

# CONNECTICUT LAW JOURNAL



Published in Accordance with  
General Statutes Section 51-216a

VOL. LXXXII No. 35

March 2, 2021

210 Pages

## Table of Contents

### CONNECTICUT REPORTS

Davis v. Commissioner of Correction (Order), 336 C 916 . . . . .	34
Haydusky's Appeal from Probate (Order), 336 C 915. . . . .	33
Henderson v. Commissioner of Correction (Order), 336 C 916 . . . . .	34
Ingram v. Commissioner of Correction (Order), 336 C 916 . . . . .	34
State v. Ruiz-Pacheco, 336 C 219 . . . . .	3
<i>Assault first degree as principal; assault first degree as accessory; double jeopardy; certification from Appellate Court; whether Appellate Court correctly concluded that defendant's convictions of assault in first degree as principal and assault in first degree as accessory as to each victim did not violate double jeopardy clause of United States constitution; proper inquiry, for double jeopardy purposes, when defendant is convicted of multiple violations of same substantive criminal statute, discussed; whether legislature intended to punish individual acts separately or to punish course of action that they constitute under first degree assault statute (§ 53a-59 (a) (1)) under which defendant was convicted; whether defendant's assaultive acts against victims were part of same continuing course of conduct.</i>	
Volume 336 Cumulative Table of Cases . . . . .	37

### CONNECTICUT APPELLATE REPORTS

Cordero v. Commissioner of Correction (Memorandum Decision), 202 CA 908 . . . . .	46A
Dwyer v. Commissioner of Correction (Memorandum Decision), 202 CA 907 . . . . .	45A
Godbout v. Freedom of Information Commission (Memorandum Decision), 202 CA 908 . . . . .	46A
In re Miyuki M., 202 CA 851 . . . . .	27A
<i>Termination of parental rights; unreserved claim that trial court denied respondent mother her right to due process when it failed to canvass her pursuant to In re Yasiel R. (317 Conn. 773) before accepting into evidence exhibit containing stipulation of facts; unreserved claim under State v. Golding (213 Conn. 233); whether trial court's failure to conduct separate canvass prior to admission of exhibit constituted plain error or required exercise of this court's supervisory authority over administration of justice; whether trial court erred in denying motion to transfer guardianship of minor child to maternal grandmother.</i>	
In re Phoenix A., 202 CA 827 . . . . .	3A
<i>Termination of parental rights; claim that trial court erred by finding that respondent father was unable or unwilling to benefit from reunification services pursuant to statute (§ 17a-112 (j) (1)); whether, because father failed to challenge on appeal trial court's finding that Department of Children and Families made reasonable efforts at reunification, one of two separate and independent bases for upholding trial court's determination that § 17a-112 (j) (1) had been satisfied, father's claim was moot; claim that trial court erred by finding that father had failed to achieve sufficient degree of personal rehabilitation so as to encourage belief that he could assume responsible position in life of minor child within reasonable time; claim that trial court erred by determining that termination of father's parental rights was in best interest of minor child.</i>	
Lindquist v. Agwunobi (Memorandum Decision), 202 CA 909 . . . . .	47A
Sutton v. Ocean State Job Lot of Willimantic, LLC (Memorandum Decision), 202 CA 908 . . . . .	46A
Northeast Builders Supply & Home Centers, LLC v. RMM Consulting, LLC (replacement pages), 202 CA 315-318 . . . . .	v

(continued on next page)

Northeast Builders Supply & Home Centers, LLC v. RMM Consulting, LLC (replacement pages), 202 CA 335-338 . . . . .	ix
Northeast Builders Supply & Home Centers, LLC v. RMM Consulting, LLC (replacement pages), 202 CA 355-356 . . . . .	xiii
Volume 202 Cumulative Table of Cases . . . . .	49A
Bank of New York Mellon v. Madison, 203 CA 8 . . . . .	64A
<i>Foreclosure; motion for judgment; motion for summary judgment; claim that trial court improperly granted plaintiff's oral motion for judgment on its reformation of mortgage claim; whether trial court improperly granted plaintiff's motion for summary judgment as to liability on its foreclosure claim; claim that plaintiff failed to establish that default notice that it had mailed to defendants complied with notice requirements of mortgage.</i>	
Donald G. v. Commissioner of Correction, 203 CA 58 . . . . .	114A
<i>Habeas corpus; whether trial counsel rendered ineffective assistance by failing to question witnesses about petitioner's attendance at event where some of his alleged criminal conduct occurred; whether petitioner was prejudiced by trial counsel's reference to complainant as victim or by trial counsel's failure to object or to request curative instruction when state made same reference; whether trial counsel rendered ineffective assistance by failing to investigate claim of uncharged misconduct.</i>	
Ricketts v. Ricketts, 203 CA 1 . . . . .	57A
<i>Dissolution of marriage; jurisdiction; whether appeal from postdissolution orders of trial court denying plaintiff's motion to transfer matter to Regional Family Trial Docket and appointing guardian ad litem for parties' minor children was from final judgment.</i>	
Sieranski v. TJC Esq, A Professional Services Corp., 203 CA 75 . . . . .	131A
<i>Wrongful termination of employment; motion to strike; whether plaintiff sufficiently pleaded facts that, if proven, would fall under public policy exception to at-will employment doctrine; whether statutes (§§ 3-94h and 53a-157b) relied on by plaintiff outline public policy against knowingly assisting affiant in submitting false statements to court; whether notary's act of notarizing affidavit that she believed to be false would violate § 3-94h, which prohibits notaries from performing any action with intent to deceive or defraud.</i>	
U.S. Bank, National Assn. v. Moncho, 203 CA 28 . . . . .	84A
<i>Foreclosure; whether trial court erred in determining that defendants were not entitled to implied admissions on special defenses; claim that plaintiff was not proper owner of debt and therefore lacked standing; whether trial court erred in rejecting statute of limitations special defense for lack of ripeness; whether noncompliance with securitization requirements implicated plaintiff's standing; whether defendants received proper notice of default and acceleration prior to foreclosure; whether trial court abused its discretion in rejecting defendants' special defense of unclean hands; whether trial court erred in admitting payment history on note into evidence under business records exception to hearsay rule.</i>	
Volume 203 Cumulative Table of Cases . . . . .	147A

(continued on next page)

## CONNECTICUT LAW JOURNAL

(ISSN 87500973)

Published by the State of Connecticut in accordance with the provisions of General Statutes § 51-216a.

Commission on Official Legal Publications  
Office of Production and Distribution  
111 Phoenix Avenue, Enfield, Connecticut 06082-4453  
Tel. (860) 741-3027, FAX (860) 745-2178  
www.jud.ct.gov

RICHARD J. HEMENWAY, *Publications Director*Published Weekly – Available at <https://www.jud.ct.gov/lawjournal>

Syllabuses and Indices of court opinions by  
ERIC M. LEVINE, *Reporter of Judicial Decisions*  
Tel. (860) 757-2250

The deadline for material to be published in the Connecticut Law Journal is Wednesday at noon for publication on the Tuesday six days later. When a holiday falls within the six day period, the deadline will be noon on Tuesday.

**SUPREME COURT PENDING CASES**

Summaries . . . . . 1B

**MISCELLANEOUS**

Notices of Attorney Discipline . . . . . 1C

---