

CONNECTICUT LAW JOURNAL



Published in Accordance with
General Statutes Section 51-216a

VOL. LXXXII No. 21 November 24, 2020 190 Pages

Table of Contents

CONNECTICUT REPORTS

Citibank, N.A. v. Stein (Order), 335 C 975	61
Deutsche Bank National Trust Co. v. Shivers (Order), 335 C 974.	60
Diaz v. Commissioner of Correction (Order), 335 C 971	57
Georges v. OB-GYN Services, P.C., 335 C 669	3
<i>Medical malpractice; whether Appellate Court properly granted plaintiffs' motion to dismiss portion of defendants' appeal challenging jury verdict as untimely; claim that judgment did not become final for purposes of appeal when trial court accepted jury verdict because court had yet to determine whether, or how much, offer of compromise interest should be awarded; claim that, under rule of practice (§ 63-1) governing time to appeal, plaintiffs' motion for offer of compromise and post-judgment interest created new twenty day period within which defendants could appeal from judgment rendered in accordance with jury verdict; claim that plaintiffs' motion for offer of compromise and postjudgment interest altered terms of judgment under Practice Book § 63-1 (c) (1) because it changed judgment from initial amount of verdict to amount of verdict plus interest; whether Appellate Court abused its discretion in denying defendants' motion to suspend rules of practice to permit late appeal; whether defendants established good cause for late appeal on basis of their claimed good faith belief that there was no appealable final judgment until trial court awarded offer of compromise and postjudgment interest.</i>	
Larmel v. Metro North Commuter Railroad Co. (Order), 335 C 972	58
Lepeska v. Commissioner of Correction (Order), 335 C 972.	58
Pentland v Commissioner of Correction (Order), 335 C 973.	59
Silver v. Silver (Order), 335 C 973.	59
State v. Leniart (Order), 335 C 971	57
State v. Rivera (Order), 335 C 975.	61
State v. Williams (Order), 335 C 974	60
Syms v. Commissioner of Correction (Order), 335 C 974	60
Volume 335 Cumulative Table of Cases	63

CONNECTICUT APPELLATE REPORTS

Bank of New York Mellon v. Mercier (Memorandum Decision), 201 CA 903	107A
Brown v. State (Memorandum Decision), 201 CA 903	107A
Commissioner of Labor v. Walnut Tire Shop, LLC, 201 CA 492	98A
<i>Wage collection statute (§ 31-72); motion for default; motion to open judgment of default; claim that trial court abused its discretion in denying defendants' motion to open.</i>	
Doe v. Flanigan, 201 CA 411	17A
<i>Negligence; motion for summary judgment; whether genuine issue of material fact existed as to whether defendant city's employee engaged in negligent or wilful misconduct; whether genuine issue of material fact existed that defendant city's employee was acting within scope of employment; whether trial court erred in rendering summary judgment for defendant on basis of arguments not raised in defendant's motion.</i>	
In re D'Andre T., 201 CA 396.	2A
<i>Termination of parental rights; jurisdiction; supervisory authority over administration of justice; whether trial court denied respondent fundamentally fair proceeding by treating her motion to transfer guardianship with less regard than petitions</i>	

(continued on next page)

to terminate her parental rights; claim that this court should exercise its supervisory authority over administration of justice to require Superior Court to make certain written findings in all cases in which court considers transfer of guardianship motion and petition to terminate parental rights concurrently; claim that this court lacked jurisdiction over appeal because respondent's request for new procedural rule was not tethered to any actual controversy and respondent did not claim that trial court erred in its decisions on termination petitions or motion to transfer guardianship.

Osborne-Perrault v. Twin Oaks Condominium Assn. (Memorandum Decision), 201 CA 904 108A
State v. Knox, 201 CA 457 63A

Criminal possession of firearm; tampering with physical evidence; motion for judgment of acquittal; right to counsel; whether state presented sufficient evidence that defendant intended to impair availability of gun in subsequent police investigation; whether defendant made ambiguous request for counsel during police interview, requiring police to clarify request pursuant to State v. Purcell (331 Conn. 318); whether trial court abused its discretion in violation of applicable rule of evidence (§ 1-5) by admitting and excluding certain of defendant's statements made during police interview; whether trial court's evidentiary rulings violated defendant's rights to due process and to present defense.

State v. Parker, 201 CA 435 41A

Probation; whether trial court erred in revoking probation without first finding that defendant's failure to pay restitution was wilful; whether trial court applied correct legal standard in making implicit finding of wilfulness; whether trial court was required to make explicit findings on record as to whether defendant had ability to pay and, if so, whether failure to pay was wilful, and, if not, whether defendant made sufficient bona fide efforts legally to acquire resources to pay.

Maldonado v. Flannery (replacement pages), 200 CA 7-8 iii
Volume 201 Cumulative Table of Cases 109A

NOTICES OF CONNECTICUT STATE AGENCIES

DSS—Notice of Proposed Medicaid State Plan Amendment (SPA21-F) 1B

MISCELLANEOUS

Office of the Chief Public Defender—FY 2021-22 Applications for Handling Case Assignments 1C

CONNECTICUT LAW JOURNAL

(ISSN 87500973)

Published by the State of Connecticut in accordance with the provisions of General Statutes § 51-216a.

Commission on Official Legal Publications
Office of Production and Distribution
111 Phoenix Avenue, Enfield, Connecticut 06082-4453
Tel. (860) 741-3027, FAX (860) 745-2178
www.jud.ct.gov

RICHARD J. HEMENWAY, *Publications Director*

Published Weekly – Available at <https://www.jud.ct.gov/lawjournal>

Syllabuses and Indices of court opinions by
ERIC M. LEVINE, *Reporter of Judicial Decisions*
Tel. (860) 757-2250

The deadline for material to be published in the Connecticut Law Journal is Wednesday at noon for publication on the Tuesday six days later. When a holiday falls within the six day period, the deadline will be noon on Tuesday.