


CONNECTICUT LAW JOURNAL



Published in Accordance with
General Statutes Section 51-216a

VOL. LXXXII No. 24

December 15, 2020

148 Pages

Table of Contents

CONNECTICUT REPORTS

Clinton <i>v.</i> Aspinwall (Orders), 335 C 978, 980	3, 4
Crouzet <i>v.</i> First Baptist Church of Stonington (Order), 335 C 979	3
Diaz <i>v.</i> Commissioner of Correction (Order), 335 C 981	5
In re Xavier H. (Orders), 335 C 981, 982.	5, 6
Maldonado <i>v.</i> Commissioner of Correction (Order), 335 C 980	4
State <i>v.</i> Gaston (Order), 335 C 981	5
Volume 335 Cumulative Table of Cases	7

CONNECTICUT APPELLATE REPORTS

C. A. <i>v.</i> G. L., 201 CA 734.	100A
<i>Application for civil protection order pursuant to statute (§ 46b-16a); whether trial court abused its discretion in concluding that defendant's conduct and statements could be interpreted as threats to plaintiff's physical safety; whether § 46b-16a required that there be prior threats or instances of physical violence for party to reasonably fear for her physical safety.</i>	
Cole <i>v.</i> Commissioner of Correction (Memorandum Decision), 201 CA 906.	116A
Continental Casualty Co. <i>v.</i> Rohr, Inc., 201 CA 636.	2A
<i>Insurance; declaratory judgment to determine whether plaintiff insurance companies had duty to defend and indemnify defendant manufacturer in underlying actions seeking to recover costs of remediation for environmental contamination; whether trial court properly granted motions for partial summary judgment filed by certain plaintiffs and defendant manufacturer, and motions for summary judgment filed by certain defendant insurance companies; claim that three year policy period endorsements to primary policies were to be treated as annual periods subject to per occurrence limit and that policy period of each multiyear primary policy was defined as three consecutive annual periods; whether trial court erred in determining that defendant manufacturer was required to horizontally exhaust all of its primary insurance before liability of its excess insurers could attach; rule of horizontal exhaustion under California law, discussed; claim by certain plaintiffs on cross appeal that trial court incorrectly determined per policy occurrence limits of certain primary policies.</i>	
Haydusky's Appeal from Probate, 201 CA 746.	112A
<i>Probate appeal; claim that trial court's factual findings were clearly erroneous; claims that trial court made erroneous evidentiary rulings, abused its discretion, and misapplied law.</i>	
Volume 201 Cumulative Table of Cases	117A

SUPREME COURT PENDING CASES

Summaries	1B
---------------------	----

NOTICES OF CONNECTICUT STATE AGENCIES

CHFA—Notice of Intent to Amend Procedures	1C
---	----

(continued on next page)

MISCELLANEOUS

DOCJ—Personnel Notice, States Attorney New London Judicial District 1D
 Notice of Evaluation of Incumbent Judges Who Seek Reappointment 2D

CONNECTICUT LAW JOURNAL

(ISSN 87500973)

Published by the State of Connecticut in accordance with the provisions of General Statutes § 51-216a.

Commission on Official Legal Publications
 Office of Production and Distribution
 111 Phoenix Avenue, Enfield, Connecticut 06082-4453
 Tel. (860) 741-3027, FAX (860) 745-2178
 www.jud.ct.gov

RICHARD J. HEMENWAY, *Publications Director*

Published Weekly – Available at <https://www.jud.ct.gov/lawjournal>

Syllabuses and Indices of court opinions by
 ERIC M. LEVINE, *Reporter of Judicial Decisions*
 Tel. (860) 757-2250

The deadline for material to be published in the Connecticut Law Journal is Wednesday at noon for publication on the Tuesday six days later. When a holiday falls within the six day period, the deadline will be noon on Tuesday.