

CONNECTICUT LAW JOURNAL



Published in Accordance with
General Statutes Section 51-216a

VOL. LXXXI No. 34

February 18, 2020

177 Pages

Table of Contents

CONNECTICUT REPORTS

Jobe v. Commissioner of Correction, 334 C 636.	2
<i>Habeas corpus; certification from Appellate Court; whether Appellate Court correctly determined that habeas court lacked subject matter jurisdiction over habeas petition because petitioner was not in custody for conviction being challenged in petition within meaning of statute (§ 52-466) governing applications for writ of habeas corpus; claim that Appellate Court improperly declined to review petitioner's argument made in his reply brief responding to jurisdictional claim first raised by respondent after petitioner had filed his initial appellate brief; claim that detention in federal immigration facility pending deportation based on expired state conviction satisfied custody requirement of § 52-466.</i>	
State v. Mekoshvili (Order), 334 C 923	59
State v. Ortega (Order), 334 C 922	58
State v. Patel (Order), 334 C 921	57
State v. Ramos (Order), 334 C 923	59
State v. Turner, 334 C 660	26
<i>Felony murder; robbery first degree; conspiracy to commit robbery first degree; certification from Appellate Court; claim that Appellate Court incorrectly determined that defendant was not entitled to review under State v. Golding (213 Conn. 233), as modified by In re Yasiel R. (317 Conn. 773), of his unpreserved claim, based on this court's recent decision in State v. Edwards (325 Conn. 97) that trial court violated his federal due process right to fair trial by admitting testimonial and documentary evidence concerning location of defendant's cell phone without first conducting hearing pursuant to State v. Porter (241 Conn. 57); whether unpreserved claim regarding trial court's failure to hold Porter hearing was constitutional in nature; claim that trial court's failure to conduct Porter hearing constituted plain error; claim that this court should adopt federal plain error standard under which determination of whether error was clear is made on basis of law existing at time of appeal rather than time of trial; request that this court exercise its supervisory authority over administration of justice to review defendant's unpreserved claim.</i>	
State v. Vasquez (Order), 334 C 922.	58
Volume 334 Cumulative Table of Cases	61

CONNECTICUT APPELLATE REPORTS

Cook v. Purtil, 195 CA 828.	50A
<i>Probate appeal; whether trial court properly denied plaintiff's motion to open judgment; whether plaintiff, who was not attorney, had authority to represent trust in capacity as trustee.</i>	
Kohl's Dept. Stores, Inc. v. Rocky Hill, 195 CA 831.	53A
<i>Tax appeal; personal property declarations; claim that trial court's refusal to consider assessor of defendant's use of applicable statutory depreciation schedule (§ 12-63 (b) (6)) was incorrect; claim that trial court's refusal to consider use of statutory depreciation schedule in § 12-63 (b) (6) likely influenced its finding of aggravement and ultimate determination of valuation; whether defendant was required to offer expert appraiser.</i>	

(continued on next page)

Ruiz v. Commissioner of Correction, 195 CA 847 69A
Habeas corpus; sexual assault in first degree; risk of injury to child; sexual assault in fourth degree; ineffective assistance of trial counsel; whether habeas court properly concluded that trial counsel's performance was not deficient; claim that trial counsel failed to adequately challenge state's witness at hearing held pursuant to State v. Jarzbek (204 Conn. 683) hearing; claim that trial counsel was deficient in failing to present testimony of defense witness at hearing.

State v. Bermudez, 195 CA 780 2A
Felony murder; whether trial court abused its discretion by admitting into evidence testimony that defendant and one of his brothers were affiliated with gangs or that witness and her children were relocated after she gave statement to police about victim's murder; whether trial court's improper refusal to admit into evidence sexually explicit letters witness wrote to defendant substantially affected verdict; claim that trial court improperly precluded questions about termination of witness' employment and limited defense counsel's inquiry of witness as to her birth control practices; claim that prosecutor made statements during closing argument to jury that referred to facts not in evidence.

State v. Palmenta, 195 CA 864 86A
Attempt to commit criminal mischief in third degree; attempt to commit criminal trespass in third degree; subject matter jurisdiction; whether trial court properly determined that it lacked jurisdiction over petitioner's petition for writ of error coram nobis; whether trial court improperly denied petition and, instead, should have rendered judgment dismissing petition.

U.S. Bank, N.A. v. Armijo, 195 CA 843 65A
Foreclosure; motion to dismiss; standing; reviewability of claim that trial court improperly denied defendant's motion to dismiss for lack of standing.

Volume 195 Cumulative Table of Cases 93A

NOTICES OF CONNECTICUT STATE AGENCIES

DSS—Notices of Proposed Medicaid SPA's 1B

MISCELLANEOUS

Personnel Notice—Superior Court Operations—Small Claims/Motor Vehicle Magistrate Appointments 1C

CONNECTICUT LAW JOURNAL
 (ISSN 87500973)

Published by the State of Connecticut in accordance with the provisions of General Statutes § 51-216a.

Commission on Official Legal Publications
 Office of Production and Distribution
 111 Phoenix Avenue, Enfield, Connecticut 06082-4453
 Tel. (860) 741-3027, FAX (860) 745-2178
 www.jud.ct.gov

RICHARD J. HEMENWAY, *Publications Director*
 Published Weekly – Available at <https://www.jud.ct.gov/lawjournal>

Syllabuses and Indices of court opinions by
 ERIC M. LEVINE, *Reporter of Judicial Decisions*
 Tel. (860) 757-2250

The deadline for material to be published in the Connecticut Law Journal is Wednesday at noon for publication on the Tuesday six days later. When a holiday falls within the six day period, the deadline will be noon on Tuesday.