

CONNECTICUT LAW JOURNAL



Published in Accordance with
General Statutes Section 51-216a

VOL. LXXXII No. 17

October 27, 2020

230 Pages

Table of Contents

CONNECTICUT REPORTS

Berger v. Deutermann (Order), 335 C 956	78
Castro v. Bajana (Order), 335 C 958	80
Chelsea Groton Bank v. Belltown Sports, LLC (Order), 335 C 960	82
Farrell v. Johnson & Johnson, 335 C 398.	24
<i>Informed consent; innocent misrepresentation; directed verdict; certification from Appellate Court; whether Appellate Court correctly concluded that trial court did not abuse its discretion in declining to admit into evidence two medical journal articles on ground that they constituted inadmissible hearsay; claim that articles were admissible to prove what defendant physician knew or reasonably should have known with respect to experimental nature of procedure used to implant medical product in plaintiff patient and product itself; whether Appellate Court properly upheld trial court's decision to direct verdict on plaintiffs' innocent misrepresentation claim; whether innocent misrepresentation claim was viable in context of provision of medical services.</i>	
500 North Avenue, LLC v. Planning Commission (Order), 335 C 959.	81
Flood v. Flood (Order), 335 C 960	82
Hall v. Hall, 335 C 377	3
<i>Dissolution of marriage; motion for contempt; motion for reconsideration; joint motion to open and vacate contempt finding; certification from Appellate Court; whether Appellate Court correctly concluded that trial court did not abuse its discretion in finding plaintiff in contempt on basis of his wilful violation of court order; claim that trial court failed to consider plaintiff's testimony that, when he violated court order, he was relying in good faith on advice of counsel; whether Appellate Court correctly concluded that trial court did not abuse its discretion in denying parties' joint motion to open and vacate finding of contempt.</i>	
In re Faiz Siddiqui (Order), 335 C 955	77
Karagozian v. USV Optical, Inc., 335 C 426	52
<i>Wrongful discharge; motion to strike; certification from Appellate Court; whether Appellate Court properly upheld trial court's granting of defendant employer's motion to strike plaintiff employee's complaint; whether standard for constructive discharge set forth in Brittell v. Dept. of Correction (247 Conn. 148) required plaintiff to demonstrate that defendant intended to force plaintiff to resign; whether Appellate Court correctly upheld trial court's granting of motion to strike on alternative ground that plaintiff had failed to allege facts establishing that work atmosphere was so difficult or unpleasant that reasonable person in his shoes would have felt compelled to resign.</i>	
Kovachich v. Dept. of Mental Health & Addiction Services (Order), 335 C 958.	80
Priore v. Haig (Order), 335 C 955	77
State v. Crafter (Order), 335 C 957	79
State v. Jackson (Order), 335 C 957.	79
State v. Lori T. (Order), 335 C 956	78
Winakor v. Savalle (Order), 335 C 958	80
Volume 335 Cumulative Table of Cases	83

(continued on next page)

CONNECTICUT APPELLATE REPORTS

In re Xavier H., 201 CA 81 37A
Termination of parental rights; whether trial court made clearly erroneous subordinate factual findings and applied such findings in reaching its decision that there was sufficient evidence to terminate respondent father's parental rights; whether trial court employed proper standard in finding that respondent parents had each failed to achieve sufficient degree of personal rehabilitation as would encourage belief that within reasonable time they could assume responsible positions in life of child; whether trial court employed proper standard in finding that termination of respondent father's parental rights was in child's best interest; whether trial court erred in finding that respondent mother had failed to rehabilitate; whether trial court failed to make complete written findings that termination of respondent mother's parental rights was in child's best interest, as required by statute (§ 17a-112 (k)).
Northwest Hills Chrysler Jeep, LLC v. Dept. of Motor Vehicles, 201 CA 128 84A
Administrative appeal; claim that trial court improperly dismissed appeal from decision of Department of Motor Vehicles finding that good cause existed, pursuant to statute (§ 42-133dd (c)), to establish new automobile dealership within relevant market area of plaintiffs; adoption of trial court's memorandum of decision as proper statement of facts and applicable law on issues.
State v. Hazard, 201 CA 46 2A
Robbery in first degree; whether there was sufficient evidence from which jury reasonably could have found that defendant was person who robbed storage facility; claim that defendant proved affirmative defense of inoperability of gun used in robbery; whether trial court abused its discretion when it denied motion for mistrial based on claim that police officer gave testimony that constituted improper lay opinion under applicable provision of Connecticut Code of Evidence (§ 7-1) and improperly gave opinion on ultimate issue of identity in violation of applicable provision of Connecticut Code of Evidence (§ 7-3); claim that trial court erred in failing to give jury defendant's requested instruction on identity.
State v. Jones (Memorandum Decision), 201 CA 901 123A
Volume 201 Cumulative Table of Cases 125A

NOTICES OF CONNECTICUT STATE AGENCIES

DSS—Notice of Intent to Renew Employment & Day Supports Medicaid Waiver 1B
DSS—Notice of Proposed Medicaid State Plan Amendment(SPA) 2B

(continued on next page)

CONNECTICUT LAW JOURNAL
(ISSN 87500973)
Published by the State of Connecticut in accordance with the provisions of General Statutes § 51-216a.
Commission on Official Legal Publications
Office of Production and Distribution
111 Phoenix Avenue, Enfield, Connecticut 06082-4453
Tel. (860) 741-3027, FAX (860) 745-2178
www.jud.ct.gov
RICHARD J. HEMENWAY, Publications Director
Published Weekly – Available at https://www.jud.ct.gov/lawjournal
Syllabuses and Indices of court opinions by
ERIC M. LEVINE, Reporter of Judicial Decisions
Tel. (860) 757-2250
The deadline for material to be published in the Connecticut Law Journal is Wednesday at noon for publication on the Tuesday six days later. When a holiday falls within the six day period, the deadline will be noon on Tuesday.

MISCELLANEOUS

Bar Examining Committee 1C
