHARD J. HEMENWAY, *Publications Director*

Published Weekly – Available at <https://www.jud.ct.gov/lawjournal>

Syllabuses and Indices of court opinions by
 ERIC M. LEVINE, *Reporter of Judicial Decisions*
 Tel. (860) 757-2250

The deadline for material to be published in the Connecticut Law Journal is Wednesday at noon for publication on the Tuesday six days later. When a holiday falls within the six day period, the deadline will be noon on Tuesday.