

CONNECTICUT LAW JOURNAL



Published in Accordance with
General Statutes Section 51-216a

VOL. LXXXII No. 25 December 22, 2020 232 Pages

Table of Contents

CONNECTICUT REPORTS

Anderson v. Commissioner of Correction (Order), 335 C 983	3
Heather S. v. Commissioner of Correction (Order), 335 C 982	2
State v. Anderson (Order), 335 C 984.	4
State v. Buie (Order), 335 C 984.	4
State v. Castro (Order), 335 C 983	3
State v. Jones (Order), 335 C 984	4
State v. Michael T. (Order), 335 C 982	2
Volume 335 Cumulative Table of Cases	5

CONNECTICUT APPELLATE REPORTS

Caires v. JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. (Memorandum Decision), 201 CA 908	140A
Dovenmuehle Mortgage, Inc. v. Janniello (Memorandum Decision), 201 CA 907	139A
Featherston v. Katchko & Son Construction Services, Inc., 201 CA 774	28A
<i>Fraudulent transfer of assets; Connecticut Uniform Fraudulent Transfer Act (CUFTA) (§ 52-552a et seq.); Connecticut Unfair Trade Practices Act (CUTPA) (§ 42-110a et seq.); whether defendants' original appeal was taken from final judgment; whether defendants' amended appeal was jurisdictionally proper; claim that trial court abused its discretion in granting plaintiff's motion to amend complaint following judgment; claim that trial court erred in determining that defendants had violated CUFTA; whether trial court properly determined that defendants were liable under §§ 52-552e (a) (1) and 52-552f (a) of CUFTA; whether trial court erred in encompassing property, other than two excavators, within its order of relief under CUFTA; claim that trial court erred in rendering judgment for plaintiff under CUTPA predicated on finding that defendants had committed fraudulent transfer under CUFTA.</i>	
Galazan v. Galazan (Memorandum Decision), 201 CA 908	140A
Henderson v. Commissioner of Correction (Memorandum Decision), 201 CA 907	139A
Nash v. Roland Dumont Agency, Inc. (Memorandum Decision), 201 CA 906	138A
Phillips v. Hebron, 201 CA 810.	64A
<i>Negligence per se; statutory (§§ 46a-58 and 46a-75) discrimination; motion to dismiss; failure to exhaust administrative remedies; Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (20 U.S.C. § 1400 et seq.); subject matter jurisdiction; lack of final judgment; whether trial court properly dismissed plaintiff's complaint for lack of subject matter jurisdiction on ground that he failed to exhaust administrative remedies.</i>	
St. Louis v. Commissioner of Correction (Memorandum Decision), 201 CA 907	139A
State v. Mansfield, 201 CA 748.	2A
<i>Breach of peace in second degree; assault of public safety personnel; plain error doctrine; claim that evidence was insufficient to support conviction of breach of peace in second degree; claim that breach of peace in second degree statute (§ 53a-181 (a) (1)) was unconstitutionally vague as applied to defendant; unpreserved claim that trial court improperly instructed jury regarding definition of "tumultuous behavior" in § 53a-181 (a) (1); claim that state failed to offer sufficient evidence that officer was acting lawfully in performance of official duties to support conviction of assault of public safety personnel; unpreserved claim that trial court improperly instructed jury regarding law governing police discretion to issue and serve summons on individual who has not been arrested.</i>	

(continued on next page)

State v. Qayyum, 201 CA 864 118A
Conspiracy to sell narcotics; possession of narcotics with intent to sell; claim that trial court violated defendant's due process rights by shifting burdens of proof and persuasion to him to prove that he had legitimate source of income; whether trial court abused its discretion in admitting testimony regarding defendant's lack of reportable wages; claim that trial court erred by allowing impermissible expert opinion testimony regarding defendant's intent to sell narcotics.

Village Mortgage Co. v. Garbus, 201 CA 845 99A
Declaratory judgment; whether plaintiff's cause of action was subject to statute of limitations (§ 52-577); whether trial court correctly determined that no statute of limitations applied to bar declaratory judgment action; whether trial court correctly interpreted that allegations in plaintiff's complaint were not predicated on note or agreement; whether complaint alleged that defendants engaged in tortious financial misconduct or breached contract; whether trial court made finding or implied finding that defendants participated in financial misconduct.

Volume 201 Cumulative Table of Cases 141A

Kelsey v. Commissioner of Correction, 202 CA 21 169A
Habeas corpus; claim that habeas court abused its discretion in dismissing successive petition for writ of habeas corpus for failure to show good cause pursuant to statute (§ 52-470) for unreasonable delay in filing petition; whether habeas court improperly concluded that petitioner failed to sufficiently establish good cause for delay in filing successive petition; whether lack of personal knowledge of statutory deadline set forth in § 52-470 and lack of access to law library or legal resources sufficiently rebutted presumption of unreasonable delay; whether habeas court properly weighed relevant factors in dismissing successive petition.

Newtown v. Ostrosky, 202 CA 13 161A
Foreclosure; whether trial court properly denied motion to reargue and for reconsideration of judgment of foreclosure by sale; claim that foreclosure judgment should be opened and vacated; claim that default for failure to plead entered by court clerk was invalid and could not serve as basis for foreclosure judgment; adoption of trial court's memorandum of decision as statement of facts and applicable law.

State v. Ervin B., 202 CA 1 149A
Threatening in second degree; claim that evidence was insufficient to support finding that defendant made physical threat against his wife for purposes of conviction of threatening in second degree in violation of statute (§ 53a-62 (a) (1)).

Volume 202 Cumulative Table of Cases 193A

SUPREME COURT PENDING CASES

Summaries 1B

NOTICES OF CONNECTICUT STATE AGENCIES

Paid Family & Medical Leave Ins.—Notice of Policy Change 1C

(continued on next page)

CONNECTICUT LAW JOURNAL
 (ISSN 87500973)

Published by the State of Connecticut in accordance with the provisions of General Statutes § 51-216a.

Commission on Official Legal Publications
 Office of Production and Distribution
 111 Phoenix Avenue, Enfield, Connecticut 06082-4453
 Tel. (860) 741-3027, FAX (860) 745-2178
 www.jud.ct.gov

RICHARD J. HEMENWAY, *Publications Director*
 Published Weekly – Available at <https://www.jud.ct.gov/lawjournal>

Syllabuses and Indices of court opinions by
 ERIC M. LEVINE, *Reporter of Judicial Decisions*
 Tel. (860) 757-2250

The deadline for material to be published in the Connecticut Law Journal is Wednesday at noon for publication on the Tuesday six days later. When a holiday falls within the six day period, the deadline will be noon on Tuesday.

MISCELLANEOUS

DOCJ—Personnel Notice, States Attorney New London Judicial District	1D
Notice of Application for Reinstatement	2D

CONNECTICUT LAW JOURNAL NOTICE DEADLINES

Revised Law Journal Dates for Notices—2021.	1E
---	----
